

COMMISSIONERS SUSAN BITTER SMITH - Chairman BOB STUMP BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE



PATRICIA L. BARFIELD Director **Corporations Division**

Executive Director

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Date FEBRUARY 27, 2015

D. V. C. CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC 8550 NORTH 91ST AVE. STE. 51 PEORIA, AZ 85345

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is a copy of the following document(s) that were served upon the Arizona Corporation Commission on 02/25/2015 as agent for D. V. C. CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC:

Case caption: CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC, et. al V. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC et. al. Court: MARICOPA COUNTY, SUPERIOR COURT

Case number: CV2014-013612

- \boxtimes Summons
- \boxtimes Complaint
- Subpoena
- Subpoena Duces Tecum
- Default Judgment
- Judgment
- Writ of Garnishment
- Motion For Summary Judgment
- Motion for
 - Other CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION

Sincerely,

.vnda^აB. Griffin Custodian of Records

Initials LBG File number -0162479-2

JODI JERICH Executive Director

COMMISSIONERS SUSAN BITTER SMITH – Chairman BOB STUMP BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE



ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

PATRICIA L. BARFIELD Director Corporations Division

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned person certifies the following facts:

On 02/25/2015, Lynda Griffin, an employee of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC"), received on behalf of the ACC service of the following documents upon the ACC as agent for **D. V. C. CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC**.

Case caption:	CONTINENTAL HOMES,	INC, et. al v.	ADAMS BROS	INTERIORS & (CABINETS,
INC et. al,					
Case number:	CV2014-013612				

 \square

 \square

Default Judgment

Writ of Garnishment

Judament

Court: MARICOPA COUNTY, SUPERIOR COURT

- Summons
- Complaint
- Subpoena
- Subpoena Duces Tecum
- Motion For Summary Judgment
- Motion for

Other CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION

On **02/27/2015**, the undersigned person placed a copy of the above listed documents in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the entity at its last known place of business address, as follows:

D. V. C. CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC 8550 NORTH 91ST AVE. STE. 51 PEORIA, AZ 85345

OR

The undersigned was unable to mail the above listed documents to

because that entity is not a registered corporation or limited liability company in the State of Arizona, and the Arizona Corporation Commission has no record of its known place of business.

I declare and certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Printed name Lynda Griffin	0	Date: 02/27/2015
Signature: Lynds	5/	

1300 WEST WASHINGTON, PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2929 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET, SUITE #221, TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 <u>www.azcc.gov</u> - 602-542-3026

1	Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 020182) Gregory E. Williams (State Bar No. 020320) Ashley N. Zimmerman (State Bar No. 030595)	
2	TB TIFFANY&BOSCO	
3	SEVENTH FLOOR CAMELBACK ESPLANAD	DE II
4	PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4237 TELEPHONE: (602) 255-6000 FACSIMILE: (602) 255-0103	
6	E-Mail: <u>rah@tblaw.com</u> <u>gew@tblaw.com</u> <u>anz@tblaw.com</u>	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	SUPERIOR COURT C	DF ARIZONA
9	COUNTY OF MA	RICOPA
10		
11	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC. a Delaware corporation; CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Arizona corporation, and DOES	CASE NO. CV2014-013612
12	1-100, inclusive	
13	Plaintiffs,	SUMMONS
	, anning,	SUMMONS
14	V.	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas
14 15	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation: ALLIED	
15 16	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas
15	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach)
15 16 17	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach)
15 16 17 18	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach) If you would like legal active from a lewyer pontect the Lawyer Referral Service at 602-257-4434 or www.maricopalawyers or
15 16 17 18 19	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA,	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach) ^{If} you would like regai source worn a rewyer contact the Lawyer Referral Service at 602-257-4434 or www.maricopalawyers.org Sconscore at a
15 16 17 18 19 20	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA, INC., an Arizona corporation; BRETSTAR, INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING, an Arizona	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach) If you would like legal active from a lewyer pontect the Lawyer Referral Service at 602-257-4434 or www.maricopalawyers or
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA, INC., an Arizona corporation; BRETSTAR, INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING, an Arizona corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC. f/k/a MASCO CONTRACTOR	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach) ^{If} you would like regai source worn a rewyer contact the Lawyer Referral Service at 602-257-4434 or www.maricopalawyers.org Sconscore at a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA, INC., an Arizona corporation; BRETSTAR, INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING, an Arizona corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC. f/k/a MASCO CONTRACTOR SERVICES CENTRAL, INC. D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach) ^{If} you would like regai source worn a rewyer contact the Lawyer Referral Service at 602-257-4434 or www.maricopalawyers.org Sconscore at a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA, INC., an Arizona corporation; BRETSTAR, INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING, an Arizona corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC. f/k/a MASCO CONTRACTOR SERVICES CENTRAL, INC. D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida corporation; BURROWS CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach) ^{If} you would like regai source worn a rewyer contact the Lawyer Referral Service at 602-257-4434 or www.maricopalawyers.org Sconscore at a
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA, INC., an Arizona corporation; BRETSTAR, INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING, an Arizona corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC. f/k/a MASCO CONTRACTOR SERVICES CENTRAL, INC. D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida corporation; BURROWS CONCRETE, LLC,	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach) ^{If} you would like regai source worn a rewyer contact the Lawyer Referral Service at 602-257-4434 or www.maricopalawyers.org Sconscore at a
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	v. ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA, INC., an Arizona corporation; BRETSTAR, INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING, an Arizona corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC. f/k/a MASCO CONTRACTOR SERVICES CENTRAL, INC. D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida corporation; BURROWS CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; CANYON STATE DRYWALL, INC., an Arizona corporation; CATALINA ROOFING	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach) ^{If} you would like regai source worn a rewyer contact the Lawyer Referral Service at 602-257-4434 or www.maricopalawyers.org Sconscore at a

