

<u>COMMISSIONERS</u> SUSAN BITTER SMITH – Chairman BOB STUMP BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE



PATRICIA L. BARFIELD Director Corporations Division

JODI JERICH

Executive Director

#### ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Date January 29, 2015

SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC , 8200 N. 75<sup>™</sup> AVE. PEORIA, AZ 85345

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is a copy of the following document(s) that were served upon the Arizona Corporation Commission on 01/27/2015 as agent for SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC ,:

Case caption: CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC ET. AL V. ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP ET. AL, Case number: CV2014-012379 Court: MARICOPA COUNTY, SUPERIOR COURT

- Summons
- Complaint
- Subpoena
- Subpoena Duces Tecum
- Default Judgment
- Judgment
- Writ of Garnishment
- Motion For Summary Judgment
- \_\_\_\_ Motion for
- Other CERTIFICATE REGARDING EXPERT TESTIMONY

Sincerely, B. Griffin vnða

Custodian of Records

Initials LBG File number - 0078231-3

**COMMISSIONERS** SUSAN BITTER SMITH – Chairman BOB STUMP **BOB BURNS** DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE



JODI JERICH Executive Director

PATRICIA L. BARFIELD Director **Corporations Division** 

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

# **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Date: January 29, 2015

I, LYNDA GRIFFIN am an employee of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC"). I hereby certify that on the 27TH day of JANUARY, 2015, I received on behalf of the ACC service of the following documents upon the ACC as agent for SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC .

#### Case caption: CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC ET. AL v. ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP ET. AL, Case number: CV2014-012379 С

Court:	MARICOPA COUNTY, SUPERIOR COURT
--------	---------------------------------

$\boxtimes$	Summons	Default Judgment
$\boxtimes$	Complaint	Judgment
	Subpoena	Writ of Garnishment
	Subpoena Duces Tecum	
<u> </u>		

- Motion For Summary Judgment
- Motion for

#### $\boxtimes$ Other CERTIFICATE REGARDING EXPERT TESTIMONY

I hereby certify that on the 29TH day of JANUARY, 2015, I placed a copy of the above listed documents in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to

## SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC ,

at its last known place of business as follows:

#### 8200 N. 75TH AVE. PEORIA, AZ 85345

## OR

I hereby certify that I was unable to mail the above listed documents to

because that entity is not a registered corporation or limited liability company in the State of Arizona, and the Arizona Corporation Commission has no record of its known place of business.

I declare and certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this date:	January 29, /2015
(signature)	

1300 WEST WASHINGTON, PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2929 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET, SUITE #221, TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 www.azcc.gov - 602-542-3026

а 1 — т	· ·		
N.			
	1	Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 020182)	
	_	Gregory E. Williams (State Bar No. 020320) Ashley N. Zimmerman (State Bar No. 030595)	
	2		
	3	TE TIFFAN Y& BOSCO	· · ·
	4	SEVENTH FLOOR CAMELBACK ESPLANA 2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD	DE II
	5	PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4237	
	6	TELEPHONE: (602) 255-6000 FACSIMILE: (602) 255-0103	
	7	E-Mail: <u>rah@tblaw.com</u>	
	8	<u>gew@tblaw.com</u> <u>anz@tblaw.com</u>	
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
· .	9		
	10	SUPERIOR COURT C	)F ARIZONA
	11	COUNTY OF MA	RICOPA
	12		
	13	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC., a Delaware	
	14	corporation; and CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, an Arizona corporation; and Does	CASE NO. CV2014-012379
	15	1-100 inclusive,	
	16	Plaintiff,	SUMMONS
	17	v.	(Assigned to the Honorable
	18	ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP, an	Christopher Whitten)
1	19	Arizona limited liability limited partnership; ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS,	
2	20	INC., an Arizona corporation: ANDREW	A STATE A TANDAR
2	21	LAUREN CABINETS, LLC; an Arizona limited liability company; ARTISTIC STAIRS,	If you would like legal advice from a lawyer, contact the Lawyer Referral Service at
?	- 11	LTD., an Arizona corporation: ATRIUM	802-257-4434
		DOOR AND WINDOW COMPANY OF ARIZONA, INC.; an Delaware corporation;	or www.maricopalawyers.org
	~	CATALINA ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC.	Sponsored by the
2	11.	an Arizona corporation; CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona	Maricopa County Bar Association
2:	5	corporation; CTI OF MARYLAND, INC.	
20	6	(FN), dba CREATIVE TOUCH INTERIORS AND HD SUPPLY INTERIOR SOLUTIONS	
		-1-	
	11		

	a Maryland Corporation; FLOORWORKS,	
	INC., a Delaware corporation; DESIGN	
2 DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado corporation; DIXON BROTHERS,		
	3 INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation;	
	I MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY	v
	I, LLC dba DOOR SALES, LLC, an Arizona	ľ
	Imited liability company: DVC	L
	CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. an	
	S    Arizona corporation: ERICKSON	
	CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited	
	I nating company: EXECUTIVE PAINTING	
i	ENTERPRISE, INC., an Arizona corporation GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba	•,
-	GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florid	·
10	Corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND	
	UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited liability	,
1)	Company; GENERAL PLUMBING INC an	
12	Arizona corporation; GMS CONCRETE	
	SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona corporation	;
13	HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a	
14	California corporation; INFINITY BUILDIN PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liabilit	G
	company; JR MCDADE CO., INC., an Arizon	У
15	Corporation; MADJ, INC. dba LODI GARAG	
16	DOOK & MORE, an Arizona corporation	Ľ
	MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona	
17	limited liability company: MPC	
18	CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an	
10	Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE	
19	PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI INC. dba PARTITIONS &	
20	ACCESSORIES CO., an Arizona corporation;	
20	POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE,	
21	INC., an Arizona corporation: ROBERT	
22	MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an	
22	Arizona limited liability company: SHARICO	
23	ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation	
	SUNUKAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona	
24	limited liability company; SPECIALTY	
25	ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona	
	corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY,	
26	INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED	
		J

-2-

SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA INSULATION SPECIALISTS, a Minnesota corporation; VALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I -XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; and DOES I – XX,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

Defendants,

STATE OF ARIZONA TO THE DEFENDANTS:

## SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A"

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear and defend, within 11 the time applicable, in this action in this Court. If served within Arizona, you shall 12 appear and defend within 20 days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon 13 you, exclusive of the day of service. If served out of the State of Arizona - whether by 14 direct service, by registered or certified mail, or by publication - you shall appear and 15 defend within 30 days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you is 16 complete, exclusive of the day of service. Where process is served upon the Arizona 17 Director of Insurance as an insurer's attorney to receive service of legal process against it in this state, the insurer shall not be required to appear, answer or plead until expiration 18 of 40 days after the date of such service upon the Director. Service by registered or 19 certified mail without the State of Arizona is complete 30 days after the date of filing the 20 receipt and affidavit of service with the Court. Service by publication is complete 30 21 days after the date of first publication. Direct service is complete when made. Service 22 upon the Arizona Motor Vehicle Superintendent is complete 30 days after filing the 23 Affidavit of Compliance and return receipt or Officer's Return. RCP 4; RFLP 40; ARS 24 §§20-222; 28-2327.

26 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that in case of your failure to appear and defend within the time applicable, judgment by default may be rendered against you for

-3-

I || the relief demanded in the Complaint.

YOU ARE CAUTIONED that in order to appear and defend, you must file an 2 Answer or proper response in writing with the Clerk of this Court, accompanied by the 3 necessary filing fee, within the time required, and you are required to serve a copy of 4 any Answer or response upon the Plaintiffs' attorney. RCP 10(d); ARS §12-311; RCP 5. 5 YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that requests for reasonable accommodation 6 for persons with disabilities must be made to the division assigned to the case by parties 7 at least 3 judicial days in advance of a scheduled court proceeding. 8 The name and address of plaintiffs' attorneys are: 9 Rosary A. Hernandez, Esq. 10 Gregory E. Williams, Esq. Ashley Zimmerman, Esq. 11 Tiffany & Bosco, P.A. Seventh Floor Camelback Esplanade II 12 2525 East Camelback Road 13 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 (602) 255-6000 14 SIGNED AND SEALED this date: 15 16 MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 17 18 Ву\_\_\_ JAN 1 6 2015 19 Deputy Clerk MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK 20 B. OLSON 21 DEPUTY CLERK 22 23 24 25 26

EXHIBIT "A"	
DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP	Kenneth Rudisill, Esq. 21448 N. 78 <sup>th</sup> Dr. Peoria, AZ 85382
ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC.	Corporation Service Company 2338 W. Royal Palm Rd., Suite J Phoenix, AZ 85021
ANDREW LAUREN CABINETS, LLC	The Andrew Lauren Company, Inc 2843 N. Alvernon Way Tucson, AZ 85712
ARTISTIC STAIRS, LTD.	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
CATALINA ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC.	Richard Chambliss 1122 E. Jefferson Phoenix, AZ 85034
CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING, INC.	Clark Hill PLC 14850 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 500 Scottsdale, AZ 85254
CTI OF MARYLAND, INC. (FN), DBA CREATIVE TOUCH INTERIORS AND HD SUPPLY INTERIOR SOLUTIONS	Corporate Creations Network 8655 E. Via De Ventura, Ste. G200 Scottsdale, AZ 85258
FLOORWORKS, INC.	Corporate Creations Network 8655 E. Via De Ventura, Ste. G200 Scottsdale, AZ 85258
DESIGN DRYWALL WEST, INC.	Debra T. Stewart-Dillon 6950 W. Morelos Pl., #1 Chandler, AZ 85226

2	DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
3	INCORPORATED	Mike Dixon or Charles Dixon 1133 E. Cinnabar Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85020
5	FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY I	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
7 8	DVC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.	12475 W. Alice Ave. El Mirage, AZ 85335
9 10	ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
11 12 13	EXECUTIVE PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC.	Jeffrey Johnson 48412 N. Black Canyon Highway, #175 New River, AZ 85087
4 5	GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, LLC	Richard Joseph 7070 W. Frier Dr. Glendale, AZ 85303
6 7	GENERAL PLUMBING, INC.	Larry Mueller 2600 N. Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004
8 9 0 1	GMS CONCRETE SPECIALISTS, INC.	Robert Lane 4001 N. 3 <sup>rd</sup> St., Suite 400 Phoenix, AZ 85012
22 23 24	HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC.	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
25 26	INFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC	Martin Cook 6967 Speedway, Ste. AA-101 Las Vegas, NV 89115

-6-

, **,** , ,

DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
	Thomas Bowen
	5373 Annie Oakley Dr. Las Vegas, NV 89120
JR MCDADE CO., INC.	David Evans 1355 E. Northern Ave., Suite 1 Phoenix, AZ 85020
MADJ dba LODI GARAGE DOOR & MORE	3231 W. Virginia Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85009
MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC	Emily May Cassaday 2153 N. Lemon Circle Mesa, AZ 85215
MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.	BLG Agent Services, LLC 14850 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 350 Scottsdale, AZ 85254
PALO VERDE PLASTERING, INC.	Brenda Ferra 23440 N. 35 <sup>th</sup> Dr. Glendale, AZ 85310
L.R. BORELLI INC. dba PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES CO.	1220 S. Pasadena, Suite 1 Mesa, AZ 85210
POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC.	Henry Stein 2826 S. Carriage Lane, Suite 100 Mesa, AZ 85202
	M. Kent Mecham
ROBERT MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC	c/o Mecham & Associates Chartere 7830 N. 23 <sup>rd</sup> Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85021
SHARICO ENTERPRISES, INC	R.N. Dickson 13470 W. Foxfire Dr., Suite 33 Surprise, AZ 85378

DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC	Gary Shroer 22116 N. Valerio Dr. Sun City West, AZ 85375
SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC	Julie Pace c/o The Cavanagh Law Firm 1850 N. Central Ave., Suite 2400 Phoenix, AZ 85004
THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC.	Pauline Thomas 7601 N. 74 <sup>th</sup> Ave. Glendale, AZ 85303
UNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC.	Keith Clouse 1132 E. Lockwood St. Mesa, AZ 85203 Ryan Clouse 2113 E. Folley St.
JNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. Iba MESA INSULATION SPECIALISTS	Chandler, AZ 85225 National Registered Agents 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
ALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC.	Mark Lasee 8601 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 300 Scottsdale, AZ 85253
VESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., NC.	Roderick Westfall 4302 E. Weldon Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85018

Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 020182) 1 Gregory E. Williams (State Bar No. 020320) Ashley N. Zimmerman (State Bar No. 030595) 2 IB TIFFAN Y& BOSCO 3 SEVENTH FLOOR CAMELBACK ESPLANADE II 4 2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4237 5 TELEPHONE: (602) 255-6000 FACSIMILE: (602) 255-0103 6 E-Mail: rah@tblaw.com 7 gew@tblaw.com anz@tblaw.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA 11 COUNTY OF MARICOPA 12 CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC., a Delaware 13 corporation; and CHI CONSTRUCTION CASE NO. CV2014-012379 COMPANY, an Arizona corporation; and Does 14 1-100 inclusive, 15 SUMMONS Plaintiff. 16 ٧. 17 (Assigned to the Honorable ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP, an 18 Christopher Whitten) Arizona limited liability limited partnership; 19 ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ANDREW 20 LAUREN CABINETS, LLC; an Arizona If you would like legal advice from a lawyer, limited liability company; ARTISTIC STAIRS, 21 contact the Lawyer Referral Service at LTD., an Arizona corporation; ATRIUM 602-257-4434 DOOR AND WINDOW COMPANY OF 22 0f ARIZONA, INC.; an Delaware corporation; 23 www.maricopalawyers.org CATALINA ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC., Sponsored by the an Arizona corporation; CHAS ROBERTS 24 Maricopa County Bar Association AIR CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona corporation; CTI OF MARYLAND, INC. 25 (FN), dba CREATIVE TOUCH INTERIORS 26 AND HD SUPPLY INTERIOR SOLUTIONS

a Maryland Corporation; FLOORWORKS, 1 INC., a Delaware corporation; DESIGN DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado 2 corporation; DIXON BROTHERS, INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation; 3 MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY 4 I, LLC dba DOOR SALES, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; DVC 5 CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; ERICKSON 6 CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited 7 liability company; EXECUTIVE PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC., an Arizona corporation; 8 GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC., dba 9 GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND 10 UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited liability 11 company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona corporation; GMS CONCRETE 12 SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona corporation; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a 13 California corporation; INFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability 14 company; JR MCDADE CO., INC., an Arizona 15 corporation; MADJ, INC. dba LODI GARAGE DOOR & MORE, an Arizona corporation; 16 MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; MPC 17 CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an 18 Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; 19 L.R. BORELLI INC. dba PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES CO., an Arizona corporation; 20POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC., an Arizona corporation; ROBERT 21 MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an 22 Arizona limited liability company; SHARICO ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; 23 SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SPECIALTY 24 ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona 25 corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY, 26 INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED

-2-

SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA INSULATION SPECIALISTS, a Minnesota corporation; VALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I -XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; and DOES I - XX,

Defendants,

STATE OF ARIZONA TO THE DEFENDANTS:

# SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A"

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to appear and defend, within 11 the time applicable, in this action in this Court. If served within Arizona, you shall 12 appear and defend within 20 days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon 13 you, exclusive of the day of service. If served out of the State of Arizona - whether by direct service, by registered or certified mail, or by publication - you shall appear and defend within 30 days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you is complete, exclusive of the day of service. Where process is served upon the Arizona Director of Insurance as an insurer's attorney to receive service of legal process against it in this state, the insurer shall not be required to appear, answer or plead until expiration of 40 days after the date of such service upon the Director. Service by registered or certified mail without the State of Arizona is complete 30 days after the date of filing the receipt and affidavit of service with the Court. Service by publication is complete 30 days after the date of first publication. Direct service is complete when made. Service upon the Arizona Motor Vehicle Superintendent is complete 30 days after filing the Affidavit of Compliance and return receipt or Officer's Return. RCP 4; RFLP 40; ARS §§20-222; 28-2327.

25 26 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that in case of your failure to appear and defend within the time applicable, judgment by default may be rendered against you for

-3-

	1 the relief demanded in the Complaint.
	2 YOU ARE CAUTIONED that in order to appear and defend, you must file an
	Answer or proper response in writing with the Clerk of this Court, accompanied by the
	<sup>4</sup> necessary filing fee, within the time required, and you are required to serve a copy of
- -	any Answer or response upon the Plaintiffs' attorney. RCP 10(d); ARS §12-311; RCP 5.
, (	YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that requests for reasonable accommodation
1 	for persons with disabilities must be made to the division assigned to the case by parties
8	at least 3 judicial days in advance of a scheduled court proceeding.
9	The name and address of plaintiffs' attorneys are:
10	Rosary A. Hernandez, Esq. Gregory E. Williams, Esq.
11	Ashley Zimmerman, Esq.
12	
13	2525 East Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016
14	(602) 255-6000
15	SIGNED AND SEALED this date:
16	
17	MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
18	COPY
19	ByJAN 1 6 2015
20	Deputy Clerk
21	((SERT)) MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK B. OLSON DEPUTY CLERK
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	-4-

<u>EXHIBIT 'A'</u>		
DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT	
ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP	Kenneth Rudisill, Esq. 21448 N. 78 <sup>th</sup> Dr. Peoria, AZ 85382	
ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC.	Corporation Service Company 2338 W. Royal Palm Rd., Suite J Phoenix, AZ 85021	
ANDREW LAUREN CABINETS, LLC	The Andrew Lauren Company, Inc. 2843 N. Alvernon Way Tucson, AZ 85712	
ARTISTIC STAIRS, LTD.	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016	
CATALINA ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC.	Richard Chambliss 1122 E. Jefferson Phoenix, AZ 85034	
CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING, INC.	Clark Hill PLC 14850 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 500 Scottsdale, AZ 85254	
CTI OF MARYLAND, INC. (FN), DBA CREATIVE TOUCH INTERIORS AND HD SUPPLY INTERIOR SOLUTIONS	Corporate Creations Network 8655 E. Via De Ventura, Ste. G200 Scottsdale, AZ 85258	
FLOORWORKS, INC.	Corporate Creations Network 8655 E. Via De Ventura, Ste. G200 Scottsdale, AZ 85258	
DESIGN DRYWALL WEST, INC.	Debra T. Stewart-Dillon 6950 W. Morelos Pl., #1 Chandler, AZ 85226	

# EXHIBIT "A"

1

-5-

DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
DIXON BROTHERS, INCORPORATED	Mike Dixon or Charles Dixon 1133 E. Cinnabar Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85020
DOOR SALES, LLC dba MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY I, LLC	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
DVC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.	12475 W. Alice Ave. El Mirage, AZ 85335
ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
EXECUTIVE PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC.	Jeffrey Johnson 48412 N. Black Canyon Highway, #175 New River, AZ 85087
GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, LLC	Richard Joseph 7070 W. Frier Dr. Glendale, AZ 85303
GENERAL PLUMBING, INC.	Larry Mueller 2600 N. Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004
GMS CONCRETE SPECIALISTS, INC.	Robert Lane 4001 N. 3 <sup>rd</sup> St., Suite 400 Phoenix, AZ 85012
HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC.	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
NFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC	Martin Cook 6967 Speedway, Ste. AA-101 Las Vegas, NV 89115

۰**.** •

/

DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
	Thomas Bowen 5373 Annie Oakley Dr. Las Vegas, NV 89120
JR MCDADE CO., INC.	David Evans 1355 E. Northern Ave., Suite 1 Phoenix, AZ 85020
MADJ dba LODI GARAGE DOOR & MORE	3231 W. Virginia Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85009
MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC	Emily May Cassaday 2153 N. Lemon Circle Mesa, AZ 85215
MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.	BLG Agent Services, LLC 14850 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 350 Scottsdale, AZ 85254
PALO VERDE PLASTERING, INC.	Brenda Ferra 23440 N. 35 <sup>th</sup> Dr. Glendale, AZ 85310
L.R. BORELLI INC. dba PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES CO.	1220 S. Pasadena, Suite 1 Mesa, AZ 85210
POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC.	Henry Stein 2826 S. Carriage Lane, Suite 100 Mesa, AZ 85202
ROBERT MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC	M. Kent Mecham c/o Mecham & Associates Chartere 7830 N. 23 <sup>rd</sup> Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85021
SHARICO ENTERPRISES, INC	R.N. Dickson 13470 W. Foxfire Dr., Suite 33 Surprise, AZ 85378

DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC	Gary Shroer 22116 N. Valerio Dr. Sun City West, AZ 85375
SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC	Julie Pace c/o The Cavanagh Law Firm 1850 N. Central Ave., Suite 2400 Phoenix, AZ 85004
THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC.	Pauline Thomas 7601 N. 74 <sup>th</sup> Ave. Glendale, AZ 85303
JNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC.	Keith Clouse 1132 E. Lockwood St. Mesa, AZ 85203 Ryan Clouse 2113 E. Folley St.
INITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. ba MESA INSULATION PECIALISTS	Chandler, AZ 85225 National Registered Agents 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
ALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC.	Mark Lasee 8601 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 300 Scottsdale, AZ 85253
/ESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., VC.	Roderick Westfall 4302 E. Weldon Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85018


LEGAL:05708-0399/3698903.1

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona 1 limited liability company; EXECUTIVE 2 PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC., an Arizona corporation; GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba 3 BUILDER SERVICES, INC., a Florida 4 corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona corporation; GMS CONCRETE 5 6 SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona 7 corporation; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a California 8 corporation; INFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; JR MCDADE CO., 9 INC., an Arizona corporation; LODI  $\cdot 10$ GARAGE DOOR & MORE dba MADJ, INC. an Arizona corporation; MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona 11 limited liability company, MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an 12 Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE 13 PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES CO. dba L.R. BORELLI 14 INC., an Arizona corporation; POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE 15 INC., an Arizona corporation; ROBERT 16 MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SHARICO ENTERPRÍSES, INC., an 17 Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited 18 liability company; SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona 19 corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC., an Arizona 20 21 corporation; UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA 22 INSULATION, a Utah corporation: VALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL 23 COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona 24 corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I - XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; 25 and DOES I - XX, 26 Defendants. 27 28 ///

LEGAL:05708-0399/3698903.1

-2-

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneya at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85018-2710 TELEPHONE 802-441-1350 + FXX 602-441-1350

Plaintiffs Continental Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company (collectively
 "Plaintiffs"), through undersigned counsel hereby respectfully submit their Complaint against
 Defendants as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

Continental Homes, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware corporation
authorized to do business and was doing business in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.

7 2. CHI Construction Company was at all times material hereto an Arizona
8 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa,
9 State of Arizona.

Upon information and belief, Defendant ABS Inspection Group, LLLP was at all
 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability limited partnership authorized to do business
 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. ABS Inspection
 Group, LLLP entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the subject Project,
 Sunset Farm, located in Tolleson, County of Maricopa, State of Arizona (hereinafter the
 "Project").

Upon information and belief, Defendant Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc.
 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Adams Bros Interiors &
 Cabinets entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein
 it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the subject Project.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Andrew Lauren AZ dba RCC Holdings,
LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do
business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Andrew
Lauren AZ dba RCC Holdings, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
the Project.

4

LEGAL:05708-0399/3698903.1

,

G. Upon information and belief, Defendant Artistic Stairs, Ltd. was at all times
 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Artistic Stairs, Ltd. entered into contract(s)
 with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
 materials and perform work at the Project.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Atrium Door and Window Company of
Arizona, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business
and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Atrium Door and
Window Company of Arizona, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
the Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc. was
 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.
 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, Inc.
 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Chas Roberts Air
 Conditioning, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Creative Touch Interiors and HD
 Supply Interior Solutions dba CTI of Maryland, Inc. (FN) was at all times material hereto an
 Maryland corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of
 Maricopa, State of Arizona. Creative Touch Interiors and HD Supply Interior Solutions dba
 CTI of Maryland, Inc. (FN) entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
 the Project.

1 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Floorworks, Inc. dba Creative Touch
 2 Interiors fka Desert Acquisition Corp. was at all times material hereto a Delaware corporation
 3 authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of
 4 Arizona. Floorworks, Inc. dba Creative Touch Interiors fka Desert Acquisition Corp. entered
 5 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 6 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Design Drywall West, Inc. was at all
times material hereto a Colorado corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Design Drywall West, Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Dixon Brothers Incorporated was at all 13 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 14 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Dixon Brothers Incorporated 15 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed 16 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Door Sales, LLC dba Masco Framing
 Holding Company I, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability
 company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa,
 State of Arizona. Door Sales, LLC dba Masco Framing Holding Company I, LLC entered
 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant DVC Construction Company, Inc. was
at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. DVC Construction Company
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomps at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FAX 802-441-1350

28

-5-

Upon information and belief, Defendant Erickson Construction, LLC was at all 1 15. times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was 2 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Erickson Construction, LLC 3 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed 4 5 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Executive Painting Enterprise, Inc. was 6 16. at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 7 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Executive Painting Enterprise, Inc. 8 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, or their duly authorized agent(s), under which it agreed 9 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 10

11 Upon information and belief, Defendant Gale Contractor Services dba Builder 17. Services, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Florida corporation authorized to do business 12 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Gale Contractor 13 Services dba Builder Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC was 18. at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and 18 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Gecko Underground 19 20 Utilities, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 21

22 Upon information and belief, Defendant General Plumbing, Inc. was at all times 19. material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 23 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. General Plumbing, Inc. entered into 24 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 25 construction materials and perform work at the Project. 26

27 Upon information and belief, Defendant GMS Concrete Specialists, Inc. was at 20. all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + 14 15 2525 E. 16 17

), SUITE 450 018-4210

.

business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. GMS Concrete Specialists, Inc.
 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

4 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc. was at all
5 times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was doing
6 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc.
7 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
8 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant Infinity Building Products, Inc. was at
10 all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
11 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Infinity Building Products, Inc.
12 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
13 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

14 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant JR McDade Co., Inc. was at all times
15 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
16 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. JR McDade Co., Inc. entered into contract(s)
17 with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
18 materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Lodi Garage Door & More dba MADJ,
 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Lodi Garage Door & More
 dba MADJ, Inc.entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

24 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mesa Fully Formed, LLC was at all
25 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
26 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mesa Fully Formed, LLC
27 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs and/or their duly authorized agent(s), under which it
28 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant MPC Contracting Company, Inc. was at 1 22. all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 2 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MPC Contracting Company, Inc. 3 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed 4 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 5

6 Upon information and belief, Defendant Palo Verde Plastering, Inc. was at all 23. times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 7 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Palo Verde Plastering, Inc. entered 8 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 9 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 10

11 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant Partitions & Accessories Co. dba L.R. Borelli Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 12 13 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Partitions & Accessories Co. dba L.R. Borelli Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly 14 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

17 Upon information and belief, Defendant Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. 25. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 18 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Poco Verde Pools and 19 Landscape, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 20 21 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

22 Upon information and belief, Defendant Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC 26. was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business 23 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Robert McDaniel 24 Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 25 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 26 27 27.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Sharico Enterprises, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350 SUITE 450 18-4210 TELEPHONE

15

r

business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sharico Enterprises, Inc. entered
 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

4 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sonoran Concrete, LLC was at all times
5 material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing
6 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sonoran Concrete, LLC entered
7 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
8 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant Specialty Roofing, Inc. was at all times
10 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
11 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Specialty Roofing, Inc. entered into
12 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
13 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

14 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant Thomas Electric, Inc. was at all times
15 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
16 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Thomas Electric, Inc. entered into
17 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
18 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

19 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant United Fence Company, Inc. was at all
20 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
21 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Fence Company, Inc.
22 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
23 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

32. Upon information and belief, Defendant United Subcontractors, Inc. dba Mesa
Insulation, a Utah corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation
authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of
Arizona. United Subcontractors, Inc. dba Mesa Insulation entered into contract(s) with

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomoys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction 1 2 materials and perform work at the Project.

3 Upon information and belief, Defendant Valley Gate Services, Inc., an Arizona 33. corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 4 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Valley Gate 5 Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8 Upon information and belief, Defendant Westy's Soil Compacting Co., Inc., an ·34. Arizona corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do 9 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Westy's 10 Soil Compacting Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 11 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 12

Upon information and belief, Defendants, Black Corporations I - XX are 13 35. fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request 14 permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are 15 discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein. 16

17 Upon information and belief, Defendants, White Partnerships I - XX are 36. fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request 18 permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are 19 discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein. 20

21 Upon information and belief, Defendants, Does I - XX are fictitious names 37. whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request permission to 22 insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are discovered with 23 the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein. 24

25 Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(5), (7), (12) and 38. 26 (18).

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP ELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602 6

7

27

139. As used throughout this Complaint, the above named defendants are collectively2referred to as "Subcontractor Defendants." The term "Subcontractor Defendants" shall also3include fictitious named defendants.

4 40. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in the
5 above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Defendant has an obligation to indemnify
6 Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials supplied, and/or
7 professional services.

8 41. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in the
9 above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Defendant has an obligation to indemnify
10 Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials supplied, and/or
11 professional services.

42. Upon information and belief, pursuant to language contained in those abovereferenced contracts and as may otherwise apply by law, each Subcontractor Defendant has an
obligation to defend Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work and/or
professional services.

16 43. The owners of certain residences within the Project have alleged various
17 construction defects that include:

Architectural

1.0 Site

1.1 Soil subsidence – improperly prepared and compacted soil can cause poor drainage resulting in settlement, heaving and cracking of foundation components.

1.2 Cracked concrete flatwork – improperly prepared and compacted soil, improper mix, placement, thickness and curing.

1.3 Improper site drainage.

1.4 Site walls and gates – problems may indicate deficiencies with the soils preparation for the wall foundation, the wall foundation insulation, and deficiencies in the wall or gate construction.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attoneys at Law 2325 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FAX 802-441-1350

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EGAL:05708-0399/3698903.1

*•		
	1	<ul> <li>1.5 Spalled Concrete – deteriorated and/or cracked.</li> <li>1.6 Stemwall – deteriorated and/or cracked.</li> </ul>
	3	deteriorated and/or cracked.
	4	<ul><li>1.7 Improper slope at flatwork.</li><li>2.0 Below Grade</li></ul>
	5	
	6	2.1 Improperly installed irrigation system
	7	3.0 Exterior Walls, Soffits and Foundations
	8	3.1 Stucco – improper stucco installation; deteriorated and faded paint at
	° 9	stucco; unsealed penetrations.
	,	3.2 Foundations – improper slope at garage slab; wire rusted through at
	10	stem: stem spalling; cracked concrete slab at garage; over pour at
BERMAN LLP SUITE 450 16-4210 602-441-1350	11	door; spalling at stem wall; cracked concrete stoop.
SERMA SUITE 4: 54210 02-441-	12	4.0 Windows and Doors
NG & HOAD FACAV	13	4.1 Windows – Water intrusion at window; loose or missing trim
HENNI Iomeyr LBACK I ARIZON 41-1300	14	window product malfunctioning; cracked/damaged glazing; gap at
MITH, 1 At At CAME OENIX. E 602-4	15	window trim; window does not latch; window sticks; window trim
WOOD, SMITH, HENNI Attorneys 2525 E. CAMELBACK PHOENIX, ARIZON TELEPHONE 602-441-1300	16 17	warped; loose grid inside fixed atrium window; dust intrusion at window.
	18	4.2 Doors – operational problems at doors; improper weather stripping;
	19	water intrusion at exterior door; exterior doors bind; unsealed
	20	threshold; exterior door improper sealed at head.
	21	5.0 Private Decks; Entry Decks, Stairs
	22	5.1. Loose hand rails.
	23	5.2 Guardrail less than 42" in height
	24	5.3 Improper application or failure of deck membrane or deck
	25	components.
	26	6.0 Roofs
	27	6.1 Roofs – Improperly constructed roof tile systems; tiles being
	28	displaced from their original position; tiles falling off the structure;
	- เม	EGAL:05708-0399/3698903.1 -12-

.

flashings incorrect; water draining problems. These ultimately can allow water intrusion into the roofing system and cause damage to framing and interior finishes and contents.

7.0 Framing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

- 7.1 Framing deficiencies attic: no blocking at ridge unblocked diaphragm; sagging trusses at ceiling; wall bowed; loose low wall.
- 7.2 Floor squeaks improper installed, missing, broken or defective structural components of roof and floor systems can compromise the system as a whole and lead to failure.
- 7.3 Broken or cut framing sheathing flaking at eave; saw cut at patio beam; split truss chord; broken trusses.
- 7.4 Undersized attic access.
- 7.5 Missed nails at roof sheathing improperly installed, missing, broken or defective structural components of roof and floor systems can compromise the system as a whole and lead to failure; missed nails at truss/sheathing edge.

# 8.0 Interior Floors, Stairs, Walls and Ceiling

- 8.1 Floors cracked grout at floor tile; hollow tile; grout cracking; cracked or broken floor tile; uneven tile: lippage; hollow tile: insufficient thinset; stained sheet vinyl flooring; sheet vinyl flooring failure; carpet loose.
- 8.2 Walls and ceiling improper gypsum wallboard installation; moisture damaged gypsum wallboard walls and ceilings; improper attic insulation thickness; interior finish failing.
- 9.0 Interior Doors
  - 9.1 Interior doors that bind may indicate deficiencies with the soils preparation for the foundation, foundation installation, and deficiencies in structural components, installation or design problems

LEGAL:05708-0399/3698903.1

,

- binding; inoperable hardware: not latching; door handle came loose/came apart; separation at door trim; improperly installed or missing interior trim; corner base board missing; interior doors improperly sealed or painted.

10.0 Cabinets and Countertops

- 10.1-3 Countertop loose; countertops inadequately attached; countertop delaminating; delaminating/separation at joint/miter; splash separation.
- 10.4 Improperly installed cabinets; cabinet door broken; cabinets pulling away from wall; kick plate loose.

10.5 Cracked marble top; cracking in vanity top.

11.0 Tubs and Showers

11.1 Shower and/or tub/shower enclosure leaks – water damage to adjacent finishes; shower wall flexes; tub/shower wall flexes; shower/tub floor creaks; ceiling stains from leak above; shower enclosure frame finish worn off – rusted; stained and damaged subfloor.

13.0 Plumbing

13.1 Loose plumbing fixtures and piping – toilet loose; loose tub faucet/spout; loose mixing valve; shower head loose; plumbing does not work – broken shutoff valve in front yard; angle stops are loose at faucet and toilet; unsecured water heater; loose valve piping in wall; loose faucets.

13.2 Short vent stacks.

13.3 Improper insulation of pipes, fixtures and water heater - no overflow at tub; low water pressure; water leaks at shut-off valve/sink; damage at water heater stand; corrosion at valve; shut off valve does not operate properly; water main pipe corrosion; mixing valve reversed;

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85018-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EGAL:05708-0399/3698903.1

-14-

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorness at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1350 + FAX 602-441-1350 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

missing bollard in garage; drain pipe leaks; leaking water heater. 14.0 Mechanical

14.1 Improper HVAC operation – insufficient air flow; deteriorating insulation at condenser line.

14.2 Improper condensate line installation – exposed condenser lines; penetration sleeve buried in stucco.

14.5 Improperly installed components – catch pan loose – not strapped up; condenser unit not level; damage to drywall at ceiling from leaking condensate line; missing HVAC register; condenser too close to structure; unsealed HVAC lines at penetration.

15.0 Electrical

15.2 Improper installation of lights, switches and outlets.

15.3 Unsealed light fixture.

15.4 Rusted light fixture.

44. The homeowners that have alleged damages resulting from the defects listed
above are identified in Exhibit "A". Upon information and belief, other homeowners may be
bringing similar claims in addition to those identified in Exhibit "A" and should those claims
be brought, Plaintiffs request permission to insert the names of these additional homeowners
at such time as the true names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been
set forth specifically herein.

45. If the homeowners' allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed by
them are directly and proximately caused by the defective, negligent, careless and/or reckless
construction work and/or professional services and/or defective materials/products/systems
supplied by Subcontractor Defendants.

46. Each Defendant received reasonable notice of the homeowners' claims and had
an opportunity to defend Plaintiffs.

47. Notwithstanding Plaintiffs' invitations and demands to participate in pre28 litigation negotiations and defend Plaintiffs, each Defendant has failed to do so.

48. As a result of each Defendant's refusal to defend and indemnify, Plaintiffs were
 forced to defend themselves and continue to incur substantial attorneys' fees, expert fees, and
 costs.

4 49. Each Defendant expressly and/or impliedly warranted that its work would be
5 performed in a good and workmanlike manner, be free from defect, and that its products and
6 materials would not be defective.

50. Each Subcontractor Defendant expressly agreed to obtain additional insured
endorsements naming Plaintiffs as additional insureds under their respective policies of
insurance.

10 51. Each Defendant owed Plaintiffs a duty to ensure its work was performed in
11 accordance with, among other things, applicable construction standards and the applicable
12 Project documents, including plans and specifications, and that its products were without
13 defect.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# **Demand for Arbitration**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

17 52. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
18 Paragraphs 1 through 51 of this Complaint.

19 53. Upon information and belief, each Subcontractor Defendant entered into written
20 agreements with Plaintiffs to resolve any and all disputes through binding arbitration.

54. This Complaint is intended to toll any applicable statutes of limitations and/or statutes of repose. Plaintiffs do not waive and expressly reserve their right to resolve the subject matter of this Complaint through arbitration. Plaintiffs' Demand for Arbitration is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." Alternatively, should this Court or other tribunal of competent jurisdiction determine that arbitration of the subject matter of this Complaint is not required or otherwise invalid or unenforceable under the parties' written agreements, Plaintiffs bring the remaining causes of action before this Court.

28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2325 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TalePhone 602-441-1300 • FXX 602-441-1350

14

.15

I	
2	Order compelling Subcontractor Defendants to arbitrate in accordance with the written
3	arbitration agreements.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

## **Express Indemnity**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

7 56. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
8 Paragraphs 1 through 55 of this Complaint.

9 57. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant contained
10 language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant agreed to indemnify, defend and
11 hold Plaintiffs harmless.

12 58. The acts of the Subcontractor Defendants are the direct and proximate cause, in
13 whole or in part, of the damages alleged by the homeowners.

14 59. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for all such
15 losses or damages they have sustained, or will sustain, as the result of settlement, judgment,
16 award, and/or compromise.

17 60. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
18 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
19 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment
20 interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded
21 above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any
22 amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Breach of Contract**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

26 61. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
27 paragraphs 1 through 60 of this Complaint.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Lew 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA BSO164210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FXX 602-441-1350

23

24

25

28

4

5

6

-17-

62. Subcontractor Defendants also agreed under the one or more contracts with
 Plaintiffs to conduct their work in a good and workmanlike manner in compliance with the
 plans and specifications, applicable building codes and guidelines of the Arizona Registrar of
 Contractors, and to complete work that is free from defects. Additionally, Subcontractor
 Defendants agreed to supply materials that would be of merchantable quality and reasonably
 fit for its intended purpose.

63. Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by failing to
8 perform their work in compliance with said contractual obligations.

9 64. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Defendants have failed to obtain the
10 required additional insured coverage required under the subcontracts. The subcontracts
11 contain the following insurance provision:

Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, (\$1,000,000 general aggregate, and \$1,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate). The aggregate limits shall apply separately on each project, contract, job or phase. Subcontractor agrees that each contract signed shall represent and be deemed a separate and distinct project. The commercial general liability insurance shall be on the 07/98 ISO form or an equivalent and shall specifically include coverage for Subcontractor's obligations under any indemnification/hold harmless provisions in the Contract. The commercial general liability policy shall be endorsed to include CHI Construction Company, D.R. Horton, Inc., their respective subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies and their respective partners, members, directors, officers, employees and agents as additional insureds (collectively, the "Additional Insureds"), using form CG20101185 or an equivalent form, with respect to any claims, losses, expenses or other costs arising out of the Contract and shall also be endorsed as primary coverage with respect to any other insurance which may be carried by the Additional Insureds. It is expressly agreed that any other insurance covering Additional Insured, is excess over and noncontributing with Subcontractor's commercial general liability insurance.

65. Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by failing to
procure the required additional insured endorsements on their insurance policies.

66. As the result of Subcontractor Defendants' individual breaches of contract,
Plaintiffs have incurred damages and will continue to incur damages, including attorneys'
fees, expert fees, pre-judgment interest, and other expenses.

27 67. The homeowners' claims against Plaintiffs for damages to their homes are the
28 result, in whole or in part, of the acts and/or omissions of Subcontractor Defendants.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 • FAX 602-441-1350

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- ----

. . . . . .
68. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified and held harmless by Subcontractor
 Defendants, and each of them, for their share of all such loss or damage incurred by Plaintiffs
 as the result of any settlement, compromise, judgment, or award that may occur.

69. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment
interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded
above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any
amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Breach of Implied Warranty of Workmanship [All Subcontractor Defendants]

70. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
Paragraphs 1 through 69 of this Complaint.

15 71. Subcontractor Defendants impliedly warranted that their materials/products/systems would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its 16 17 intended purpose and that the work and labor performed under any agreement or instruction would be done in a careful and workmanlike manner in conformance with Arizona 18 construction standards and/or practices and all applicable Project documents, including the 19 plans, specifications, and scopes of work. 20

72. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred
by the Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Defendants
have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike
manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the
materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and
free from defects.

27 73. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct
28 and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

10

11

1 As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 74. become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 2 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment 3 interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded 4 above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any 5 amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise. 6

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Negligence

# [All Subcontractor Defendants and All Supplier Defendants]

10 75. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 74 of this Complaint.

12 Subcontractor Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to ensure that their work 76. would be performed in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with Arizona construction 13 standards and practices, and that materials so provided would be free from material defects 14 and/or fit for their intended or represented purpose. 15

16 At all times relevant herein, Supplier Defendants owed a duty of reasonable care 77. to Plaintiffs to ensure the component systems and component parts supplied by Supplier 17 Defendants were properly designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, marketed, 18 selected, and installed at the Project. 19

20Subcontractor Defendants and Supplier Defendants knew, or should have 78. known, that the breach of those duties would cause damage to Plaintiffs, who relied upon 21 Subcontractor Defendants to perform their work properly and according to applicable 22 standards, and to provide products that were free from material defects and were good for their 23 respective and conjunctive intended and represented purposes. 24

25 Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, including damage alleged 79. to property other than the Subcontractor Defendants' work itself, and/or damages incurred by 26 Plaintiffs, Subcontractor Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiffs by negligently failing 27 to ensure that their work was performed in a workmanlike manner in accordance with all 28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

7

8

9

applicable construction standards, and that materials provided for use in the development by 1 Subcontractor Defendants and Supplier Defendants were free from defects, and were 2 reasonably fit for their respective and conjunctive intended purposes as represented to 3 4 Plaintiffs.

80. As a result of these breaches of warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct and consequential damages to be proven at trial.

7 As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 81. become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 8 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment 9 interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Common Law/Implied Indemnity**

# [All Subcontractor Defendants and All Supplier Defendants]

16 Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 82. Paragraphs 1 through 81 of this Complaint. 17

18 Plaintiffs are entirely without active fault with regard to the acts or omissions 83. giving rise to the homeowners' construction defects claims, and thus, they are entitled to 19 recovery from Subcontractor Defendants and Supplier Defendants. 20

21 Pursuant to the facts of this case and the parties' relationships, as well as 84. Arizona Common Law and the Restatement of Torts (Second) § 886B, Plaintiffs are entitled to 22 Common Law Indemnity from Subcontractor Defendants and Supplier Defendants for their 23 reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, and all other expenses related in any way to this 24 lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by 25 the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 26 27 compromise.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-135 1, SUITE 450 016-4210

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

85. Plaintiffs seek recovery in common law indemnity under various bases,
 including, without limitation, equity, unjust enrichment, tort and contract.

### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Breach of Contract-Duty to Defend – Declaratory Relief

## [All Subcontractor Defendants]

86. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
7 Paragraphs 1 through 85 of this Complaint.

8 87. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant contained
9 language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant expressly and/or impliedly agreed
10 to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

88. Pursuant to the express indemnity provisions, Plaintiffs are entitled to be
defended by Subcontractor Defendants as a result of any arbitration, action, or other suit
brought by the homeowners and/or repairs necessitated by the defective and/or negligent work
of, and/or defective products supplied by Subcontractor Defendants, including without
limitation, attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs.

89. Subcontractor Defendants have a duty to defend against any claims made against
Plaintiffs arising out of their respective scopes of work.

90. Plaintiffs have a present legal right to be provided a defense by Subcontractor
Defendants.

91. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs have tendered the defense of the action
to Subcontractor Defendants, each of whom rejected, ignored, or failed to properly accept the
tender of defense.

92. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between Plaintiffs and
Subcontractor Defendants in that Plaintiffs contend they are entitled to a present defense from
the Subcontractor Defendants, and Subcontractor Defendants deny same.

93. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for all
attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs sustained as the result of
Subcontractor Defendants' failure to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FX 602-441-1350 3

4

5

-22-

94. Plaintiffs herein seek a declaration by the Court as to their rights and said
 Subcontractor Defendants' duties and obligations to defend Plaintiffs.

95. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment
interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded
above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any
amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

Strict Products Liability/Contribution Pursuant to A.R.S. §12-2509

#### [All Supplier Defendants]

96. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
Paragraphs 1 through 95 of this Complaint.

14 97. At all times relevant herein, Supplier Defendants were responsible for designing,
15 distributing, testing, manufacturing, developing, marketing, selecting, installing and/or
16 warranting the systems and component parts sold and/or installed at the Project, that have
17 allegedly failed prematurely so as to cause an unreasonably dangerous, defective, and unsafe
18 condition for habitation.

98. The alleged failure has created an unreasonably dangerous condition for
property, including, but not limited to, framing, drywall, and interior finishes.

99. If the homeowners' allegations are true, Supplier Defendants knew or should
have known and expected that their products would be placed in the stream of commerce, and
would reach Plaintiffs without substantial change and would be installed in the same defective
condition in which they were originally designed, manufactured and sold.

25 100. Upon information and belief, the products and component parts are designed,
26 distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, marketed, selected, and installed on a mass
27 production and distribution basis.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85018-2210 TELEPHORE 602-441-1300 + PXX 602-441-1350 9

10

11

1 101. If the homeowners' allegations are proven true, the products and component
 2 parts were defective when they left the possession of Supplier Defendants.

3 102. Upon information and belief, the products and component parts provided by
4 Supplier Defendants have not changed from the condition in which they were sold.

5 103. Upon information and belief, the products and component parts have been used
6 and are being used in the matter intended and reasonably foreseeable.

104. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### Strict Products Liability -

# Declaratory Relief Regarding Duty to Defend Pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-684 [All Supplier Defendants]

17 105. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
18 Paragraphs 1 through 104 of this Complaint.

19 106. Certain homeowners at the Project allege that various systems, products, and
20 component parts designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, and marketed, by
21 Supplier Defendants are defective as a result of dezincification corrosion, thereby causing
22 damage to the homeowners.

23 107. If these allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed by the
24 homeowners are the responsibility of the Supplier Defendants, not Plaintiffs.

25 108. Plaintiffs tendered the defense and indemnity of this matter to the Supplier
26 Defendants pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-684, and each of them, rejecting the tender and refusing to
27 defend Plaintiffs.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85018-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FAX 802-441-1350

13

14

15

16

28

-24-

109. Plaintiffs are entitled to an immediate defense and indemnification from the 1 2 Supplier Defendants, including payment of attorneys' fees and costs.

3 As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 110. become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 4 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre-5 judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration б demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, 7 including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise. 8

#### TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

**Breach of Express Warranties** 

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 111. paragraphs 1 through 110 of this Complaint.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

SUITE 450 16-4210

Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, 1 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 8501(

Subcontractor Defendants subcontracts contained the following express 112. warranty:

10.7 Subcontractor warrants to Owner/Contractor that all Warranties. materials and equipment furnished shall be new (unless otherwise specified and agreed to in advance by Owner/Contractor) and that all work under the Contract shall be of good and workmanlike quality, free from faults and defects and in conformance with the Contract Documents. All work not conforming to these requirements, including substitutions not properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective. The warranties provided in this Paragraph 10.7 shall (a) be in addition to and not in limitation of any other warranty or remedy available to Owner/Contractor, (b) be assignable by Owner/Contractor, and (c) be valid for (i) one (1) year from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects not otherwise specified herein, (ii) two (2) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (iii) ten (10) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all structural defects, and (iv) the period prescribed by the respective manufacturers with respect to manufacturers' equipment and appliance warranties. The warranty periods set forth above shall be extended (a) as provided by applicable law and equity, and (b) with respect to latent defects, to the date on which the warranty period would expire if it commenced on the discovery of the applicable latent defect.

113. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred

by the Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Defendants

have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike
 manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the
 materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and
 free from defects.

5 114. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct
6 and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

115. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that this Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs
 and against Defendants as follows:

1. For direct and consequential damages;

2. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon at the statutory rate;

For their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' and expert fees incurred and allowed under any theory, including, but not limited to, the parties' contract, A.R.S. §§12-341.01(A) and 12-1364; and

4. For such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.

21 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this Adday of October, 2014.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

Ву:

ROSARY A. HERNANDEZ MATTHEW B. BALTIERRA Attorneys for Plaintiff

# WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 95016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EGAL:05708-0399/3698903.1

-26-

# EXHIBIT "A"

	MILO WNER MATRIX		
Lomcowners	Addiness A		
Aguilera, Fabliano	3819 S. 103rd Ln.		
Alvarado, Ricardo	10336 W. Albeniz Pl.		
Apodaca, Alma	10332 W. Albeniz Pl.		
Barron, Zuleika	3910 S. 103rd Dr. 10440 W. Wood St.		
Bautista, Miguel & Vazquez, Mercedes			
Bourguignon, Zulema	10452 W. Wood St.		
Bravo, Arcelia	10444 W. Wood St. 10376 W. Atlantis Way 10421 W. Albeniz Pl.		
Canales, Alicia			
Carroll, Gari			
Celado, Jaime & Aracelis	10337 W. Atlantis Way		
Cox, Shane	10343 W. Odeum Ln.		
Dantzler, Cedric	3913 S. 103rd Dr.		
Enriquez, Erik	10314 W. Odeum Ln.		
Evans-Meheula, Michelle	10439 W. Southgate Ave.		
Gallegos, Roselio & Paez-Gallegos, Isela	10428 W. Raymond St.		
Gonzalez, Jaime	3818 S. 104th Ln.		
Griffin, Freddie & Doris	10434 W. Illini St.		
Gutierrez, Martin	10343 W. Southgate Ave.		
Hernandez, Solomon & Sally	4116 S. 104th Ln.		
James, Jordan	10413 W. Wood St.		
Lopez, Alfredo	4204 S. 104th Ln.		
.opez, Alfredo & Edith	4208 S. 104th Ln.		
.opez, Jose	10349 W. Raymond St.		
Aartinez, Hector	10322 W. Odeum Ln.		
AcArthur, Jr., Vernon & Thomas, Crystal	10424 W. Wood St.		

## SUNSET FARMS HOMEOWNER MATRIX

Mendez, Andrea			
	3717 S. 103rd Ln.		
Montijo, Olga	10308 W. Atlantis Way		
Moore, Jason & Kimberly	3905 S. 103rd Dr.		
Nanfito, Mary	10336 W. Atlantis Way		
Navarro, Alfredo	10334 W. Odeum Ln.		
Ordonez, Lorenzo	10432 W. Raymond St.		
Orozco, Agraciana	10433 W. Wood St.		
Ortiz, Manuel & Rocha, Hilda	3815 S. 103rd Ln.		
Perez, Hilberto & Bianca	10412 W. Wood St.		
Ramos, Joe & Maria	10318 W. Odeum Ln.		
Ramos, Juan & Flora	10339 W. Wood St.		
Rivera, Anna	10352 W. Atlantis Way		
Rocha, Juan & Clara	4207 S. 104th Ave.		
Rodriguez, Leonel	10339 W. Odeum Ln.		
Saucedo, Pedro & Mendoza, Glenda	4112 S. 104th Ln.		
Sellers, Chaunsy & Alisha	3918 S. 104th Ln.		
Silverio, Amarildo	4309 S. 104th Ave.		
<b>Taylor, Shawntay</b>	10441 W. Albeniz Pl.		
hompson, Danae	4107 S. 103rd Dr.		
obias, Alzetter	4108 S. 104th Ln.		
'oliver, Kyle & Desiree	3818 S. 103rd Dr.		
asquez, Rosa	10345 W. Atlantis Way		
irgil, Jesus & Consuelo	10344 W. Albeniz Pl.		
/ilson, Ronald & Michelle	4308 S. 104th Ave.		
robel, Michael	10409 W. Raymond St.		
amarripa, Mario & Beatriz	10344 W. Atlantis Way		
aragoza, Ruben & Leonor	10317 W. Atlantis Way		

# EXHIBIT "B"

		1 Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 02018	2)				
		2 <u>Rernandez@wshblaw.com</u> 2 Matthew B. Baltierra (State Bar No. 031174)					
	•	mbaltierra@wshblaw.com					
		WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP 2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 450					
	4	+ II Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4210					
	4	Phone: 602-441-1300  Fax 602-441-1350					
		Attorneys for Claimants					
	(	DEMAND FOR ARBITRATION					
	7						
	8			BITRATION DEMAND FOR:			
	ç	ICONSTRUCTION COMPANY, an		DITRATION DEMAND FOR:			
	2	Arizona corporation; and Does 1-100 inclusive,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	10		1.	EXPRESS INDEMNITY			
	11	Plaintiff,	2.	BREACH OF CONTRACT			
3	·	v.	3.	BREACH OF IMPLIED			
	. 12			WARRANTY OF			
T F	13	ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP, an	4.	WORKMANSHIP NEGLIGENCE			
5	15	Arizona limited liability limited partnership; ADAMS BROS INTERIORS	5.	COMMON LAW / IMPLIED			
	14	& CABINETS, INC., an Arizona	5.	INDEMNITY			
	15	corporation; ANDREW LAUREN AZ dba RCC HOLDINGS, LLC; an Arizona	6.	BREACH OF CONTRACT -			
		corporation; ARTISTIC STAIRS, LTD.		DUTY TO DEFEND -			
	16	an Arizona corporation; ATRIUM DOOR AND WINDOW COMPANY OF		DECLARATORY RELIEF			
	17	ARIZONA, INC.; an Delaware	7.	STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY			
	18	Corporation; CATALINA ROOFING AND		/ CONTRIBUTION PURSUANT			
	10	SUPPLY, INC., an Arizona corporation; CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING,		TO A.R.S. §12-2509 STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY			
	19	INC., an Arizona corporation: CREATIVE	<b>.</b> .				
	20	TOUCH INTERIORS AND HD SUPPLY INTERIOR SOLUTIONS dba CTI OF	8.	DECLARATORY RELIEF			
		MARYLAND, INC. (FN), a Maryland		REGARDING DUTY TO			
	21	Corporation ; FLOORWORKS, INC. dba CREATIVE TOUCH INTERIORS fka		DEFEND PURSUANT TO A.R.S. § 12-684			
	22	DESERT ACQUISITION CORP., a	9.	BREACH OF EXPRESS			
	23	Delaware corporation; DESIGN DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado		WARRANTY			
		corporation; DIXON BROTHERS.					
	24	INCORPORATED, an Arizona					
	25	corporation; DOOR SALES, LLC, dba MASCO FRAMING HOLDING					
	26	COMPANY I. LLC, an Arizona					
	20	corporation; DVC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an Arizona					
	27	corporation; ERICKSON					
	28	CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company: EXECUTIVE					
	-0	THE REPORT OF THE ACTION OF TH					
	ł						

LEGAL:05708-0399/3703575.1

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Lew 2325 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 55016-4210 TELEPHONE 502-441-1300 + FXX 502-441-1350 II

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ANZONA 5016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FX 602-441-1350

PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC., an 1 Arizona corporation; GALÉ 2 CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba BUILDER SERVICES, INC., a Florida 3 corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited -4 liability company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona 5 corporation; GMS CONCRETE SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona 6 corporation; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a California 7 corporation; INFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; JR MCDADE CO., 8 INC., an Arizona corporation; LODI 9 GARAGE DOOR & MORE dba MADJ, INC. an Arizona corporation; MESA 10 FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an 11 Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE 12 PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; PARTITIONS & 13 ACCESSORIES CO. dba L.R. BORELLI INC., an Arizona corporation; POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE 14 INC., an Arizona corporation; ROBERT MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an 15 Arizona limited liability company; SHARICO ENTERPRISES, INC., an 16 Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited 17 liability company; SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; 18 THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED FENCE 19 COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED 20 SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA 24 INSULATION, a Utah corporation; VALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC., an 22 Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SÓIL COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona 23 corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I - XX: WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; 24 and DOES I - XX. 25 Defendants. 26 27 Hİ. 28 LEGAL:05708-0399/3703575.1

-2-

Plaintiffs Continental Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company (collectively
 "Plaintiffs"), through undersigned counsel hereby respectfully submit their Complaint against
 Defendants as follows:

#### JURISDICTION

5 1. Continental Homes, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware corporation 6 authorized to do business and was doing business in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.

7 2. CHI Construction Company was at all times material hereto an Arizona
8 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa,
9 State of Arizona.

Upon information and belief, Defendant ABS Inspection Group, LLLP was at all
 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability limited partnership authorized to do business
 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. ABS Inspection
 Group, LLLP entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the subject Project,
 Sunset Farm, located in Tolleson, County of Maricopa, State of Arizona (hereinafter the
 "Project").

Upon information and belief, Defendant Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc.
 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Adams Bros Interiors &
 Cabinets entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein
 it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the subject Project.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Andrew Lauren AZ dba RCC Holdings,
LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do
business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Andrew
Lauren AZ dba RCC Holdings, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
the Project.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 • FAX 802-441-1350 4

LEGAL:05708-0399/3703575.1

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Artistic Stairs, Ltd. was at all times
 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Artistic Stairs, Ltd. entered into contract(s)
 with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
 materials and perform work at the Project.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Atrium Door and Window Company of
Arizona, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business
and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Atrium Door and
Window Company of Arizona, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
the Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc. was
at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, Inc.
was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Chas Roberts Air
Conditioning, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Creative Touch Interiors and HD
Supply Interior Solutions dba CTI of Maryland, Inc. (FN) was at all times material hereto an
Maryland corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of
Maricopa, State of Arizona. Creative Touch Interiors and HD Supply Interior Solutions dba
CTI of Maryland, Inc. (FN) entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
the Project.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

1 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Floorworks, Inc. dba Creative Touch
 2 Interiors fka Desert Acquisition Corp. was at all times material hereto a Delaware corporation
 3 authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of
 4 Arizona. Floorworks, Inc. dba Creative Touch Interiors fka Desert Acquisition Corp. entered
 5 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 6 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Design Drywall West, Inc. was at all
times material hereto a Colorado corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Design Drywall West, Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Dixon Brothers Incorporated was at all
13 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
14 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Dixon Brothers Incorporated
15 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
16 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Door Sales, LLC dba Masco Framing
 Holding Company I, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability
 company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa,
 State of Arizona. Door Sales, LLC dba Masco Framing Holding Company I, LLC entered
 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant DVC Construction Company, Inc. was
at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. DVC Construction Company
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

-5-

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN, LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CANELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA B5015-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

LEGAL:05708-0399/3703575.1

1 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Erickson Construction, LLC was at all
 2 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
 3 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Erickson Construction, LLC
 4 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
 5 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

6 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant Executive Painting Enterprise, Inc. was
7 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
8 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Executive Painting Enterprise, Inc.
9 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, or their duly authorized agent(s), under which it agreed
10 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

11 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant Gale Contractor Services dba Builder
12 Services, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Florida corporation authorized to do business
13 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Gale Contractor
14 Services dba Builder Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
15 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
16 the Project.