.

,

1	CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona corporation; CLAYTON GLASS & ACCESSORIES, INC., an Arizona
2	I corporation: COHACO BUILDING
3	SPECIALTIES, INC., an Arizona corporation; DESERT VISTA, INC., an Arizona
4	corporation; DESIGN DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado corporation; DIVERSIFIED
5	ROOFING CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation; DIXON BROTHERS
	INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation; MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY
6	LLC d/b/a DOOR SALES & INSTALLATIONS, LLC, an Arizona limited
7	liability company; DRRS PLUMBING SERVICES, LLC d/b/a EPIC PLUMBING, an
8	Arizona limited liability company; <u>DVC</u> <u>CONSTRUCTION COMPANY</u> , INC., an
9	Arizona corporation; ELKAY SALES, INC., an Arizona corporation; EMPIRE
10	PLASTERING, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ERICKSON
11	CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; HOLMES-HALLY
I2	INDUSTRIES INC., a California corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, LLC,
13	an Arizona limited liability company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona
14	corporation; INFINITY BUILDING
15	PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; INTEGRATED STUCCO, INC., an Arizona corporation; MESA FULLY
	FORMED, LLC., an Arizona limited liability
16	company; MITCHELL ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation
17	n/k/s IES RESIDENTIAL, INC., a Delaware corporation; MOCSON UNDERGROUND,
18	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an
19	Arizona corporation; NEW ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; NORCRAFT
20	COMPANIES, LP d/b/a MID CONTINENT CABINETRY, a Delaware limited partnership;
21	OSBORNE STUCCO, INC., an Arizona corporation; PARAMOUNT WINDOWS,
22	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; POCO VERDE LANDSCAPE, INC. n/k/a
23	POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC., an Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI
	INC. d/b/a PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES, CO., an Arizona corporation; PORTER-
24	JARVIS, LLC d/b/a JADE GRADING, an Arizona limited liability company; RCC
25	HOLDINGS LLC d/b/a PRIMERA, an Arizona
26	limited liability company; ROADRUNNER DRYWALL CORP., an Arizona corporation;

•

,

1	ROBERT MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
2	ROCONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SAN TAN ROOFING,
3	INC.; an Arizona corporation; SCHUCK & SONS CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., an Arizona corporation; SOMBRERO
4	PAINTING, INC., an Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona
5	limited liability company; SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; TOP
6	GRADING & WASTE SERVICES, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED FENCE
7	COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation, UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. D/B/A
8	MESA INSULATION, an Utah corporation; VW DIG, LLC, an Arizona limited liability
9	company; WAYNE-DALTON CORP.; an Ohio corporation WESTERN STATES
10	GLASS AND BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING COMPANY, INC., an
11	Arizona corporation; WHITTON CONCRETE, INC., an Arizona corporation; XO
12	WINDOWS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; BLACK CORPORATIONS I-XX:
13	WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I-XX; and DOES I- XX
14	Defendants.
15	STATE OF ARIZONA TO THE DEFENDANTS:
16	
17	SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A"
18	YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to

EBY SUMMONED and required to appear and defend, within 18 the time applicable, in this action in this Court. If served within Arizona, you shall 19 appear and defend within 20 days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon 20 you, exclusive of the day of service. If served out of the State of Arizona - whether by 21 direct service, by registered or certified mail, or by publication - you shall appear and 22 defend within 30 days after the service of the Summons and Complaint upon you is 23 complete, exclusive of the day of service. Where process is served upon the Arizona 24 Director of Insurance as an insurer's attorney to receive service of legal process against it 25 in this state, the insurer shall not be required to appear, answer or plead until expiration 26 of 40 days after the date of such service upon the Director. Service by registered or

,

certified mail without the State of Arizona is complete 30 days after the date of filing the
receipt and affidavit of service with the Court. Service by publication is complete 30
days after the date of first publication. Direct service is complete when made. Service
upon the Arizona Motor Vehicle Superintendent is complete 30 days after filing the
Affidavit of Compliance and return receipt or Officer's Return. RCP 4; RFLP 40; ARS
§§20-222; 28-2327.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that in case of your failure to appear and
defend within the time applicable, judgment by default may be rendered against you for
the relief demanded in the Complaint.

YOU ARE CAUTIONED that in order to appear and defend, you must file an
Answer or proper response in writing with the Clerk of this Court, accompanied by the
necessary filing fee, within the time required, and you are required to serve a copy of
any Answer or response upon the Plaintiffs' attorney. RCP 10(d); ARS §12-311; RCP 5.
YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that requests for reasonable accommodation
for persons with disabilities must be made to the division assigned to the case by parties
at least 3 judicial days in advance of a scheduled court proceeding.

The name and address of plaintiffs' attorneys are:

16

17	Rosary A. Hernandez, Esq.
18	Gregory E. Williams, Esq. Ashley Zimmerman, Esq.
19	Tiffany & Bosco, P.A. Seventh Floor Camelback Esplanade II
20	2525 East Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016
21	(602) 255-6000
22	FEB 17 2015
23	SIGNED AND SEALED this date:
24	MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
25	n
26	By
	Deputy Clerk
	-4-

,

EXHIBIT "A"

. .

1

2		
3	DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
4	ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC.	Corporation Service Company 2338 W. Royal Palm Rd., Suite J
6	ALLIED MASONRY, LLC	Phoenix, AZ 85021Kenneth Rudisill21448 N. 78th Drive
7 8		Peoria, AZ 85382 Guy W. Bluff
° 9	ALOHA GRADING, INC.	4205 N. 7 th Avenue, Suite 201 Phoenix, AZ 85013
10	AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION dba TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO.	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
11	ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC	John Wolchief 3650 N. 40 th Avenue
12 13	·	Phoenix, AZ 85019 CT Corporation System
14	ASPEN BLOCK, LLC	2394 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016 Toby Thomas
15	AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC.	5360 W. Lamar Rd. Glendale, AZ 85301
16 17	BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA, INC.	James Bebout 5742 W. Maryland Ave. Glendale, AZ 85301
18 19	BRETSTAR, INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING	Daniel Males 1431 N. 27 th Ln. Phoenix, AZ 85009
20 21	BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC.	Mike Brewer 20601 N. 19 th Ave., Suite 150 Phoenix, AZ 85027
22	BURROWS CONCRETE, LLC	Kenneth Rudisill 21448 N. 78 th Drive Peoria, AZ 85382
23 24	CANYON STATE DRYWALL, INC.	Jerry Mortensen 301 S. Westwood Mesa, AZ 85210
25 26	CATALINA ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC.	Richard Chambliss 1122 E. Jefferson Phoenix, AZ 85034

• 1

(

,

DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING, INC.	Clark Hill PLC 14850 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 500 Scottsdale, AZ 85254
CLAYTON GLASS & ACCESSORIES, INC.	Jerry Grover 11625 N. 124 th Way Scottsdale, AZ 85259
COHACO BUILDING SPECIALTIES, INC.	Tharyon Cooley 9700 N. 91 st Ave., Suite 130 Peoria, AZ 85345
DESERT VISTA, INC.	Paul Frame 8990 E. Raintree Drive, Suite 100 Scottsdale, AZ 85260
DESIGN DRYWALL WEST, INC.	Debra T. Stewart-Dillon 6950 W. Morelos Pl., #1 Chandler, AZ 85226
DIVERSIFIED ROOFING CORPORATION	Beverly Schouten 2015 W. Mountainview Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85021
DIXON BROTHERS, INCORPORATED	Mike Dixon or Charles Dixon 1133 E. Cinnabar Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85020
MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY I LLC dba DOOR SALES & INSTALLATIONS, LLC	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
DRRS PLUMBING SERVICES, LLC d/b/a EPIC PLUMBING	DG Service Corp. 80 E. Rio Salado Parkway, Suite 401 Tempe, AZ 85281
DVC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.	12475 W. Alice Ave. El Mirage, AZ 85335
ELKAY SALES, INC.	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
	Land Labor and Capital Management 610 E. Bell Road, #2117 Phoenix, AZ 85022
EMPIRE PLASTERING, LLC	Stephen Collins 4647 N. 32 nd St., Suite 160 Phoenix, AZ 85018
ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016