17 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC was
18 at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and
19 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Gecko Underground
20 Utilities, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
21 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

19. Upon information and belief, Defendant General Plumbing, Inc. was at all times
material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. General Plumbing, Inc. entered into
contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
construction materials and perform work at the Project.

27 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant GMS Concrete Specialists, Inc. was at
28 all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LI, P Attomys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

-6-

I
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. GMS Concrete Specialists, Inc.
 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

4 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc. was at all
5 times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was doing
6 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc.
7 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
8 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant Infinity Building Products, Inc. was at
all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Infinity Building Products, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

14 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant JR McDade Co., Inc. was at all times
15 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
16 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. JR McDade Co., Inc. entered into contract(s)
17 with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
18 materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Lodi Garage Door & More dba MADJ,
 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Lodi Garage Door & More
 dba MADJ, Inc.entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

24 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mesa Fully Formed, LLC was at all
25 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
26 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mesa Fully Formed, LLC
27 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs and/or their duly authorized agent(s), under which it
28 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

1 Upon information and belief, Defendant MPC Contracting Company, Inc. was at 22. 2 all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MPC Contracting Company, Inc. 3 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed 4 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 5

Upon information and belief, Defendant Palo Verde Plastering, Inc. was at all 6 23. times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 7 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Palo Verde Plastering, Inc. entered 8 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 9 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 10

Upon information and belief, Defendant Partitions & Accessories Co. dba L.R. 11 24. Borelli Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 12 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Partitions & 13 Accessories Co. dba L.R. Borelli Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly 14 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

17 Upon information and belief, Defendant Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. 25. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 18 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Poco Verde Pools and 19 Landscape, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 20 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 21

22 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business 23 24 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 25 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 26

27 Upon information and belief, Defendant Sharico Enterprises, Inc. was at all 27. times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP FAX 602-441-1350 SUITE 450 18-4210 ELEPHONE

15

business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sharico Enterprises, Inc. entered 1 2 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 3 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

4 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sonoran Concrete, LLC was at all times 5 material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sonoran Concrete, LLC entered 6 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9 Upon information and belief, Defendant Specialty Roofing, Inc. was at all times 29. material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 10 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Specialty Roofing, Inc. entered into 11 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 12 13 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Thomas Electric, Inc. was at all times 14 30. material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 15 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Thomas Electric, Inc. entered into 16 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 17 18 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

19 Upon information and belief, Defendant United Fence Company, Inc. was at all 31. times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 20 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Fence Company, Inc. 21 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed 22 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 23

Upon information and belief, Defendant United Subcontractors, Inc. dba Mesa 24 32. Insulation, a Utah corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation 25 authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of 26 Arizona. United Subcontractors, Inc. dba Mesa Insulation entered into contract(s) with 27 28

-9-

7

Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
 materials and perform work at the Project.

3 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant Valley Gate Services, Inc., an Arizona
4 corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business
5 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Valley Gate
6 Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
7 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant Westy's Soil Compacting Co., Inc., an
9 Arizona corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do
10 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Westy's
11 Soil Compacting Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
12 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

35. Upon information and belief, Defendants, Black Corporations I – XX are
fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request
permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are
discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

17 36. Upon information and belief, Defendants, White Partnerships I - XX are
18 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request
19 permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are
20 discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

37. Upon information and belief, Defendants, Does I – XX are fictitious names
whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request permission to
insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are discovered with
the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

38. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(5), (7), (12) and
(18).

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2325 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

27

28

-10-

39. As used throughout this Complaint, the above named defendants are collectively
 referred to as "Subcontractor Defendants." The term "Subcontractor Defendants" shall also
 include fictitious named defendants.

4 40. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in the
5 above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Defendant has an obligation to indemnify
6 Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials supplied, and/or
7 professional services.

8 41. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in the
9 above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Defendant has an obligation to indemnify
10 Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials supplied, and/or
11 professional services.

42. Upon information and belief, pursuant to language contained in those above referenced contracts and as may otherwise apply by law, each Subcontractor Defendant has an
 obligation to defend Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work and/or
 professional services.

43. The owners of certain residences within the Project have alleged various
construction defects that include:

Architectural

1.0 Site

1.1 Soil subsidence – improperly prepared and compacted soil can cause poor drainage resulting in settlement, heaving and cracking of foundation components.

1.2 Cracked concrete flatwork -- improperly prepared and compacted soil, improper mix, placement, thickness and curing.

1.3 Improper site drainage.

1.4 Site walls and gates – problems may indicate deficiencies with the soils preparation for the wall foundation, the wall foundation insulation, and deficiencies in the wall or gate construction.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	_	
WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN ILP Atterneyå al Løw 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1350	1	1.5 Spalled Concrete – deteriorated and/or cracked.
	2	1.6 Stemwall – deteriorated and/or cracked.
	3	1.7 Improper slope at flatwork.
	4	2.0 Below Grade
	5	2.1 Improperly installed irrigation system
	6	3.0 Exterior Walls, Soffits and Foundations
	7	3.1 Stucco – improper stucco installation; deteriorated and faded paint at
	8	stucco; unsealed penetrations.
	9	3.2 Foundations – improper slope at garage slab; wire rusted through at
	10	stem: stem spalling; cracked concrete slab at garage; over pour at
	11	door; spalling at stem wall; cracked concrete stoop.
	12	4.0 Windows and Doors
	13	4.1 Windows – Water intrusion at window; loose or missing trim
	14	window product malfunctioning; cracked/damaged glazing; gap at
	15	window trim; window does not latch; window sticks; window trim
	16	warped; loose grid inside fixed atrium window; dust intrusion at
	17.	window.
	18	4.2 Doors – operational problems at doors; improper weather stripping;
	19	water intrusion at exterior door; exterior doors bind; unsealed
	20	threshold; exterior door improper sealed at head.
	21	5.0 Private Decks; Entry Decks, Stairs
	22	5.1. Loose hand rails.
	23	5.2 Guardrail less than 42" in height
	24	5.3 Improper application or failure of deck membrane or deck
	25	components.
	26	6.0 Roofs
	27	6.1 Roofs – Improperly constructed roof tile systems; tiles being
	28	displaced from their original position; tiles falling off the structure;
	Li	EGAL:05708-0399/3703575.1 -12-

flashings incorrect; water draining problems. These ultimately can allow water intrusion into the roofing system and cause damage to framing and interior finishes and contents.

7.0 Framing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLF

- 7.1 Framing deficiencies attic: no blocking at ridge unblocked diaphragm; sagging trusses at ceiling; wall bowed; loose low wall.
- 7.2 Floor squeaks improper installed, missing, broken or defective structural components of roof and floor systems can compromise the system as a whole and lead to failure.
- 7.3 Broken or cut framing sheathing flaking at eave; saw cut at patio beam; split truss chord; broken trusses.

7.4 Undersized attic access.

7.5 Missed nails at roof sheathing – improperly installed, missing, broken or defective structural components of roof and floor systems can compromise the system as a whole and lead to failure; missed nails at truss/sheathing edge.

# 8.0 Interior Floors, Stairs, Walls and Ceiling

- 8.1 Floors cracked grout at floor tile; hollow tile; grout cracking; cracked or broken floor tile; uneven tile: lippage; hollow tile: insufficient thinset; stained sheet vinyl flooring; sheet vinyl flooring failure; carpet loose.
- 8.2 Walls and ceiling improper gypsum wallboard installation; moisture damaged gypsum wallboard walls and ceilings; improper attic insulation thickness; interior finish failing.

9.0 Interior Doors

9.1 Interior doors that bind may indicate deficiencies with the soils preparation for the foundation, foundation installation, and deficiencies in structural components, installation or design problems .

- binding; inoperable hardware: not latching; door handle came loose/came apart; separation at door trim; improperly installed or missing interior trim; corner base board missing; interior doors improperly sealed or painted.

10.0 Cabinets and Countertops

10.1-3 Countertop loose; countertops inadequately attached; countertop delaminating; delaminating/separation at joint/miter; splash separation.

10.4 Improperly installed cabinets; cabinet door broken; cabinets pulling away from wall; kick plate loose.

10.5 Cracked marble top; cracking in vanity top.

11.0 Tubs and Showers

11.1 Shower and/or tub/shower enclosure leaks – water damage to adjacent finishes; shower wall flexes; tub/shower wall flexes; shower/tub floor creaks; ceiling stains from leak above; shower enclosure frame finish worn off – rusted; stained and damaged subfloor.

13.0 Plumbing

13.1 Loose plumbing fixtures and piping – toilet loose; loose tub faucet/spout; loose mixing valve; shower head loose; plumbing does not work – broken shutoff valve in front yard; angle stops are loose at faucet and toilet; unsecured water heater; loose valve piping in wall; loose faucets.

13.2 Short vent stacks.

13.3 Improper insulation of pipes, fixtures and water heater – no overflow at tub; low water pressure; water leaks at shut-off valve/sink; damage at water heater stand; corrosion at valve; shut off valve does not operate properly; water main pipe corrosion; mixing valve reversed;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-14-

missing bollard in garage; drain pipe leaks; leaking water heater.

14.0 Mechanical

- 14.1 Improper HVAC operation insufficient air flow; deteriorating insulation at condenser line.
- 14.2 Improper condensate line installation exposed condenser lines; penetration sleeve buried in stucco.
- 14.5 Improperly installed components catch pan loose not strapped up; condenser unit not level; damage to drywall at ceiling from leaking condensate line; missing HVAC register; condenser too close to structure; unsealed HVAC lines at penetration.

15.0 Electrical

15.2 Improper installation of lights, switches and outlets.

15.3 Unsealed light fixture.

15.4 Rusted light fixture.

15 44. The homeowners that have alleged damages resulting from the defects listed 16 above are identified in Exhibit "A". Upon information and belief, other homeowners may be 17 bringing similar claims in addition to those identified in Exhibit "A" and should those claims 18 be brought, Plaintiffs request permission to insert the names of these additional homeowners 19 at such time as the true names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been 20 set forth specifically herein.

45. If the homeowners' allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed by
them are directly and proximately caused by the defective, negligent, careless and/or reckless
construction work and/or professional services and/or defective materials/products/systems
supplied by Subcontractor Defendants.

46. Each Defendant received reasonable notice of the homeowners' claims and had
an opportunity to defend Plaintiffs.

47. Notwithstanding Plaintiffs' invitations and demands to participate in pre28 litigation negotiations and defend Plaintiffs, each Defendant has failed to do so.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FXX 802-441-1350 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

48. As a result of each Defendant's refusal to defend and indemnify, Plaintiffs were
 forced to defend themselves and continue to incur substantial attorneys' fees, expert fees, and
 costs.

4 49. Each Defendant expressly and/or impliedly warranted that its work would be
5 performed in a good and workmanlike manner, be free from defect, and that its products and
6 materials would not be defective.

50. Each Subcontractor Defendant expressly agreed to obtain additional insured
endorsements naming Plaintiffs as additional insureds under their respective policies of
insurance.

10 51. Each Defendant owed Plaintiffs a duty to ensure its work was performed in
11 accordance with, among other things, applicable construction standards and the applicable
12 Project documents, including plans and specifications, and that its products were without
13 defect.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Express Indemnity**

## [All Subcontractor Defendants]

17 52. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
18 Paragraphs 1 through 52 of this Complaint.

19 53. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant contained
20 language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant agreed to indemnify, defend and
21 hold Plaintiffs harmless.

54. The acts of the Subcontractor Defendants are the direct and proximate cause, in
whole or in part, of the damages alleged by the homeowners.

55. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for all such
losses or damages they have sustained, or will sustain, as the result of settlement, judgment,
award, and/or compromise.

56. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 95015-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

14

15

therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment 1 interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded 2 above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any 3 amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise. 4

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Breach of Contract**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 57. paragraphs 1 through 56 of this Complaint.

10 58. Subcontractor Defendants also agreed under the one or more contracts with Plaintiffs to conduct their work in a good and workmanlike manner in compliance with the 11 plans and specifications, applicable building codes and guidelines of the Arizona Registrar of 12 Contractors, and to complete work that is free from defects. Additionally, Subcontractor 13 Defendants agreed to supply materials that would be of merchantable quality and reasonably 14 fit for its intended purpose.

Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by failing to 16 59. perform their work in compliance with said contractual obligations. 17

Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Defendants have failed to obtain the 18 60. required additional insured coverage required under the subcontracts. The subcontracts 19 20 contain the following insurance provision:

Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, (\$1,000,000 general aggregate, and \$1,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate). The aggregate limits shall apply separately on each project, contract, job or phase. Subcontractor agrees that each contract signed shall represent and be deemed a separate and distinct project. The commercial general liability insurance shall be on the 07/98 ISO form or an equivalent and shall specifically include coverage for Subcontractor's obligations under any indemnification/hold harmless provisions in the Contract. The commercial general liability policy shall be endorsed to include CHI Construction Company, D.R. Horton, Inc., their respective subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies and their respective partners, members, directors, officers, employees and agents as additional insureds (collectively, the "Additional Insureds"), using form CG20101185 or an equivalent form, with respect to any claims, losses, expenses or other costs arising out of the Contract and shall

5

6

7

8

9

15

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

also be endorsed as primary coverage with respect to any other insurance which may be carried by the Additional Insureds. It is expressly agreed that any other insurance covering Additional Insured, is excess over and noncontributing with Subcontractor's commercial general liability insurance.

Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by failing to 61. procure the required additional insured endorsements on their insurance policies.

62. As the result of Subcontractor Defendants' individual breaches of contract, Plaintiffs have incurred damages and will continue to incur damages, including attorneys' fees, expert fees, pre-judgment interest, and other expenses.

The homeowners' claims against Plaintiffs for damages to their homes are the 63. result, in whole or in part, of the acts and/or omissions of Subcontractor Defendants.

Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified and held harmless by Subcontractor 64. Defendants, and each of them, for their share of all such loss or damage incurred by Plaintiffs as the result of any settlement, compromise, judgment, or award that may occur.

65. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

### Breach of Implied Warranty of Workmanship

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

66. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 65 of this Complaint.

67. Subcontractor Defendants impliedly warranted that their 25 materials/products/systems would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its intended purpose and that the work and labor performed under any agreement or instruction would be done in a careful and workmanlike manner in conformance with Arizona

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP 16 17 18

construction standards and/or practices and all applicable Project documents, including the 1 2 plans, specifications, and scopes of work.

3 Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred 68. by the Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Defendants 4 have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and free from defects.

9 69. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above. 10

11 70. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 12 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment 13. interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded 14 above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any 15 16 amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Negligence

19

17

18

5

6

7

8

[All Subcontractor Defendants and All Supplier Defendants]

20Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 71. Paragraphs 1 through 70 of this Complaint. 21

Subcontractor Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to ensure that their work 22 72. would be performed in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with Arizona construction 23 standards and practices, and that materials so provided would be free from material defects 24 and/or fit for their intended or represented purpose. 25

At all times relevant herein, Supplier Defendants owed a duty of reasonable care 26 73. to Plaintiffs to ensure the component systems and component parts supplied by Supplier 27 28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP ELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-135

.

Defendants were properly designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, marketed,
 selected, and installed at the Project.

74. Subcontractor Defendants and Supplier Defendants knew, or should have
known, that the breach of those duties would cause damage to Plaintiffs, who relied upon
Subcontractor Defendants to perform their work properly and according to applicable
standards, and to provide products that were free from material defects and were good for their
respective and conjunctive intended and represented purposes.

Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, including damage alleged 75. 8 9 to property other than the Subcontractor Defendants' work itself, and/or damages incurred by Plaintiffs, Subcontractor Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiffs by negligently failing 10 11 to ensure that their work was performed in a workmanlike manner in accordance with all applicable construction standards, and that materials provided for use in the development by 12 Subcontractor Defendants and Supplier Defendants were free from defects, and were 13 reasonably fit for their respective and conjunctive intended purposes as represented to 14 15 Plaintiffs.

16 76. As a result of these breaches of warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct and
17 consequential damages to be proven at trial.

18 77. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
19 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
20 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment
21 interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded
22 above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any
23 amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

**Common Law/Implied Indemnity** 

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants and All Supplier Defendants]

27 78. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
28 Paragraphs 1 through 77 of this Complaint.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attoriteys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENX, ARIZONA 95018-4210 TELEPHORE 802-441-1300 + FXX 802-441-1350

24

25

I 79. Plaintiffs are entirely without active fault with regard to the acts or omissions giving rise to the homeowners' construction defects claims, and thus, they are entitled to 2 recovery from Subcontractor Defendants and Supplier Defendants. 3

Pursuant to the facts of this case and the parties' relationships, as well as 4 80. Arizona Common Law and the Restatement of Torts (Second) § 886B, Plaintiffs are entitled to 5 Common Law Indemnity from Subcontractor Defendants and Supplier Defendants for their 6 reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, and all other expenses related in any way to this 7 lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by 8 the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 9 compromise.

Plaintiffs seek recovery in common law indemnity under various bases, 81. including, without limitation, equity, unjust enrichment, tort and contract.

## SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Breach of Contract-Duty to Defend - Declaratory Relief

# [All Subcontractor Defendants]

Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 82. Paragraphs 1 through 81 of this Complaint.

18 Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant contained 83. language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant expressly and/or impliedly agreed 19 to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless. 20

21 84. Pursuant to the express indemnity provisions, Plaintiffs are entitled to be defended by Subcontractor Defendants as a result of any arbitration, action, or other suit 22 brought by the homeowners and/or repairs necessitated by the defective and/or negligent work 23 of, and/or defective products supplied by Subcontractor Defendants, including without 24 limitation, attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs. 25

26 Subcontractor Defendants have a duty to defend against any claims made against 85. Plaintiffs arising out of their respective scopes of work. 27

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP -14

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

86. Plaintiffs have a present legal right to be provided a defense by Subcontractor
 Defendants.

87. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs have tendered the defense of the action
to Subcontractor Defendants, each of whom rejected, ignored, or failed to properly accept the
tender of defense.

88. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between Plaintiffs and
Subcontractor Defendants in that Plaintiffs contend they are entitled to a present defense from
the Subcontractor Defendants, and Subcontractor Defendants deny same.

9 89. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for all
10 attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs sustained as the result of
11 Subcontractor Defendants' failure to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

90. Plaintiffs herein seek a declaration by the Court as to their rights and said
Subcontractor Defendants' duties and obligations to defend Plaintiffs.

14 91. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
15 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
16 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment
17 interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded
18 above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any
19 amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

20 ||

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

# 21 22

## SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Strict Products Liability/Contribution Pursuant to A.R.S. §12-2509 [All Supplier Defendants]

# 92. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 92 of this Complaint.

93. At all times relevant herein, Supplier Defendants were responsible for designing,
distributing, testing, manufacturing, developing, marketing, selecting, installing and/or
warranting the systems and component parts sold and/or installed at the Project, that have

-22-

allegedly failed prematurely so as to cause an unreasonably dangerous, defective, and unsafe 1 2 condition for habitation.

3 94. The alleged failure has created an unreasonably dangerous condition for 4 property, including, but not limited to, framing, drywall, and interior finishes.

5 95. If the homeowners' allegations are true, Supplier Defendants knew or should have known and expected that their products would be placed in the stream of commerce, and 6 7 would reach Plaintiffs without substantial change and would be installed in the same defective condition in which they were originally designed, manufactured and sold.

9 96. Upon information and belief, the products and component parts are designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, marketed, selected, and installed on a mass 10 11 production and distribution basis.

12 97. If the homeowners' allegations are proven true, the products and component 13 parts were defective when they left the possession of Supplier Defendants.

[4 98. Upon information and belief, the products and component parts provided by 15 Supplier Defendants have not changed from the condition in which they were sold.

16 Upon information and belief, the products and component parts have been used 99. 17 and are being used in the matter intended and reasonably foreseeable.

100. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 18 19 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 20 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre-21 judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration 22 demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, 23 including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Strict Products Liability -

Declaratory Relief Regarding Duty to Defend Pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-684 [All Supplier Defendants]

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP ELEPHONE 8

24

25

26

27

101. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
 2 Paragraphs I through 100 of this Complaint.

3 102. Certain homeowners at the Project allege that various systems, products, and
4 component parts designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, and marketed, by
5 Supplier Defendants are defective as a result of dezincification corrosion, thereby causing
6 damage to the homeowners.

7 103. If these allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed by the
8 homeowners are the responsibility of the Supplier Defendants, not Plaintiffs.

9 104. Plaintiffs tendered the defense and indemnity of this matter to the Supplier
10 Defendants pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-684, and each of them, rejecting the tender and refusing to
11 defend Plaintiffs.

12 105. Plaintiffs are entitled to an immediate defense and indemnification from the
13 Supplier Defendants, including payment of attorneys' fees and costs.

14 106. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
15 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
16 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre17 judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
18 demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
19 including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Breach of Express Warranties**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

23 107. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
24 paragraphs 1 through 106 of this Complaint.

25 108. Subcontractor Defendants subcontracts contained the following express
 26 warranty:

27 28

20

21

22

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

10.7 Warranties. Subcontractor warrants to Owner/Contractor that all materials and equipment furnished shall be new (unless otherwise specified and agreed to in advance by Owner/Contractor) and that all work under the
Contract shall be of good and workmanlike quality, free from faults and defects and in conformance with the Contract Documents. All work not conforming to these requirements, including substitutions not properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective. The warranties provided in this Paragraph 10.7 shall (a) be in addition to and not in limitation of any other warranty or remedy available to Owner/Contractor, (b) be assignable by Owner/Contractor, and (c) be valid for (i) one (1) year from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects not otherwise specified herein, (ii) two (2) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (iii) ten (10) years from the date of close of escrow of each house construct for all structural defects, and (iv) the period prescribed by the respective manufacturers with respect to manufacturers' equipment and appliance warranties. The warranty periods set forth above shall be extended (a) as provided by applicable law and equity, and (b) with respect to latent defects, to the date on which the warranty period would expire if it commenced on the discovery of the applicable latent defect.

10 109. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred
11 by the Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Defendants
12 have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike
13 manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the
14 materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and
15 free from defects.

16 110. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct
17 and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

18 111. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 19 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 20 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre-21 judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration 22 demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, 23 including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that this Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs
and against Defendants as follows:

26

27

28

For direct and consequential damages;

2. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon at the statutory rate;

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENX, ARIZONA 95016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 • FAX 602-441-1350 I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.

For their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' and expert fees incurred and 3. allowed under any theory, including, but not limited to, the parties' contract, A.R.S. §§12-341.01(A) and 12-1364; and For such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 4. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of October, 2014.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

By:

RO M ATTHEW TIERRA Attorneys for Plaintiff

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-26-

·

## EXHIBIT "A"

Ношсоулета	OMEOWNER MATRIX Address
Aguilera, Fabliano	3819 S. 103rd Ln.
Alvarado, Ricardo	10336 W. Albeniz Pl.
Apodaca, Alma	10332 W. Albeniz Pl.
Barron, Zuleika	3910 S. 103rd Dr.
Bautista, Miguel & Vazquez, Mercedes	10440 W. Wood St.
Bourguignon, Zulema	10452 W. Wood St.
Bravo, Arcelia	10444 W. Wood St.
Canales, Alicia	10376 W. Atlantis Way
Carroll, Gari	10421 W. Albeniz Pl.
Celado, Jaime & Aracelis	10337 W. Atlantis Way
Cox, Shane	10343 W. Odeum Ln.
Dantzler, Cedric	3913 S. 103rd Dr.
Enriquez, Erik	10314 W. Odeum Ln.
Evans-Meheula, Michelle	10439 W. Southgate Ave.
Gallegos, Roselio & Paez-Gallegos, Isela	10428 W. Raymond St.
Gonzalez, Jaime	3818 S. 104th Ln.
Griffin, Freddie & Doris	10434 W. Illini St.
Sutierrez, Martin	10343 W. Southgate Ave.
lernandez, Solomon & Sally	4116 S. 104th Ln.
ames, Jordan	10413 W. Wood St.
opez, Alfredo	4204 S. 104th Ln.
opez, Alfredo & Edith	4208 S. 104th Ln.
opez, Jose	10349 W. Raymond St.
artinez, Hector	10322 W. Odeum Ln.
cArthur, Jr., Vernon & Thomas, Crystal	10424 W. Wood St.

Mendez, Andrea	2717.0 102 17
Montijo, Olga	3717 S. 103rd Ln.
Moore, Jason & Kimberly	10308 W. Atlantis Way
Nanfito, Mary	3905 S. 103rd Dr.
Navarro, Alfredo	10336 W. Atlantis Way
	10334 W. Odeum Ln.
Ordonez, Lorenzo	10432 W. Raymond St.
Orozco, Agraciana	10433 W. Wood St.
Ortiz, Manuel & Rocha, Hilda	3815 S. 103rd Ln.
Perez, Hilberto & Bianca	10412 W. Wood St.
Ramos, Joe & Maria	10318 W. Odeum Ln.
Ramos, Juan & Flora	10339 W. Wood St.
Rivera, Anna	10352 W. Atlantis Way
Rocha, Juan & Clara	4207 S. 104th Ave.
Rodriguez, Leonel	10339 W. Odeum Ln.
Saucedo, Pedro & Mendoza, Glenda	4112 S. 104th Ln.
Sellers, Chaunsy & Alisha	3918 S. 104th Ln.
Silverio, Amarildo	4309 S. 104th Ave.
faylor, Shawntay	10441 W. Albeniz Pl.
hompson, Danae	4107 S. 103rd Dr.
obias, Alzetter	4108 S. 104th Ln.
'oliver, Kyle & Desiree	3818 S. 103rd Dr.
asquez, Rosa	10345 W. Atlantis Way
irgil, Jesus & Consuelo	10344 W. Albeniz Pl.
/ilson, Ronald & Michelle	4308 S. 104th Ave.
robel, Michael	10409 W. Raymond St.
amarripa, Mario & Beatriz	10344 W. Atlantis Way
aragoza, Ruben & Leonor	10317 W. Atlantis Way

Michael K Jeanes, Clerk of Court \*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\* K. Laird. Deputy ty | **PM** 99

		Michael K Jeanes, Clerk *** Electronically Fil K. Laird, Deput 1/16/2015 4:33:00 Filing ID 634959		
1 2 3 4 5 6	Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 020182) Gregory E. Williams (State Bar No. 020320) Ashley N. Zimmerman (State Bar No. 030595) <b>TB TIFFAN</b> Y & BOSOO SEVENTH FLOOR CAMELBACK ESPLANAD 2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4237 TELEPHONE: (602) 255-6000 FACSIMILE: (602) 255-0103	Filing ID 634959 DE 11		
	E-Mail: rah@tblaw.com			
7	gew@tblaw.com			
8	anz@tblaw.com			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA			
11 ·	COUNTY OF MA	RICOPA		
12				
13 14 15 16 17	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC., a Delaware corporation; and CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, an Arizona corporation; and Does 1-100 inclusive, Plaintiff, v.	CASE NO. CV2014-012379 PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT (Assigned to the Honorable Christopher Whitten)		
18	ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP, an	1. DEMAND		
19 20	Arizona limited liability limited partnership; ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ANDREW	FORARBITRATION 2. EXPRESS INDEMNITY 3. BREACH OF CONTRACT 4. BREACH OF IMPLIED		
21	LAUREN CABINETS, an Arizona limited liability company; ARTISTIC STAIRS, LTD.,	WARRANTY OF		
22	an Arizona corporation; ATRIUM DOOR AND WINDOW COMPANY OF ARIZONA,	WORKMANSHIP 5. NEGLIGENCE 6. COMMON LAW /		
23	INC., an Delaware corporation; CATALINA ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC., an Arizona	6. COMMON LAW / IMPLIED INDEMNITY 7. BREACH OF CONTRACT		
24	corporation; CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona	DUTY TO DEFEND -		
25	corporation; CTI OF MARYLAND, INC.	DECLARATORY RELIEF 8. BREACH OF EXPRESS		
26	(FN), dba CREATIVE TOUCH INTERIORS AND HD SUPPLY INTERIOR SOLUTIONS	8. BREACH OF EAPRESS WARRANTY		

-

11

-1-

÷,

Ņ,

. . . .

a Maryland Corporation; FLOORWORKS, 1 INC., a-Delaware corporation; DESIGN DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado 2 corporation; DIXON BROTHERS, INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation; 3 MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY 4 I, LLC dba DOOR SALES, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; DVC 5 CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; ERICKSON 6 CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited 7 liability company; EXECUTIVE PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC., an Arizona corporation; 8 GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC., dba 9 GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND 10 UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited liability 11 company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona corporation; GMS CONCRETE 12 SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona corporation: HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a 13 California corporation; INFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability 14 company; JR MCDADE CO., INC., an Arizona 15 corporation; MADJ, INC. dba LODI GARAGE DOOR & MORE, an Arizona corporation; 16 MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; MPC 17 CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an 18 Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; 19 L.R. BORELLI INC. dba PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES CO., an Arizona corporation; 20 POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC., an Arizona corporation; ROBERT 21 MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an 22 Arizona limited liability company; SHARICO ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; 23 SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SPECIALTY 24 ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation: THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona 25 corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY, 26 INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED

-2-

SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA 1 **INSULATION SPECIALTIST**, a Minnesota corporation; VALLEY GATE SERVICE, 2 INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona 3 corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I -4 XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; and DOES I - XX, 5 Defendants, 6 Plaintiffs Continental Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company (collectively 7 "Plaintiffs"), through undersigned counsel hereby respectfully submit their Complaint 8 against Defendants as follows: 9 JURISDICTION 10 Continental Homes, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware 1. 11 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business in the County of 12 Maricopa, State of Arizona. 13 2. CHI Construction Company was at all times material hereto an Arizona 14 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of 15 Maricopa, State of Arizona, 16 Upon information and belief, Defendant ABS Inspection Group, LLLP 3. 17 was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability limited partnership authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State 18 of Arizona. ABS Inspection Group, LLLP entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, 19 and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials 20 and perform work at the subject Project, Sunset Farm, located in Tolleson, County of 21 Maricopa, State of Arizona (hereinafter the "Project"). 22 4 Upon information and belief, Defendant Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, 23 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 24 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Adams Bros 25 Interiors & Cabinets entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 26 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the

-3-

1 subject Project.

2

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Andrew Lauren Cabinets, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Andrew Lauren Cabinets, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Artistic Stairs, Ltd. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Artistic Stairs, Ltd. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.
13 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and
14 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Catalina Roofing
15 and Supply, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
16 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
17 Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

<sup>23</sup> 9. Upon information and belief, CTI of Maryland, Inc. (FN) dba Creative
<sup>24</sup> Touch Interiors and HD Supply Interior Solutions was at all times material hereto a
<sup>25</sup> Maryland corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the
<sup>26</sup> County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. CTI of Maryland, Inc. (FN) dba Creative Touch

-4-

Interiors and HD Supply Interior Solutions entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

4

6

7

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Floorworks, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Floorworks, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Design Drywall West, Inc. was at
 9 all times material hereto a Colorado corporation authorized to do business and was doing
 10 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Design Drywall West, Inc.
 11 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
 12 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

13 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Dixon Brothers Incorporated was
14 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
15 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Dixon Brothers
16 Incorporated entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
17 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
18 Project.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Masco Framing Holding Company I, LLC dba Door Sales, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Masco Framing Holding Company I, LLC dba Door Sales, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

25 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant DVC Construction Company, Inc.
26 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and

-5-

was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. DVC Construction Company entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Erickson Construction, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Erickson Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

10 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant Executive Painting Enterprise,
11 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business
12 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Executive
13 Painting Enterprise, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, or their duly authorized
14 agent(s), under which it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
15 the Project.

16 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant Gecko Underground Utilities,
17 LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to
18 do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
19 Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their
20 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and
21 perform work at the Project.

18. Upon information and belief, Defendant General Plumbing, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. General Plumbing, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

26

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

. 8

9

-6-

19. Upon information and belief, Defendant GMS Concrete Specialists, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. GMS Concrete Specialists, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

20. Upon information and belief, Defendant Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc. was at all times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant Infinity Building Products, LLC.
13 was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do
14 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
15 Infinity Building Products, LLC. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their
16 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and
17 perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant JR McDade Co., Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. JR McDade Co., Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

23 Upon information and belief, Defendant MADJ, Inc. dba Lodi Garage
Doors & More was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do
business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
MADJ, Inc. dba Lodi Garage Doors & More entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs,
and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials

-7-

and perform work at the Project. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

24. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mesa Fully Formed, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mesa Fully Formed, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs and/or their duly authorized agent(s), under which it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant MPC Contracting Company, Inc. 25. 8 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MPC Contracting Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

13 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant Palo Verde Plastering, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 14 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Palo Verde Plastering, Inc. 15 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 16 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 17

27. Upon information and belief, Defendant L.R. Borelli Inc. dba Partitions & Accessories Co. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. L.R. Borelli Inc. dba Partitions & Accessories, Co. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

23 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, 24 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 25 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Poco Verde 26 Pools and Landscape, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly

-8-

1 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 2 work at the Project.

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

19

20

21

29. Upon information and belief, Defendant Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

30. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sharico Enterprises, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sharico Enterprises, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

13 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sonoran Concrete, LLC was at all
14 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and
15 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sonoran Concrete,
16 LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
17 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

32. Upon information and belief, Defendant Specialty Roofing, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Specialty Roofing, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

33. Upon information and belief, Defendant Thomas Electric, Inc. was at all
 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Thomas Electric, Inc. entered
 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

-9-

34. Upon information and belief, Defendant United Fence Company, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 2 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Fence 3 Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 4 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the 5 Project. 6

35. Upon information and belief, Defendant United Subcontractors, Inc. dba Mesa Insulation, a Utah corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Subcontractors, Inc. dba Mesa Insulation entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

36. Upon information and belief, Defendant Valley Gate Services, Inc., an 13 Arizona corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 14 Valley Gate Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly 15 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 16 work at the Project.

37. Upon information and belief, Defendant Westy's Soil Compacting Co., 18 Inc., an Arizona corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation 19 authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State 20 of Arizona. Westy's Soil Compacting Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, 21 and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials 22 and perform work at the Project.

23 38. Upon information and belief, Defendants, Black Corporations I – XX are 24 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs 25 request permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true 26 names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth

-10-

10 11

7

8

9

1

12

17

specifically herein. 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

Upon information and belief, Defendants, White Partnerships I - XX are 39. fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true 4 names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

40. Upon information and belief, Defendants, Does I – XX are fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

10 Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(5), (7), (12) 41. 11 and (18).

12 As used throughout this Complaint, the above named defendants are 42. collectively referred to as "Subcontractor Defendants." 13 The term "Subcontractor Defendants" shall also include fictitious named defendants. 14

43. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in 15 the above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Defendant has an obligation to 16 indemnify Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials 17 supplied, and/or professional services. 18

44. Upon information and belief, pursuant to language contained in those above-referenced contracts and as may otherwise apply by law, each Subcontractor Defendant has an obligation to defend Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work and/or professional services.

22 45. The owners of certain residences within the Project have alleged various 23 construction defects including but not limited to:

Architectural

1.0 Site

26

24

25

19

20

21

1.1 Soil subsidence – improperly prepared and compacted soil can

1		cause poor drainage resulting in settlement, heaving and cracking of
2		foundation components.
3		1.2 Cracked concrete flatwork – improperly prepared and compacted
4		soil, improper mix, placement, thickness and curing.
5		1.3 Improper site drainage.
6		1.4 Site walls and gates – problems may indicate deficiencies with the
7		soils preparation for the wall foundation, the wall foundation insulation,
		and deficiencies in the wall or gate construction.
8	•	1.5 Spalled Concrete – deteriorated and/or cracked.
9		1.6 Stemwall – deteriorated and/or cracked.
10		1.7 Improper slope at flatwork.
11	2.0	Below Grade
12		2.1 Improperly installed irrigation system
. 13	3.0	Exterior Walls, Soffits and Foundations
14		3.1 Stucco - improper stucco installation; deteriorated and faded paint at
15		stucco; unsealed penetrations.
16		3.2.1 Unsecured, warped or deteriorated trim.
17		3.2 Foundations – improper slope at garage slab; wire rusted through at
18		stem: stem spalling; cracked concrete slab at garage; over pour at door;
19		spalling at stem wall; cracked concrete stoop.
20	4.0	Windows and Doors
21		4.1 Windows – Water intrusion at window; loose or missing trim
22		window product malfunctioning; cracked/damaged glazing; gap at window
		trim; window does not latch; window sticks; window trim warped; loose
23		grid inside fixed atrium window; dust intrusion at window.
24		4.2 Doors – operational problems at doors; improper weather stripping;
25	-	water intrusion at exterior door; exterior doors bind; unsealed threshold;
26		exterior door improper sealed at head.

,

1	5.0	Private Decks; Entry Decks, Stairs
2		5.1. Loose hand rails.
3		5.2 Guardrail less than 42" in height
4		5.3 Improper application or failure of deck membrane or deck
5		components.
6	6.0	Roofs
7		6.1 Roofs – Improperly constructed roof tile systems; tiles being
8		displaced from their original position; tiles falling off the structure;
9		flashings incorrect; water draining problems. These ultimately can allow
10		water intrusion into the roofing system and cause damage to framing and
11		interior finishes and contents.
	7.0	Framing
12		7.1 Framing deficiencies – attic: no blocking at ridge – unblocked
13		diaphragm; sagging trusses at ceiling; wall bowed; loose low wall.
14		7.2 Floor squeaks – improper installed, missing, broken or defective
15		structural components of roof and floor systems can compromise the
16		system as a whole and lead to failure.
17		7.3 Broken or cut framing – sheathing flaking at eave; saw cut at patio
18		beam; split truss chord; broken trusses.
19		7.4 Undersized attic access.
20		7.5 Missed nails at roof sheathing – improperly installed, missing,
21		broken or defective structural components of roof and floor systems can
22		compromise the system as a whole and lead to failure; missed nails at
23	80	truss/sheathing edge.
24	8.0	Interior Floors, Stairs, Walls and Ceiling
25		8.1 Floors – cracked grout at floor tile; hollow tile; grout cracking;
		cracked or broken floor tile; uneven tile: lippage; hollow tile: insufficient
26		thinset; stained sheet vinyl flooring; sheet vinyl flooring failure; carpet

-13-
loose.

2		8.2 Walls and ceiling – improper gypsum wallboard installation;
3		moisture damaged gypsum wallboard walls and ceilings; improper attic
4		insulation thickness; interior finish failing.
5	9.0	Interior Doors
6		9.1 Interior doors that bind may indicate deficiencies with the soils
7		preparation for the foundation, foundation installation, and deficiencies in
8		structural components, installation or design problems – binding;
9		inoperable hardware: not latching; door handle came loose/came apart;
10		separation at door trim; improperly installed or missing interior trim;
11	10.0	corner base board missing; interior doors improperly sealed or painted.
12	10.0	Cabinets and Countertops
13		10.1-3 Countertop loose; countertops inadequately attached; countertop delaminating; delaminating/separation at joint/miter; splash separation.
14		10.4 Improperly installed cabinets; cabinet door broken; cabinets pulling
15		away from wall; kick plate loose.
16		10.5 Cracked marble top; cracking in vanity top.
17	11.0	Tubs and Showers
18		11.1 Shower and/or tub/shower enclosure leaks – water damage to adjacent
19		finishes; shower wall flexes; tub/shower wall flexes; shower/tub floor
20		creaks; ceiling stains from leak above; shower enclosure frame finish worn
21		off – rusted; stained and damaged subfloor.
22	13.0	Plumbing
23		13.1 Loose plumbing fixtures and piping – toilet loose; loose tub
24		faucet/spout; loose mixing valve; shower head loose; plumbing does not
25		work - broken shutoff valve in front yard; angle stops are loose at faucet
		and toilet; unsecured water heater; loose valve piping in wall; loose
26		faucets.