,

.

. .

]	DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
IOLMES-HA	LLY INDUSTRIES, INC.	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
GECKO UNE LLC	DERGROUND UTILITIES,	Richard Joseph 7070 W. Frier Drive Glendale, AZ 85303
GENERAL PI	LUMBING, INC.	Larry Mueller 2600 N. Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004
INFINITY BU	JILDING PRODUCTS,	3315 W. Buckeye Road, Suite 4 Phoenix, AZ 85009
NTEGRATE	D STUCCO, INC.	Thomas Craig Steele Edith Hulsey 8205 N. 67 th Avenue Glendale, AZ 85302
MESA FULL	Y FORMED, LLC	Emily May Cassady 2153 N. Lemon Circle Mesa, AZ 85215
MITCHELL F NC. n/k/a IES	ELECTRIC COMPANY, S RESIDENTIAL, INC.	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
AOCSON UN	JDERGROUND, LLC	Juan Zane Gray Stockam 924 E. Laredo Street Chandler, AZ 85225
MPC CONTR NC.	ACTING COMPANY,	BLG Agent Services, LLC 14850 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 350 Scottsdale, AZ 85254
VEW ELECT	RIC, INC.	David Puccio 3338 W. Vernon Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85009
NORCRAFT (MID CONTIN	COMPANIES, LP d/b/a IENT CABINETRY	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016
SBORNE ST	FUCCO, INC.	William Osborne 3714 E. Aspen Court Gilbert, AZ 85234
PARAMOUN	T WINDOWS, LLC	Sam Regina 3853 E. Wier Phoenix, AZ 85040
POCO VERD n/k/s POCO V LANDSCAPE	E LANDSCAPE, INC. ERDE POOLS AND	Henry Stein 2826 S. Carriage Lane, Suite 100 Mesa, AZ 85202

•

_	DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
	R. BORELLI INC. dba PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES CO.	1220 S. Pasadena, Suite 1 Mesa, AZ 85210
F	PORTER-JARVIS, LLC d/b/a JADE	David Brent Jarvis 1116 W. 6 th Place
-	RCC HOLDINGS, LLC d/b/a/PRIMERA	Mesa, AZ 85201 Ian Hislop 1311 W. 21 st St. Tempe, AZ 85282
F	ROADRUNNER DRYWALL CORP.	Mark Nuessle 1726 E. Deer Valley Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85024
	ROBERT MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC	M. Kent Mecham c/o Mecham & Associates Chartere 7830 N. 23 rd Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85021
F	ROCONCRETE, LLC	Kirk Hays 10429 S. 51 st Street, Suite 285 Phoenix, AZ 85044
S	SAN TAN ROOFING, INC.	Curtis Jennings 2800 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1800 Phoenix, AZ 85004
	SCHUCK & SONS CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.	Thomas Steele 8205 N. 67 th Avenue Glendale, AZ 85302
S	SOMBRERO PAINTING, INC.	Bill sandy 101 E. Briles Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85085
5	SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC	Gary Shroer 22116 N. Valerio Dr. Sun City West, AZ 85375
S	SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC.	Donald Summers 8200 N. 75 th Avenue Peoria, AZ 85345
	TOP GRADING & WASTE SERVICES, NC.	Austin Potenza 201 N. Central Ave., 22 nd Floor Phoenix, AZ 85004
τ	JNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC.	Keith Clouse 1132 E. Lockwood St. Mesa, AZ 85203

•

.