13.2 Short vent stacks.

13.3 Improper insulation of pipes, fixtures and water heater - no overflow at tub; low water pressure; water leaks at shut-off valve/sink; damage at water heater stand; corrosion at valve; shut off valve does not operate properly; water main pipe corrosion; mixing valve reversed; missing bollard in garage; drain pipe leaks; leaking water heater.

14.0 Mechanical

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

14.1 Improper HVAC operation - insufficient air flow; deteriorating insulation at condenser line.

Improper condensate line installation - exposed condenser lines; 14.2 penetration sleeve buried in stucco.

Improperly installed components - catch pan loose - not strapped 14.3 up; condenser unit not level; damage to drywall at ceiling from leaking condensate line; missing HVAC register; condenser too close to structure; unsealed HVAC lines at penetration.

15.0 Electrical

> 15.2 Improper installation of lights, switches and outlets.

15.3 Unsealed light fixture.

15.4 Rusted light fixture.

The homeowners that have alleged damages resulting from the defects 46. 19 listed above are identified in Exhibit "A". Upon information and belief, other 20 homeowners may be bringing similar claims in addition to those identified in Exhibit 21 "A". Should those claims be brought, Plaintiffs request permission to insert the names 22 of these additional homeowners at such time as the true names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

24 If the homeowners' allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed 47. 25 by them are directly and proximately caused by the defective, negligent, careless and/or 26 reckless construction work and/or professional services defective and/or

1 || materials/products/systems supplied by Subcontractor Defendants.

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

48. Each Defendant received reasonable notice of the homeowners' claims and had an opportunity to defend Plaintiffs.

49. Notwithstanding Plaintiffs' invitations and demands to participate in prelitigation negotiations and defend Plaintiffs, each Defendant has failed to do so.

50. As a result of each Defendant's refusal to defend and indemnify, Plaintiffs were forced to defend themselves and continue to incur substantial attorneys' fees, expert fees, and costs.

8 51. Each Defendant expressly and/or impliedly warranted that its work would
9 be performed in a good and workmanlike manner, be free from defect, and that its
10 products and materials would not be defective.

52. Each Subcontractor Defendant expressly agreed to obtain additional
 insured endorsements naming Plaintiffs as additional insureds under their respective
 policies of insurance.

14 53. Each Defendant owed Plaintiffs a duty to ensure its work was performed in
15 accordance with, among other things, applicable construction standards and the
16 applicable Project documents, including plans and specifications, and that its products
17 were without defect.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Demand for Arbitration**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
 Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this Complaint.

<sup>22</sup> 55. Upon information and belief, each Subcontractor Defendant entered into
 <sup>23</sup> written agreements with Plaintiffs to resolve any and all disputes through binding
 <sup>24</sup> arbitration.

56. This Complaint is intended to toll any applicable statutes of limitations
and/or statute of repose. Plaintiffs do not waive their right and expressly reserve their

-16-

.

right to resolve the subject matter of this Complaint through arbitration. Plaintiffs' Demand for Arbitration is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." Alternatively, should this Court or other tribunal of competent jurisdiction determine that arbitration of the subject matter of this Complaint is not required or otherwise invalid or unenforceable under the parties' written agreements, Plaintiffs bring the remaining causes of action before this Court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

18

19

57. The homeowner claimants have filed Demands for Arbitration for the homes involved in this suit. It is the express intent of Plaintiffs to resolve the subject matter of this Complaint through arbitration, but to date, the Subcontractor Defendants have refused to arbitrate Plaintiffs' Claims.

58. Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes § 12-3007, Plaintiffs request an Order
 compelling Subcontractor Defendants to arbitrate in accordance with the written
 arbitration agreements.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# **Express Indemnity**

## [All Subcontractor Defendants]

16
 59. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
 Paragraphs 1 through 58 of this Complaint.

60. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant agreed to indemnify, defend and hold Plaintiffs harmless.

20
61. The acts of the Subcontractor Defendants are the direct and proximate
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
20
20
21
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
20
21
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
20
21
21
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
27
28
29
20
21
21
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
27
28
29
29
20
21
21
21
22
21
22
21
22
21
22
21
22
21
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
27
28
29
29
20
21
21
21
21
21
22
21
21
22
21
21
21
21
22
21
21
22
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
22
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
<l

62. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for
 all such losses or damages they have sustained, or will sustain, as the result of
 settlement, judgment, award, and/or compromise.

63. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and

therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Breach of Contract**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

64. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 63 of this Complaint.

<sup>10</sup> 65. Subcontractor Defendants also agreed under the one or more contracts
<sup>11</sup> with Plaintiffs to conduct their work in a good and workmanlike manner in compliance
<sup>12</sup> with the plans and specifications, applicable building codes and guidelines of the
<sup>13</sup> Arizona Registrar of Contractors, and to complete work that is free from defects.
<sup>14</sup> Additionally, Subcontractor Defendants agreed to supply materials that would be of
<sup>15</sup> merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its intended purpose.

16
 16
 16
 17
 16
 16
 16
 16
 17
 16
 17
 16
 16
 17
 16
 17
 16
 16
 16
 17
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 17
 16
 17
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 1

18

19

20

6

7

8

9

67. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Defendants have failed to obtain the required additional insured coverage required under the subcontracts. The subcontracts contain the following insurance provision:

21 22 23

24

25

26

Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, (\$1,000,000 general aggregate, and \$1,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate). The aggregate limits shall apply separately on each project, contract, job or phase. Subcontractor agrees that each contract signed shall represent and be deemed a separate and distinct project. The commercial general liability insurance shall be on the 07/98 ISO form or an equivalent and shall

-18-

specifically include coverage for Subcontractor's obligations under any indemnification/hold harmless provisions in the Contract. The commercial general liability policy shall be endorsed to include CHI Construction Company, D.R. Horton, Inc., their respective subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies and their respective partners, members, directors, officers, employees and agents as additional insureds (collectively, the "Additional Insureds"), using form CG20101185 or an equivalent form, with respect to any claims, losses, expenses or other costs arising out of the Contract and shall also be endorsed as primary coverage with respect to any other insurance which may be carried by the Additional Insureds. It is expressly agreed that any other insurance covering Additional Insured, is excess over and noncontributing with Subcontractor's commercial general liability insurance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

68. Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by
failing to procure the required additional insured endorsements on their insurance
policies.

69. As the result of Subcontractor Defendants' individual breaches of contract,
Plaintiffs have incurred damages and will continue to incur damages, including
attorneys' fees, expert fees, pre-judgment interest, and other expenses.

70. The homeowners' claims against Plaintiffs for damages to their homes are the result, in whole or in part, of the acts and/or omissions of Subcontractor Defendants.

Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified and held harmless by
 Subcontractor Defendants, and each of them, for their share of all such loss or damage
 incurred by Plaintiffs as the result of any settlement, compromise, judgment, or award
 that may occur.

72. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-

-19-

judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and
arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or
compromise.

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Breach of Implied Warranty of Workmanship**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

73. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 72 of this Complaint.

9 74. Subcontractor Defendants impliedly warranted that their 10 materials/products/systems would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its 11 intended purpose and that the work and labor performed under any agreement or 12 instruction would be done in a careful and workmanlike manner in conformance with 13 Arizona construction standards and/or practices and all applicable Project documents, including the plans, specifications, and scopes of work. 14

75. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred by the Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Defendants have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and free from defects.

20 21

15

16

17

18

19

5

6

7

8

76. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and
arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the

homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or 1 compromise. 2 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 3 Negligence 4 [All Subcontractor Defendants] 5 78. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 6 Paragraphs 1 through 77 of this Complaint. 7 79. Subcontractor Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to ensure that their 8 work would be performed in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with Arizona 9 construction standards and practices, and that materials so provided would be free from 10 material defects and/or fit for their intended or represented purpose. 11 Subcontractor Defendants knew, or should have known, that the breach of 80. 12 those duties would cause damage to Plaintiffs, who relied upon Subcontractor Defendants to perform their work properly and according to applicable standards, and to 13 provide products that were free from material defects and were good for their respective 14 and conjunctive intended and represented purposes. 15 Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, including damage 81. 16 alleged to property other than the Subcontractor Defendants' work itself, and/or damages 17 incurred by Plaintiffs, Subcontractor Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiffs by 18 negligently failing to ensure that their work was performed in a workmanlike manner in 19 accordance with all applicable construction standards, and that materials provided for 20 use in the development by Subcontractor Defendants were free from defects, and were 21 reasonably fit for their respective and conjunctive intended purposes as represented to 22 Plaintiffs. 23 As a result of these breaches of warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct 82. 24 and consequential damages to be proven at trial.

83. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and

therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Common Law/Implied Indemnity**

#### [All Subcontractor Defendants]

84. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 83 of this Complaint.

10 85. Plaintiffs are entirely without active fault with regard to the acts or
 11 omissions giving rise to the homeowners' construction defects claims, and thus, they are
 12 entitled to recovery from Subcontractor Defendants.

86. Pursuant to the facts of this case and the parties' relationships, as well as
Arizona Common Law and the Restatement of Torts (Second) § 886B, Plaintiffs are
entitled to Common Law Indemnity from Subcontractor Defendants for their reasonable
attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, and all other expenses related in any way to this
lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit
brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement,
judgment, award, or compromise.

20 87. Plaintiffs seek recovery in common law indemnity under various bases, including, without limitation, equity, unjust enrichment, tort and contract.

> <u>SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u> Breach of Contract-Duty to Defend – Declaratory Relief [All Subcontractor Defendants]

24 88. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
25 Paragraphs 1 through 87 of this Complaint.

-22-

26

19

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

89. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant expressly and/or impliedly agreed to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

3

90. Pursuant to the express indemnity provisions, Plaintiffs are entitled to be defended by Subcontractor Defendants as a result of any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners and/or repairs necessitated by the defective and/or negligent work of, and/or defective products supplied by Subcontractor Defendants, including without limitation, attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs.

9 91. Subcontractor Defendants have a duty to defend against any claims made 9 against Plaintiffs arising out of their respective scopes of work.

92. Plaintiffs have a present legal right to be provided a defense by
Subcontractor Defendants.

93. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs have tendered the defense of the
action to Subcontractor Defendants, each of whom rejected, ignored, or failed to
properly accept the tender of defense.

94. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between
 Plaintiffs and Subcontractor Defendants in that Plaintiffs contend they are entitled to a
 present defense from the Subcontractor Defendants, and Subcontractor Defendants deny
 same.

95. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for
all attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs sustained as the result
of Subcontractor Defendants' failure to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

96. Plaintiffs herein seek a declaration by the Court as to their rights and said
 Subcontractor Defendants' duties and obligations to defend Plaintiffs.

97. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and

-23-

arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or
 compromise.

# **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

4

5

6

7

:8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# Breach of Express Warranties [All Subcontractor Defendants]

98. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 97 of this Complaint.

99. Subcontractor Defendants subcontracts contained the following express warranty:

10.7 Warranties. Subcontractor warrants to Owner/Contractor that all materials and equipment furnished shall be new (unless otherwise specified and agreed to in advance by Owner/Contractor) and that all work under the Contract shall be of good and workmanlike quality, free from faults and defects and in conformance with the Contract Documents. All work not conforming to these requirements, including substitutions not properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective. The warranties provided in this Paragraph 10.7 shall (a) be in addition to and not in limitation of any other warranty or remedy available to Owner/Contractor, (b) be assignable by Owner/Contractor, and (c) be valid for (i) one (1) year from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects not otherwise specified herein, (ii) two (2) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (iii) ten (10) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all structural defects, and (iv) the period prescribed by the respective manufacturers with respect to manufacturers' equipment and appliance warranties. The warranty periods set forth above shall be extended (a) as

-24-

provided by applicable law and equity, and (b) with respect to latent defects, to the date on which the warranty period would expire if it commenced on the discovery of the applicable latent defect.

100. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred by the Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Defendants have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and free from defects.

9 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

101. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

11 102. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
12 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
13 therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs,
14 pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and
15 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
16 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or
17 compromise.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that this Court enter judgment in favor of
 Plaintiffs and against Defendants as follows:

20

1. For direct and consequential damages;

21

2. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon at the statutory rate;

3. For their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' and expert fees
 incurred and allowed under any theory, including, but not limited to, the parties'
 contract, A.R.S. §§12-341.01(A) and 12-1364; and

25

24

4.

For such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of January, 2015. TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. m mon ma By: Rosary A. Hernandez Gregory E. Williams Ashley N. Zimmerman Attorneys for Plaintiffs -26-

# Exhibit "A"

# SUNSET FARMS DEVELOPMENT

# Project Located in Tolleson, AZ 85353

Aguilera, Fabliano	3819 S. 103rd Ln.	86
Alvarado, Ricardo	10336 W. Albeniz Pi.	112
(Salas) Apodaca, Alma	10332 W. Albeniz Pl.	113
Barron, Zuleika	3910 S. 103rd Dr.	100
Bautista, Miguel & Vazquez, Mercedes	10440 W. Wood St.	18
Bourguignon, Zulema	10452 W. Wood St.	186
Bravo, Arcelia	10444 W. Wood St.	184
Canales, Alicia	10376 W. Atlantis Way	31!
Carroll, Gari	10421 W. Albeniz Pl.	94
Celado, Jaime & Aracelis	10337 W. Atlantis Way	32
Cox, Shane	10343 W. Odeum Ln.	137
Dantzler, Cedric	3913 S. 103rd Dr.	12
Renova, Erik Enriquez	10314 W. Odeum Ln.	12
Evans-Meheula, Michelle	10439 W. Southgate Ave.	180
Gallegos, Roselio & Paez-Gallegos, Isela	10428 W. Raymond St.	13
Gonzalez, Jaime	3818 S. 104th Ln.	27
Griffin, Freddie & Doris	10434 W. Illini St.	54
Sutierrez, Martin	10343 W. Southgate Ave.	288
Guerrero, Jesus Freddy & Araceli Castro	4218 S. 104th Ave.	219
Hasbrouck, Richard & DeLoris	4110 S. 103rd Ln.	250
fernandez, Solomon & Sally	4116 S. 104th Ln.	179
ames, Jordan	10413 W. Wood St.	216
(won, Deroy & Betty	10411 W. Illini Street	40
opez, Alfredo	4204 S. 104th Ln.	187
opez, Alfredo & Edith	4208 S. 104th Ln.	188
opez, Jose	10349 W. Raymond St.	68
Martinez, Hector	10322 W. Odeum Ln.	125
AcArthur, Jr., Vernon & Thomas, Crystal	10424 W. Wood St.	240
Aendez, Andrea	3717 S. 103rd Ln.	79
Aontijo, Olga	10308 W. Atlantis Way	299
loore, Jason & Kimberly	3905 S. 103rd Dr.	119
lanfito, Mary & Rogers, Stephen	10336 W. Atlantis Way	305
lavarro, Alfredo	10334 W. Odeum Ln.	122
)'Brien, Robert	10131 W. Raymond St.	251
-		

.

*				
	Orozco, Agraciana	10433 W. Wood St.	211	
	Ortiz, Manuel & Rocha, Hilda	3815 S. 103rd Ln.	85	
	Perez, Hilberto & Bianca	10412 W. Wood St.	237	
	Phung, Joseph and Teresa	4008 S. 104th Ln.	160	
	Ramos, Joe & Maria	10318 W. Odeum Ln.	126	٠
	Ramos, Juan & Flora	10339 W. Wood St.	273	
	Rivera, Anna	10352 W. Atlantis Way	309	
	Rocha, Juan & Clara	4207 S. 104th Ave.	234	
	Rodriguez, Leonel	10339 W. Odeum Ln.	136	
	Russell, Perry	3910 S. 104th Ln.	156	
	Saucedo, Pedro & Mendoza, Glenda	4112 S. 104th Ln.	178	
· .	Schaffer, Trisa & Carrie	10330 W. Odeum Ln.	123	
	Sellers, Chaunsy & Alisha	3918 S. 104th Ln.	158	
	Silverio, Amarildo	4309 S. 104th Ave.	227	
	Taylor, Shawntay	10441 W. Albeniz Pl.	28	
	Thompson, Danae	4107 S. 103rd Dr.	293	
• •	Tobias, Alzetter	4108 S. 104th Ln.	177	
	Tolliver, Kyle & Desiree	3818 S. 103rd Dr.	107	
	Vasquez, Rosa	10345 W. Atlantis Way	326	
	Virgil, Jesus & Consuelo	10344 W. Albeniz Pl.	110	
	Wilson, Ronald & Michelle	4308 S. 104th Ave.	222	
	Wrobel, Michael	10409 W. Raymond St.	63	
	Zamarripa, Mario & Beatriz	10344 W. Atlantis Way	307	
	Zaragoza, Ruben & Leonor	10317 W. Atlantis Way	298	

· ·

# Exhibit "B"

	I	It	
	}		
		Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 020182)	· · ·
	1	Gregory E. Williams (State Bar No. 020182)	
		Ashley N. Zimmerman (State Bar No. 020520)	
,	2		
	3	TB TIFFAN Y& BOSCO	
,	<b>–</b>	SEVENTH FLOOR CAMELBACK ESPLANAD	ЭF П
	4	2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD	
	5	PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4237	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	TELEPHONE: (602) 255-6000	
	6	FACSIMILE: (602) 255-0103	
•	-	E-Mail: <u>rah@tblaw.com</u>	
	7	gew@tblaw.com	
	8	anz@tblaw.com	
	9	Attorneys for Claimants	
	10		
		DEMAND FOR ARB	ITRATION
	11		
• .	12	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC., a Delaware	
	14	corporation; and CHI CONSTRUCTION	
	13	COMPANY, an Arizona corporation; and Does	CLAIMANTS' DEMAND FOR
· ·	14	1-100 inclusive,	ARBITRATION
		Claimante	
	15	Claimants,	
	16	<b>v.</b>	1. EXPRESS INDEMNITY
	10	••	2. BREACH OF CONTRACT
	17	ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP, an	3. BREACH OF IMPLIED
		Arizona limited liability limited partnership;	WARRANTY OF
,	18	ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS,	WORKMANSHIP
	19	INC., an Arizona corporation; ANDREW	4. NEGLIGENCE 5. COMMON LAW /
		LAUREN CABINETS, an Arizona limited	IMPLIED INDEMNITY
	20	liability company; ARTISTIC STAIRS, LTD.,	6. BREACH OF CONTRACT –
	21	an Arizona corporation; ATRIUM DOOR AND WINDOW COMPANY OF ARIZONA,	DUTY TO DEFEND -
		INC., an Delaware corporation; CATALINA	DECLARATORY RELIEF
	22	ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC., an Arizona	7. BREACH OF EXPRESS
	23	corporation; CHAS ROBERTS AIR	WARRANTY
		CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona	
	24	corporation; CTI OF MARYLAND, INC.	
	25	(FN), dba CREATIVE TOUCH INTERIORS	
	25	AND HD SUPPLY INTERIOR SOLUTIONS	
	26	a Maryland Corporation; FLOORWORKS,	
		INC., a-Delaware corporation; DESIGN	

·

. -1-
	DOMULATI NECT DIG. C.L. I
1	DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado
	corporation; DIXON BROTHERS,
2	INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation; MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY
3	I, LLC dba DOOR SALES, LLC, an Arizona
3	limited liability company; DVC
4	CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an
	Arizona corporation; ERICKSON
5	CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited
6	liability company; EXECUTIVE PAINTING
Ŭ	ENTERPRISE, INC., an Arizona corporation;
7	GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba
0	BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC., dba
8	GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida
9	corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND
	UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited liability
10	company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an
11	Arizona corporation; GMS CONCRETE
	SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona corporation; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a
12	California corporation; INFINITY BUILDING
13	PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability
13	company; JR MCDADE CO., INC., an Arizona
14	corporation; MADJ, INC. dba LODI GARAGE
	DOOR & MORE, an Arizona corporation;
15	MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona
16	limited liability company; MPC
•	CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an
17	Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE
18	PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI INC. dba PARTITIONS &
10	ACCESSORIES CO., an Arizona corporation;
19	POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE,
	INC., an Arizona corporation; ROBERT
20	MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an
21	Arizona limited liability company; SHARICO
	ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation;
22	SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona
23	limited liability company; SPECIALTY
رے	ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation;
24	THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona
	corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY,
25	INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED
26	SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA
[	INSULATION SPECIALTIST, a Minnesota

-2-

·

corporation; VALLEY GATE SERVICE. 1 INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona 2 corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I -XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; and 3 DOES I - XX, 4 Respondents, 5 Claimants Continental Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company (collectively 6 "Claimants"), through undersigned counsel hereby respectfully submit their Demand for 7 Arbitration against Respondents as follows: 8 JURISDICTION 9 Continental Homes, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware 1: 10 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business in the County of 11 Maricopa, State of Arizona. 12 2. CHI Construction Company was at all times material hereto an Arizona 13 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of 14 Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon information and belief, Respondent ABS Inspection Group, LLLP 15 3. was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability limited partnership 16 authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State 17 of Arizona. ABS Inspection Group, LLLP entered into contract(s) with Claimants, 18 and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials 19 and perform work at the subject Project, Sunset Farm, located in Tolleson, County of 20 Maricopa, State of Arizona (hereinafter the "Project"). 21 4. Upon information and belief, Respondent Adams Bros Interiors & 22 Cabinets, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do 23 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 24 Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their 25 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and 26 perform work at the subject Project.

-3-

5. Upon information and belief, Respondent Andrew Lauren Cabinets, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Andrew Lauren Cabinets, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

6. Upon information and belief, Respondent Artistic Stairs, Ltd. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Artistic Stairs, Ltd. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

11 7. Upon information and belief, Respondent Catalina Roofing and Supply,
12 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business
13 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Catalina
14 Roofing and Supply, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly
15 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
16 work at the Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Respondent Chas Roberts Air Conditioning,
Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business
and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Chas Roberts
Air Conditioning, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
work at the Project.

9. Upon information and belief, Respondent CTI of Maryland, Inc. (FN) dba
 Creative Touch Interiors and HD Supply Interior Solutions was at all times material
 hereto a Maryland corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within
 the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. CTI of Maryland, Inc. (FN) dba Creative
 Touch Interiors and HD Supply Interior Solutions entered into contract(s) with

-4-

.

Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

3 4

5

6

7

9

10

11

1

2

Upon information and belief, Respondent Floorworks, Inc. was at all times 10. material hereto a Delaware corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Floorworks, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

11. Upon information and belief, Respondent Design Drywall West, Inc. was 8 at all times material hereto a Colorado corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Design Drywall West, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12 Upon information and belief, Respondent Dixon Brothers Incorporated 12. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and 13 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Dixon Brothers 14 Incorporated entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 15 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the 16 Project. 17

Upon information and belief, Respondent Masco Framing Holding 13. 18 Company I, LLC dba Door Sales, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona 19 limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the 20 County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Masco Framing Holding Company I, LLC dba 21 Door Sales, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 22 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the 23 Project.

24 14. Upon information and belief, Respondent DVC Construction Company, 25 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 26 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. DVC

-5-

Construction Company entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 2 work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent Erickson Construction, LLC was 15. at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Erickson Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

16. Upon information and belief, Respondent Executive Painting Enterprise, 10 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 11 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Executive 12 Painting Enterprise, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, or their duly authorized agent(s), under which it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at 13 the Project. 14

Upon information and belief, Respondent Gecko Underground Utilities, 17. 15 LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to 16 do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 17 Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their 18 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and 19 perform work at the Project. 20

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Upon information and belief, Respondent General Plumbing, Inc. was at 18. 21 all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 22 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. General Plumbing, Inc. 23 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 24 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

25 Upon information and belief, Respondent GMS Concrete Specialists, Inc. 19. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and 26

-6-

was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. GMS Concrete 1 Specialists, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 2 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc. 20. was at all times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

10 Upon information and belief, Respondent Infinity Building Products, LLC. 21. 11 was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do 12 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Infinity Building Products, LLC. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their 13 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and 14 perform work at the Project. 15

Upon information and belief, Respondent JR McDade Co., Inc. was at all 22. 16 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 17 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. JR McDade Co., Inc. entered 18 into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed 19 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Upon information and belief, Respondent MADJ, Inc. dba Lodi Garage 23. Doors & More was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MADJ, Inc. dba Lodi Garage Doors & More entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

26

-7-

Upon information and belief, Respondent Mesa Fully Formed, LLC was at 24. 1 all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business 2 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mesa Fully 3 Formed, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants and/or their duly authorized 4 agent(s), under which it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at Ś the Project. 6

Upon information and belief, Respondent MPC Contracting Company, 25. 7 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 8 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MPC 9 Contracting Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly 10 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 11 work at the Project.

12 26. Upon information and belief, Respondent Palo Verde Plastering, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 13 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Palo Verde Plastering, 14 Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 15 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 16

17 18 19

20

21

Upon information and belief, Respondent L.R. Borelli Inc. dba Partitions 27.& Accessories Co. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. L.R. Borelli Inc. dba Partitions & Accessories, Co. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

22

28.

23

24

25

26

Upon information and belief, Respondent Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and

-8-

perform work at the Project. 1

29. Upon information and belief, Respondent Robert McDaniel Construction, 2 LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to 3 do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 4 Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or 5 their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and 6 perform work at the Project. 7

Upon information and belief, Respondent Sharico Enterprises, Inc. was at 30. 8 all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 9 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sharico Enterprises, Inc. 10 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 11 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12

Upon information and belief, Respondent Sonoran Concrete, LLC was at 31. all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business 13 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sonoran 14 Concrete, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 15 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the 16 Project. 17

32. Upon information and belief, Respondent Specialty Roofing, Inc. was at 18 all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 19 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Specialty Roofing, Inc. 20 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 21 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 22

Upon information and belief, Respondent Thomas Electric, Inc. was at all 33. 23 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 24 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Thomas Electric, Inc. entered 25 into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 26

-9-

2 3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ł

Upon information and belief, Respondent United Fence Company, Inc. 34. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Fence Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

35. Upon information and belief, Respondent United Subcontractors, Inc. dba 8 Mesa Insulation, a Utah corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona 9 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Subcontractors, Inc. dba Mesa Insulation entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

13 Upon information and belief, Respondent Valley Gate Services, Inc., an 36. Arizona corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized 14 to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 15 Valley Gate Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly 16 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 17 work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent Westy's Soil Compacting Co., 37. Inc., an Arizona corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Westy's Soil Compacting Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

24 Upon information and belief, Respondents, Black Corporations I - XX are 38. 25 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants request permission to insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true 26

-10-

names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth 1 specifically herein. 2

39. Upon information and belief, Respondents, White Partnerships I - XX are 3 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants 4 request permission to insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true 5 names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth 6 specifically herein. 7

Upon information and belief, Respondents, Does I - XX are fictitious 40. 8 names whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants request 9 permission to insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true names 10 are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically 11 herein.

12 41. Private arbitration is proper and required pursuant to the terms of the parties' written agreements. 13

42. As used throughout this Demand for Arbitration, the above named 14 Respondents are collectively referred to as "Subcontractor Respondents." 15 The term "Subcontractor Respondents" shall also include fictitious named Respondents. 16

Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in 43. 17 the above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Respondent has an obligation to 18 indemnify Claimants for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials 19 supplied, and/or professional services. 20

21

22

23

24

Upon information and belief, pursuant to language contained in those 44. above-referenced contracts and as may otherwise apply by law, each Subcontractor Respondent has an obligation to defend Claimants for alleged defects arising from its respective work and/or professional services.

The owners of certain residences within the Project have alleged various 45. 25 construction defects including but not limited to:

26

## Architectural

1

•	- 11		
	2	1.0	Site
	3		1.1 Soil subsidence – improperly prepared and compacted soil can
	4		cause poor drainage resulting in settlement, heaving and cracking of
	5		foundation components.
	6		1.2 Cracked concrete flatwork – improperly prepared and compacted
•	7		soil, improper mix, placement, thickness and curing.
8	3		1.3 Improper site drainage.
ç	,		1.4 Site walls and gates – problems may indicate deficiencies with the
10			soils preparation for the wall foundation, the wall foundation insulation,
11			and deficiencies in the wall or gate construction.
12			1.5 Spalled Concrete – deteriorated and/or cracked.
	11		1.6 Stemwall – deteriorated and/or cracked.
13	11		1.7 Improper slope at flatwork.
14		2.0	Below Grade
15			2.1 Improperly installed irrigation system
16		3.0	Exterior Walls, Soffits and Foundations
17			3.1 Stucco – improper stucco installation; deteriorated and faded paint at
18			stucco; unsealed penetrations.
19			3.2.1 Unsecured, warped or deteriorated trim.
20			3.2 Foundations – improper slope at garage slab; wire rusted through at
21			stem: stem spalling; cracked concrete slab at garage; over pour at door;
22		`	spalling at stem wall; cracked concrete stoop.
23		4.0	Windows and Doors
24			4.1 Windows – Water intrusion at window; loose or missing trim
			window product malfunctioning; cracked/damaged glazing; gap at window
25			trim; window does not latch; window sticks; window trim warped; loose
26			grid inside fixed atrium window; dust intrusion at window.

-12-

1		4.2 Doors – operational problems at doors; improper weather stripping;
2		water intrusion at exterior door; exterior doors bind; unsealed threshold;
3		exterior door improper sealed at head.
4	5.0	Private Decks; Entry Decks, Stairs
5		5.1. Loose hand rails.
6		5.2 Guardrail less than 42" in height
7		5.3 Improper application or failure of deck membrane or deck
8		components.
9	6.0	Roofs
10		6.1 Roofs – Improperly constructed roof tile systems; tiles being
11		displaced from their original position; tiles falling off the structure;
12		flashings incorrect; water draining problems. These ultimately can allow
13		water intrusion into the roofing system and cause damage to framing and
14	7.0	interior finishes and contents.
	7.0	Framing 7.1 Framing deficiencies – attic: no blocking statil-
15		attic. no blocking at ridge – unblocked
16		diaphragm; sagging trusses at ceiling; wall bowed; loose low wall. 7.2 Floor squeaks – improper installed missing broken and for it
17		mproper instance, missing, broken of defective
18		structural components of roof and floor systems can compromise the
19		system as a whole and lead to failure. 7.3 Broken or cut framing – sheathing flaking at source or start in the start of th
20		sheating taking at eave; saw cut at patio
21		<ul><li>beam; split truss chord; broken trusses.</li><li>7.4 Undersized attic access.</li></ul>
22		
23		missing,
24		broken or defective structural components of roof and floor systems can
25		compromise the system as a whole and lead to failure; missed nails at truss/sheathing edge.
26	8.0	Interior Floors, Stairs, Walls and Ceiling
		and Cenning
		-13-

•

i ·

1

1. A.	lf	
1		8.1 Floors – cracked grout at floor tile; hollow tile; grout cracking;
2		cracked or broken floor tile; uneven tile: lippage; hollow tile: insufficient
3		thinset; stained sheet vinyl flooring; sheet vinyl flooring failure; carpet
4		loose.
5		8.2 Walls and ceiling – improper gypsum wallboard installation;
6		moisture damaged gypsum wallboard walls and ceilings; improper attic
7		insulation thickness; interior finish failing.
8	9.0	Interior Doors
· 9		9.1 Interior doors that bind may indicate deficiencies with the soils
		preparation for the foundation, foundation installation, and deficiencies in
10		structural components, installation or design problems – binding;
11		inoperable hardware: not latching; door handle came loose/came apart;
12		separation at door trim; improperly installed or missing interior trim;
13		corner base board missing; interior doors improperly sealed or painted.
14	10.0	
15		10.1-3 Countertop loose; countertops inadequately attached; countertop
16		delaminating; delaminating/separation at joint/miter; splash separation.
17	- -	10.4 Improperly installed cabinets; cabinet door broken; cabinets pulling
18		away from wall; kick plate loose.
19	11.0	10.5 Cracked marble top; cracking in vanity top.
20	11.0	Tubs and Showers
21		11.1 Shower and/or tub/shower enclosure leaks – water damage to adjacent
22	· · ·	finishes; shower wall flexes; tub/shower wall flexes; shower/tub floor
23		creaks; ceiling stains from leak above; shower enclosure frame finish worn
24	12.0	off – rusted; stained and damaged subfloor.
25	13.0	Plumbing
		13.1 Loose plumbing fixtures and piping – toilet loose; loose tub
26		faucet/spout; loose mixing valve; shower head loose; plumbing does not

-14-

	1 work – broken shutoff valve in front yard; angle stops are loose at faucet
	and toilet; unsecured water heater; loose valve piping in wall; loose
	3 faucets.
	4 13.2 Short vent stacks.
	5 13.3 Improper insulation of pipes, fixtures and water heater – no overflow
	at tub; low water pressure; water leaks at shut-off valve/sink; damage at
•	water heater stand; corrosion at valve; shut off valve does not operate
	properly; water main pipe corrosion; mixing valve reversed; missing
ç	bollard in garage; drain pipe leaks; leaking water heater.
10	14.0 Mechanical
11	14.1 Improper HVAC operation – insufficient air flow; deteriorating
	insulation at condenser line.
12	14.2 Improper condensate line installation – exposed condenser lines;
13	penetration sleeve buried in stucco.
14	14.3 Improperly installed components – catch pan loose – not strapped
15	up; condenser unit not level; damage to drywall at ceiling from leaking
16	condensate line; missing HVAC register; condenser too close to structure;
17	unsealed HVAC lines at penetration.
18	15.0 Electrical
19	15.2 Improper installation of lights, switches and outlets.
20	15.3 Unsealed light fixture.
21	15.4 Rusted light fixture.
22	46. The homeowners that have alleged damages resulting from the defects
23	listed above are identified in Exhibit "A". Upon information and belief, other
24	homeowners may be bringing similar claims in addition to those identified in Exhibit
25	"A". Should those claims be brought, Claimants request permission to insert the names
· •	of these additional homeowners at such time as the true names are discovered with the
26	same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

-15-

47. If the homeowners' allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed 1 by them are directly and proximately caused by the defective, negligent, careless and/or 2 reckless construction 3 work and/or professional services and/or defective materials/products/systems supplied by Subcontractor Respondents. 4

Each Respondent received reasonable notice of the homeowners' claims 48. 5 and had an opportunity to defend Claimants. 6

49. Notwithstanding Claimants' invitations and demands to participate in pre-7 litigation negotiations and defend Claimants, each Respondent has failed to do so. 8

As a result of each Respondent's refusal to defend and indemnify, 50. 9 Claimants were forced to defend themselves and continue to incur substantial attorneys' 10 fees, expert fees, and costs.

Each Respondent expressly and/or impliedly warranted that its work 51. 12 would be performed in a good and workmanlike manner, be free from defect, and that its products and materials would not be defective. 13

14 Each Subcontractor Respondent expressly agreed to obtain additional 52. insured endorsements naming Claimants as additional insureds under their respective 15 policies of insurance. 16

Each Respondent owed Claimants a duty to ensure its work was performed 53. 17 in accordance with, among other things, applicable construction standards and the 18 applicable Project documents, including plans and specifications, and that its products 19 were without defect. 20

#### 21

22

23

25

11

### Express Indemnity

## [All Subcontractor Respondents]

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

54. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 24 Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this Demand for Arbitration.

Each agreement between Claimants and each Subcontractor Respondent 55. 26 contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Respondent agreed to

-16-

indemnify, defend and hold Claimants harmless.

56. The acts of the Subcontractor Respondents are the direct and proximate cause, in whole or in part, of the damages alleged by the homeowners.

57. Claimants are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Respondents for all such losses or damages they have sustained, or will sustain, as the result of settlement, judgment, award, and/or compromise.

58. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has 7 become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand for 8 Arbitration, and therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, 9 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 10 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Breach of Contract**

## [All Subcontractor Respondents]

59. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 16 paragraphs 1 through 58 of this Demand for Arbitration. 17

60. Subcontractor Respondents also agreed under the one or more contracts with Claimants to conduct their work in a good and workmanlike manner in compliance with the plans and specifications, applicable building codes and guidelines of the Arizona Registrar of Contractors, and to complete work that is free from defects. Additionally, Subcontractor Respondents agreed to supply materials that would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its intended purpose.

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

Subcontractor Respondents have breached their respective contracts by 61. failing to perform their work in compliance with said contractual obligations.

25 62. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Respondents have failed to 26 obtain the required additional insured coverage required under the subcontracts. The

subcontracts contain the following insurance provision:

Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, (\$1,000,000 general aggregate, and \$1,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate). The aggregate limits shall apply separately on each project, contract, job or phase. Subcontractor agrees that each contract signed shall represent and be deemed a separate and distinct project. The commercial general liability insurance shall be on the 07/98 ISO form or an equivalent and shall specifically include coverage for Subcontractor's obligations under any indemnification/hold harmless provisions in the Contract. The commercial general liability policy shall be endorsed to include CHI Construction Company, D.R. Horton, Inc., their respective subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies and their respective partners, members, directors, officers, employees and agents as additional insureds (collectively, the "Additional Insureds"), using form CG20101185 or an equivalent form, with respect to any claims, losses, expenses or other costs arising out of the Contract and shall also be endorsed as primary coverage with respect to any other insurance which may be carried by the Additional Insureds. It is expressly agreed that any other insurance covering Additional Insured, is excess over and noncontributing with Subcontractor's commercial general liability insurance.

20 21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

63. Subcontractor Respondents have breached their respective contracts by failing to procure the required additional insured endorsements on their insurance policies.

64. As the result of Subcontractor Respondents' individual breaches of
contract, Claimants have incurred damages and will continue to incur damages,
including attorneys' fees, expert fees, pre-judgment interest, and other expenses.

26

65. The homeowners' claims against Claimants for damages to their homes are

-18-

1 the result, in whole or in part, of the acts and/or omissions of Subcontractor 2 Respondents.

3

4

5

6

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

66. Claimants are entitled to be indemnified and held harmless by Subcontractor Respondents, and each of them, for their share of all such loss or damage incurred by Claimants as the result of any settlement, compromise, judgment, or award that may occur.

67. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand for
Arbitration, and therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees,
costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and
arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or
compromise.

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# Breach of Implied Warranty of Workmanship

### [All Subcontractor Respondents]

16
68. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained
17
in Paragraphs 1 through 67 of this Demand for Arbitration.

69. Subcontractor Respondents impliedly warranted that their materials/products/systems would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its intended purpose and that the work and labor performed under any agreement or instruction would be done in a careful and workmanlike manner in conformance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices and all applicable Project documents, including the plans, specifications, and scopes of work.

70. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages
 incurred by the Claimants, the warranties referenced above and provided by
 Subcontractor Respondents have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not
 performed in a workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction

-19-
standards and/or practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended
 purpose and of a merchantable quality and free from defects.

71. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Claimants have suffered direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

72. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand for
Arbitration, and therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees,
costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and
arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or
compromise.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Negligence

# [All Subcontractor Respondents]

73. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
Paragraphs 1 through 72 of this Demand for Arbitration.

16 74. Subcontractor Respondents owed a duty to Claimants to ensure that their
17 work would be performed in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with Arizona
18 construction standards and practices, and that materials so provided would be free from
19

20 21

22

23

24

3

4

11

12

13

75. Subcontractor Respondents knew, or should have known, that the breach of those duties would cause damage to Claimants, who relied upon Subcontractor Respondents to perform their work properly and according to applicable standards, and to provide products that were free from material defects and were good for their respective and conjunctive intended and represented purposes.

76. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, including damage
alleged to property other than the Subcontractor Respondents' work itself, and/or
damages incurred by Claimants, Subcontractor Respondents breached their duties to

-20-

Claimants by negligently failing to ensure that their work was performed in a
 workmanlike manner in accordance with all applicable construction standards, and that
 materials provided for use in the development by Subcontractor Respondents were free
 from defects, and were reasonably fit for their respective and conjunctive intended
 purposes as represented to Claimants.