•

_		
. 1	DEFENDANT	STATUTORY AGENT
2		Ryan Clouse
3		2113 E. Folley St.
ر		Chandler, AZ 85225
4	UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS INC	National Registered Agents 2390 E. Camelback Rd.
5	UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC., d/b/a MESA INSULATION	Phoenix, AZ 85016
~		Justin Naylor
6	VW DIG, LLC	4302 E. Superior Ave.
7		Phoenix, AZ 85040
8	WANDE DATTON CODD	CT Corporation System 2390 E. Camelback Rd.
	WAYNE-DALTON CORP.	Phoenix, AZ 85016
9		D Jay Ryan
10	WESTERN STATES GLASS AND BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC.	5415 E. High Street, Suite 200
11		Phoenix, AZ 85054 Roderick Westfall
11	WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING CO.,	4302 E. Weldon Ave.
12	INC.	Phoenix, AZ 85018
13		Homer Quist
	WHITTON CONCRETE, INC.	49 N. Mesa Dr. Mesa, AZ 85201
14		James Schmillen
15	XO WINDOWS, LLC	7025 E. Greenway Parkway, Suite 500
16		Scottsdale, AZ 85254
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

١

	:		MICHAEL K. JEANES.
			FILED
	1	Rosary A. Hernandez Arizona Bar No. 020182	14 OCT 29 PM 4
	2	<u>rhernandez@wshblaw.com</u> Jason R. Mullis	
	3	Arizona Bar No. 024289 jmullis@wshblaw.com	
	4	WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP 2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 450	
	5	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4210 Phone: 602-441-1300 Fax 602-441-1350	
	6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Continental Homes, In	nc
	7	And CHI Construction Company	/ic.
	8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT (OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
	9	IN AND FOR THE CO	UNTY OF MARICOPA
	10		
2	11	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC. a	Case No. CV 2014-013612
-	12	Delaware corporation; CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Arizona corporation, and DOES 1-100, inclusive	
	13	Plaintiffs,	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC. AND CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY'S
•	14	V.	CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION
	15	ADAMS BROS INTERIORS &	
	16		Assigned to the Honorable
	17	limited liability company; ALOHA	
	18	GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE	
	19	CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation;	
	20	ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability	
	21	company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; BCI	
	22	BEBOUT CONCRETÉ OF ARIZONA, INC., an Arizona corporation; BREWER	
	23	ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; BUILDER SERVICES	
	24		· ·
	25	INC. D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida corporation; BURDOWS CONCRETE LLC on	
	26		
	27		
	28	ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC., an Arizona corporation: CHAS ROBERTS	
		LEGAL:05708-0378/3756577.1	

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Anomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 902-441-1300 + Fix 602-441-1350

٩

۶

٩

۲.

L

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA B5016-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FX 602-441-1350

•

÷-

1	AIR CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona
2	corporation; CLAYTON GLASS & ACCESSORIES, INC., an Arizona
3	corporation; DESERT VISTA, INC., an Arizona corporation; DESIGN
4	DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado corporation; DIVERSIFIED ROOFING
5	CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation; DIXON BROTHERS INCORPORATED,
6	an Arizona corporation; MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY LLC
7	D/B/A DOOR SALES & INSTALLATIONS, LLC, an Arizona
	limited liability company; DRRS
8	PLUMBING ŠERVICES, LLC d/b/a ÉPIC PLUMBING, an Arizona limited liability
9	company; DVC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an Arizona
10	corporation; EMPIRE PLASTERING, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
11	ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an
12	Arizona limited liability company; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES INC., a
13	California corporation; INFINITY BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC;, an
14	Arizona limited liability company; INTEGRATED STUCCO, INC., an
15	Arizona corporation; MFF, INC. an Arizona corporation f/k/a MESA FULLY
16	FORMED, LLC., an Arizona limited liability company; MPC CONTRACTING
17	liability company; MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; NORCRAFT COMPANIES,
18	LP D/B/A MID CONTINENT CABINETRY, a Delaware limited
19	partnership; OSBORNE STUCCO, INC.,
20	an Arizona corporation; PARAMOUNT WINDOWS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; POCO VERDE
	LANDSCAPE, INC. n/k/a POCO VERDE
21	POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC., an Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI INC.
22	d/b/a PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES, CO., an Arizona corporation; PORTER-
23	JARVIS, LLC d/b/a JADE GRADING, an Arizona limited liability company; RCC
24	HOLDINGS LLC d/b/a PRIMERA, an Arizona limited liability company;
25	ROADRUNNER DRYWALL CORP., an Arizona corporation; SAN TAN
26	ROOFING, INC.; an Arizona corporation; SOMBRERO PAINTING, INC., an
27	Arizona corporation; SONORAN
28	CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company: SPECIALTY

.