6 77. As a result of these breaches of warranties, Claimants have suffered direct and consequential damages to be proven at trial.

78. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand for Arbitration, and therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

- 14
- 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

## FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## **Common Law/Implied Indemnity**

## [All Subcontractor Respondents]

79. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 78 of this Demand for Arbitration.

80. Claimants are entirely without active fault with regard to the acts or omissions giving rise to the homeowners' construction defects claims, and thus, they are entitled to recovery from Subcontractor Respondents.

- 81. Pursuant to the facts of this case and the parties' relationships, as well as
  Arizona Common Law and the Restatement of Torts (Second) § 886B, Claimants are
  entitled to Common Law Indemnity from Subcontractor Respondents for their
  reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, and all other expenses related in any way
  to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit
  brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement,
  - 71

-----

.....

judgment, award, or compromise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

82. Claimants seek recovery in common law indemnity under various bases, including, without limitation, equity, unjust enrichment, tort and contract.

## SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Breach of Contract-Duty to Defend – Declaratory Relief [All Subcontractor Respondents]

83. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 82 of this Demand for Arbitration.

84. Each agreement between Claimants and each Subcontractor Respondent
 contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Respondent expressly and/or
 impliedly agreed to defend and hold Claimants and others harmless.

12 85. Pursuant to the express indemnity provisions, Claimants are entitled to be
13 defended by Subcontractor Respondents as a result of any arbitration, action, or other
14 suit brought by the homeowners and/or repairs necessitated by the defective and/or
15 negligent work of, and/or defective products supplied by Subcontractor Respondents,
16 including without limitation, attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative
17 costs.

86. Subcontractor Respondents have a duty to defend against any claims made
 against Claimants arising out of their respective scopes of work.

87. Claimants have a present legal right to be provided a defense by
 Subcontractor Respondents.

88. Upon information and belief, Claimants have tendered the defense of the
action to Subcontractor Respondents, each of whom rejected, ignored, or failed to
properly accept the tender of defense.

89. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between
Claimants and Subcontractor Respondents in that Claimants contend they are entitled to
a present defense from the Subcontractor Respondents, and Subcontractor Respondents

-22-

1 || deny same.

6

90. Claimants are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Respondents for
all attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs sustained as the result
of Subcontractor Respondents' failure to defend and hold Claimants and others
harmless.

91. Claimants herein seek a declaration by the Court as to their rights and said
 Subcontractor Respondents' duties and obligations to defend Claimants.

9
92. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand for
Arbitration, and therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees,
costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and
arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement, judgment, award, or
compromise.

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

26

# SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

**Breach of Express Warranties** 

[All Subcontractor Respondents]

93. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
 paragraphs 1 through 92 of this Demand for Arbitration.

20 94. Subcontractor Respondents subcontracts contained the following express warranty:

10.7 Warranties. Subcontractor warrants to Owner/Contractor that all materials and equipment furnished shall be new (unless otherwise specified and agreed to in advance by Owner/Contractor) and that all work under the Contract shall be of good and workmanlike quality, free from faults and defects and in conformance with the Contract Documents. All work not conforming to these requirements, including substitutions not properly

-23-

approved and authorized, may be considered defective. The warranties provided in this Paragraph 10.7 shall (a) be in addition to and not in limitation of any other warranty or remedy available to Owner/Contractor, (b) be assignable by Owner/Contractor, and (c) be valid for (i) one (1) year from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects not otherwise specified herein, (ii) two (2) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (iii) ten (10) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all structural defects, and (iv) the period prescribed by the respective manufacturers with respect to manufacturers' equipment and appliance warranties. The warranty periods set forth above shall be extended (a) as provided by applicable law and equity, and (b) with respect to latent defects, to the date on which the warranty period would expire if it commenced on the discovery of the applicable latent defect.

Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages 95. 15 incurred by the Claimants, the warranties referenced above and provided by 16 Subcontractor Respondents have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not 17 performed in a workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and free from defects.

20 21

22

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Claimants have suffered 96. direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

23

24

25

26

97. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand for Arbitration, and therefore, they are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit

-24-

	brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of a settlement,					
	judgment, award, or compromise.					
	WHEREFORE, Claimants request that the Arbitrator enter judgment in favor of					
	Claimants and against Respondents as follows:					
:	5 1. For direct and consequential damages;					
. (	2. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon at the statutory rate;					
5	3. For their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' and expert fees					
. 8	incurred and allowed under any theory, including, but not limited to, the parties'					
9	contract, A.R.S. §§12-341.01(A) and 12-1364; and					
10	4. For such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate					
11	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of January, 2015.					
12						
13	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.					
14						
15	Brit Alla Dist in marching					
16	By: USULLE MASTING					
17	Gregory E. Waliams Ashley N. Zimmerman					
18	Attorneys for Claimants					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
	-25-					

-25-

# Exhibit "A"

## SUNSET FARMS DEVELOPMENT

Project Located in Tolleson, AZ 85353

	GUIGES	
Aguilera, Fabliano	3819 S. 103rd Ln.	8
Alvarado, Ricardo	10336 W. Albeniz Pl.	11
(Salas) Apodaca, Alma	10332 W. Albeniz Pl.	11
Barron, Zuleika	3910 S. 103rd Dr.	10
Bautista, Miguel & Vazquez, Mercedes	10440 W. Wood St.	18
Bourguignon, Zulema	10452 W. Wood St.	18
Bravo, Arcelia	10444 W. Wood St.	18
Canales, Alicia	10376 W. Atlantis Way	31
Carroll, Gari	10421 W. Albeniz Pl.	. 94
Celado, Jaime & Aracelis	10337 W. Atlantis Way	32
Cox, Shane	10343 W. Odeum Ln.	13
Dantzler, Cedric	3913 S. 103rd Dr.	12
Renova, Erik Enriquez	10314 W. Odeum Ln.	12
Evans-Meheula, Michelle	10439 W. Southgate Ave.	180
Gallegos, Roselio & Paez-Gallegos, Isela	10428 W. Raymond St.	13
Gonzalez, Jaime	3818 S. 104th Ln.	27
Griffin, Freddie & Doris	10434 W. Illini St.	54
iutierrez, Martin	10343 W. Southgate Ave.	288
iuerrero, Jesus Freddy & Araceli Castro	4218 S. 104th Ave.	219
lasbrouck, Richard & DeLoris	4110 5. 103rd Ln.	250
ernandez, Solomon & Sally	4116 S. 104th Ln.	179
ames, Jordan	10413 W. Wood St.	216
won, Deroy & Betty	10411 W. Illini Street	40
opez, Alfredo	4204 S. 104th Ln.	187
opez, Alfredo & Edith	4208 S. 104th Ln.	188
ppez, Jose	10349 W. Raymond St.	68
artinez, Hector	10322 W. Odeum Ln.	125
cArthur, Jr., Vernon & Thomas, Crystal	10424 W. Wood St.	240
endez, Andrea	3717 S. 103rd Ļп.	79
ontijo, Olga	10308 W. Atlantis Way	299
oore, Jason & Kimberly	3905 S. 103rd Dr.	119
nfito, Mary & Rogers, Stephen	10336 W. Atlantis Way	305
varro, Alfredo	10334 W. Odeum Ln.	122
Brien, Robert	10131 W. Raymond St.	251

Orozco, Agraciana	10433 W. Wood St.	211	•
Ortiz, Manuel & Rocha, Hilda	3815 S. 103rd Ln.	85	
Perez, Hilberto & Bianca	10412 W. Wood St.	237	
Phung, Joseph and Teresa	4008 S. 104th Ln.	160	
Ramos, Joe & Maria	10318 W. Odeum Ln.	126	
Ramos, Juan & Flora	10339 W. Wood St.	273	
Rivera, Anna	10352 W. Atlantis Way	309	
Rocha, Juan & Clara	4207 S. 104th Ave.	234	
Rodriguez, Leonel	10339 W. Odeum Ln.	136	
Russell, Perry	3910 S. 104th Ln.	156	
Saucedo, Pedro & Mendoza, Glenda	4112 S. 104th Ln.	178	
Schaffer, Trisa & Carrie	10330 W. Odeum Ln.	123	
Sellers, Chaunsy & Alisha	3918 S. 104th Ln.	158	
Silverio, Amarildo	4309 S. 104th Ave.	227	
Taylor, Shawntay	10441 W. Albenîz Pi,	28	
Thompson, Danae	4107 S. 103rd Dr.	293	
Tobias, Alzetter	4108 S. 104th Ln.	177	
Tolliver, Kyle & Desiree	3818 S. 103rd Dr.	107	
Vasquez, Rosa	10345 W. Atlantis Way	326	
Virgil, Jesus & Consuelo	10344 W. Albeniz Pl.	110	
Wilson, Ronald & Michelle	4308 S. 104th Ave.	222	
Wrobel, Michael	10409 W. Raymond St.	63	
Zamarripa, Mario & Beatriz	10344 W. Atlantis Way	307	
Zaragoza, Ruben & Leonor	10317 W. Atlantis Way	298	

.

•

*		··
	Deserve A Harris (GUI) D. M. Andrew	HICHAEL N JEANES. CLERK
	I Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 020182	
	rhernandez@wshblaw.com	COPY HIGHT DE COSITORY
	2 Matthew B. Baltierra (State Bar No. 031174	
	mbaltierra(a) wshblaw.com	14 111 - 11番 5 321
•	WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP	OCT - 1 2014
	2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 450	
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4210	MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK
	Phone: $602-441-1300 \Rightarrow Fax 602-441-1350$	((COLET)) J. STUBBS
- 4	Thome. 002-441-1500 + Fax 002-441-1350	DEPUTY CLERK
•	14	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
C C		
	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT	OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
•	IN AND FOR THE CO	OUNTY OF MARICOPA
8		I MARICULA
	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC., a	Case No.
9	Delaware corporation; and CHI	CV2014-012379
	CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, an	UV2014-0123/7
10	Arizona corporation; and Does 1-100	
	inclusive,	
11	morasive, ,	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC.'S AND
11		CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY'S
12	Plaintiff,	CEDTIELCATE DECADDRIG
12		<b>CERTIFICATE REGARDING</b>
10	V.	EXPERT TESTIMONY
13		
	ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP, an	
14	Arizona limited liability limited	
	I partnership: ADAMS BROS INTERIOPS	
- 15	& CABINETS, INC., an Arizona	
	corporation; ANDREW LAUREN AZ dba	
16	RCC HOLDINGS, LLC; an Arizona	
	corporation; ARTISTIC STAIRS, LTD.,	
17	an Arizona corneration: ATDUDA DOOD	
17		
18	AND WINDOW COMPANY OF	· · · · ·
10		
10	corporation; CATALINA ROOFING AND	
19		
	CHAS RUBERTS AIR CONDITIONING	
20	INC., an Arizona corporation CREATIVE	
	TOUCH INTERIORS AND HD SUPPLY	
21	INTERIOR SOLUTIONS dba CTLOF	
	MARYLAND, INC. (FN), a Maryland	
22	Corporation ; FLOORWORKS, INC. dba	
	CREATIVE TOUCH INTERIORS fka	
23	DESERT A COLUSITION CORP.	
25	DESERT ACQUISITION CORP., a	· · ·
24	Delaware corporation; DESIGN	
24	DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado	
	Corporation; DIXON BROTHERS	
25	INCORPORATED, an Arizona	
	corporation; DOOR SALES, LLC, dha	
26	MASCO FRAMING HOLDING	
	COMPANY I, LLC, an Arizona	.  .
27	corporation; DVC CONSTRUCTION	
	COMPANY, INC., an Arizona	
28	corporation: ERICKSON	
20		
ļ		•
11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

. .

I

----

LEGAL:05708-0399/3703243.1

.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85018-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FXX 602-441-1350

e in

I, i • • • •

CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona 1 limited liability company; EXECUTIVE 2 PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC., an Arizona corporation; GALE 3 CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba BUILDER SERVICES, INC., a Florida 4 corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited 5 liability company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona 6 corporation; GMS CONCRETE SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona 7 corporation; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a California corporation; INFINITY BUILDING 8 PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited 9 liability company; JR MCDADE CO. INC., an Arizona corporation; LODI 10 GARAGE DOOR & MORE dba MADJ. INC. an Arizona corporation; MESA 11 FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an 12 Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE 13 PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; PARTITIONS & 14 ACCESSORIES CO. dba L.R. BORELLI INC., an Arizona corporation; POCO 15 VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE INC., an Arizona corporation; ROBERT MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an 16 Arizona limited liability company; 17 SHARICO ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETÉ, LLC, an Arizona limited 18 liability company; SPECIALTY 19 ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED FENCÉ COMPANY, INC., an Arizona 20 21 corporation; UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA 22 INSULATION, a Utah corporation; VALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC., an 23 Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona 24 corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I - XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; 25 and DOES I - XX. 26 Defendants. 2728

LEGAL:05708-0399/3703243.1

-2-

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

Plaintiffs Continental Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company by and through undersigned counsel, hereby asserts that expert opinion testimony will be necessary to prove the standard of care, industry standard and/or liability for the claim. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of October, 2014.

-3-

LEGAL:05708-0399/3703243.1

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLF

TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-135

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

By: long

ROSARY & HERNANDEZ MATTHEW B. BALTIERRA Attorneys for Plaintiff 

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		MINIT VERUSINORY
•	9	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC., a Delaware corporation; and CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, an	Case No. CV2014-012379
IAN LLP 450 1-1350	10 11	Arizona corporation; and Does 1-100 inclusive, , Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION
WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 55016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-136	<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	v. ABS INSPECTION GROUP, LLLP, an Arizona limited liability limited partnership; ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ANDREW LAUREN AZ dba	
	24 25 26 27	DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado corporation; DIXON BROTHERS, INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation; DOOR SALES, LLC, dba MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY I, LLC, an Arizona corporation; DVC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation: ERICKSON	

LEGAL:05708-0399//3703201.1

.

MOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARLZONA 66016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1360

CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona I limited liability company; EXECUTIVE 2 PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC., an Arizona corporation; GALE 3 CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba BUILDER SERVICES, INC., a Florida corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND 4 UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited 5 liability company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona 6 corporation; GMS CONCRETE SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona corporation; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a California 8 corporation; INFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited 9 liability company; JR MCDADE CO., INC., an Arizona corporation; LODI 10 GARAGE DOOR & MORE dba MADJ, INC. an Arizona corporation; MESA 11 FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an 12 Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE 13 PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; PARTITIONS & 14 ACCESSORIES CO. dba L.R. BORELLI INC., an Arizona corporation: POCO 15 VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE INC., an Arizona corporation; ROBERT 16 MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SHARICO ENTERPRÍSES, INC., an 17 Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited 18 liability company; SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona 19 20 corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC., an Arizona 21 corporation; UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA 22 INSULATION, a Utah corporation; VALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC., an 23 Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona 24 corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I - XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; 25 and DOES I – XX, 26 Defendants. 27 28 LEGAL:05708-0399/3703201.1 -2-

The undersigned hereby certifies that they know the dollar limits and any other limitations set forth by the local rules of practice for the applicable superior court, and further certifies that this case is <u>not</u> subject to compulsory arbitration, as provided by Rules 72 through 76 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of October, 2014.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP

By:

-3-

MA B  $\mathbf{R}$ TIFŔRÁ Attorneys for Plaintiff

**NOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN** 

TELEP TELEP

EGAL:05708-0399/3703201.1



LEGAL:10291-0001/3703060.1

WOOD, SMITH; HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARUZONA 85016-4210

04D, SUITE 450 85016-4210 FAX 802-441-1350 **WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN** ROAD, NA 8501 μĂ

**Pare** 

CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona 1 limited liability company; EXECUTIVE 2 PAINTING ENTERPRISE, INC., an Arizona corporation; GALE 3 CONTRACTOR SERVICES dba BUILDER SERVICES, INC., a Florida 4 corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, LLC, an Arizona limited 5 liability company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona corporation; GMS CONCRETE 6 SPECIALISTS, INC., an Arizona 7 corporation; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES, INC., a California 8 corporation; INFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited 9 liability company; JR MCDADE CO., INC., an Arizona corporation; LODI GARAGE DOOR & MORE dba MADJ, 10 INC. an Arizona corporation; MESA 11 FULLY FORMED, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an 12 Arizona corporation; PALO VERDE 13 PLASTERING, INC., an Arizona corporation; PARTITIONS & 14 ACCESSORIES CO. dba L.R. BORELLI INC., an Arizona corporation; POCO 15 VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE INC., an Arizona corporation; ROBERT 16 MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; 17 SHARICO ENTERPRÍSES, INC., an Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETÉ, LLC, an Arizona limited 18 liability company; SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; THOMAS ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona 19 20 corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC., an Arizona 21 corporation; UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. dba MESA 22 **INSULATION**, a Utah corporation: VALLEY GATE SERVICE, INC., an 23 Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO., INC., an Arizona corporation; BLACK CORPORATIONS I 24 XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I - XX; 25 and DOES I - XX. 26Defendants. 27 28

-2-

Pursuant to Rule 38(B), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Continental l Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues in the above-entitled action. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this  $\int day$  of October, 2014. WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP By: R EŻ MATTHEW B. BALTIĚRRA Attorneys for Plaintiff TELEPHONE 60: 

LEGAL:05708-0399/3703060 1

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLF

-3-

1

t

DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE; RESERVED FOR ACC USE ONLY.

#### STATEMENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS

**ENTITY NAME** – give the exact name of the corporation or LLC as currently shown in A.C.C. records: Specialty Roofing, Inc.

A.C.C. FILE NUMBER: -0078231-3

Find the A.C.C. file number on the upper corner of filed documents OR on our website at: http://www.azcc.gov/Divisions/Corporations

By my signature below, **I certify under the penalty of perjury** that, upon information, knowledge, and belief, the above-named entity has either failed to appoint a statutory agent or failed to maintain a statutory agent at the statutory agent address on record with the Arizona Corporation Commission.

Bryan Blair

01/27/2015

Date

Signature

Service of process fee: \$25.00 All fees are nonrefundable.	Mail: Arizona Corporation Commission - Records Sec 1300 W. Washington St., Phoenix, Arizona 850 Fax: 602-542-3414	
--	---	--

Please be advised that A.C.C. forms reflect only the minimum provisions required by statute. You should seek private legal counsel for those matters that may pertain to the individual needs of your business.

to the individual needs of your business. All documents filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission are public record and are open for public inspection.

If you have questions after reading the Instructions, please call 602-542-3026 or (within Arizona only) 800-345-5819.

#### Corporate Maintenance

01/27/2015 State of Arizona File Number: -0078231-3 Corp. Name: SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC.	Public Access System	12:28	PM
Domestic Address 8200 N 75TH AVE	Second Address		
PEORIA, AZ 85345			
Agent: JULIE A PACE Status: APPOINTED 11/14/2006	Domicile: ARIZONA County: MARICOPA		
Mailing Address:	Corporation Type: PROFIT		
% THE CAVANAGH LAW FIRM	Life Period: PERPETUAI		
1850 N CENTRAL AVE #2400	Incorporation Date: 01/20/197	70	
	Approval Date: 01/20/197	70	
PHOENIX, AZ 85004	Last A/R Received: 5 / 2014	Ł	
Agent Last Updated: 08/04/2010	Date A/R Entered: 02/19/201	.4	
	Next Report Due: 05/20/201	.5	
Business Type: CONSTRUCTION			

Eric Henningsen

.

۰ ۲

ting the second 
.

Ph User Id: LGRIFFIN Invoice No.: 4676367 ATTN: (CASH CUSTOMER)	RECORDS 1300 West	NS DIVISION SECTION Washington ona 85007-2	929 Check Batch: Invoice Date: Date Received: Customer No.:	
Quantity Description				Amount
1 SERVICE OF PROCE -0078231-3 SPECI		G, INC.		\$25.00
		Tota	l Documents: \$	25.00
PAYMENT	СНЕСК	520		\$25.00

Balance Due: \$ 0.00

.

.