.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; 1 TOP GRADING & WASTE SERVICES, 2 INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; VW DIG, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; WAYNE-3 4 DALTON CORP.; an Ohio corporation WESTERN STATES GLASS AND 5 BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING COMPANY, INC., an 6 Arizona corporation; WHITTON 7 CONCRETÉ, INC., an Arizona corporation; XO WINDOWS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; BLACK CORPORATIONS I-XX; 8 WHITE 9 PARTNERSHIPS I-XX; and DOES I-XX 10 Defendants. 11 12 The undersigned hereby certifies that they know the dollar limits and any other 13 limitations set forth by the local rules of practice for the applicable superior court, and further certifies that this case is not subject to compulsory arbitration, as provided by Rules 72 14 15 through 76 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. 16 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this And an of October, 2014. 17

By:

ROSARY A/HERNANDEZ JASON R. MULLIS 2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 450 Phoenix, Arizon 85016-4210 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Continental Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company

-3-

.

٠,

•

ľ	· · ·	MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERH	
i i		BY PROE DEP	
1 2	Rosary A. Hernandez Arizona Bar No. 020182 rhernandez@wshblaw.com	FILED 14 OCT 29 PM 4: 09	
	Jason R. Mullis Arizona Bar No. 024289 jmullis@wshblaw.com		
	WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP 2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 450 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4210 Phone: 602-441-1300 Fax 602-441-1350		
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Continental Homes, In And CHI Construction Company	nc.	
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA		
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA		
10			
11	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC. a Delaware corporation; CHI	Case No. CV 2014-013612	
12	CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Arizona corporation, and DOES 1-100, inclusive		
13	- Plaintiffs,	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC. AND CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY'S	
14	v.	CERTIFICATE REGARDING EXPERT TESTIMONY	
15 16	ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation;	Assigned to the Honorable	
	ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA	Assigned to the Honorable	
	GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK		
19	CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE		
20	WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an	-	
21	Arizona limited liability company; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA,		
	INC., an Arizona corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona	•.	
h	corporation; BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC. F/K/A MASCO		
	CONTRACTOR SERVICES CENTRAL, INC. D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR		
25	BURROWS CONCRETE, LLC, an		
26 27	Arizona limited liability company; CANYON STATE DRYWALL, INC., an Arizona corporation; CATALINA		
28	ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC., an Arizona corporation: CHAS ROBERTS		
	LEGAL:05708-0378/3756589.1		

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Aloneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FAX 802-441-1350

٩

....

,

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

AIR CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona 1 corporation; CLAYTON GLASS & 2 ACCESSORIES, INC., an Arizona corporation; DESERT VISTA, INC., an Arizona corporation; DESIGN 3 DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado 4 corporation; DIVERSIFIED ROOFING CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation; DIXON BROTHERS INCORPORATED, 5 an Arizona corporation; MASCO 6 FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY LLC D/B/A DOOR SALES & 7 INSTALLATIONS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; DRRS PLUMBING SERVICES, LLC d/b/a EPIC 8 PLUMBING, an Arizona limited liability 9 company; DVC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; EMPIRE PLASTERING, 10 LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; 11 ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES INC., a 12 California corporation; INFINITY 13 BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC;, an Arizona limited liability company; 14 INTEGRATED STUCCO, INC., an Arizona corporation; MFF, INC. an 15 Arizona corporation f/k/a MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC., an Arizona limited 16 liability company; MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; NORCRAFT COMPANIES, 17 LP D/B/A MID CONTINENT 18 CABINETRY, a Delaware limited partnership; OSBORNE STUCCO, INC., 19 an Arizona corporation; PARAMOUNT WINDOWS, LLC, an Arizona limited 20 liability company; POCO VERDE LANDSCAPE, INC. n/k/a POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC., an 21 Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI INC. 22 d/b/a PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES, CO., an Arizona corporation; PORTER-23 JARVIS, LLC d/b/a JADE GRADING, an Arizona limited liability company; RCC 24 HOLDINGS LLC d/b/a PRIMERA, an Arizona limited liability company 25 ROADRUNNER DRYWALL CORP., an Arizona corporation; SAN TAN ROOFING, INC.; an Arizona corporation; 261 SOMBRERO PAINTING, INC., an 27 Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited 28 liability company; SPECIALTY

LEGAL:05708-0378/3756589.1

-2-

, r



-3-

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELRACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

•

Michael K Jeanes, Clerk of Court *** Electronically Filed *** S. Bagnall, Deputy 2/12/2015 5:09:00 PM Filing ID 6404204

-		Michael K Jeanes, Clerk of C *** Electronically Filed ** S. Bagnall, Deputy 2/12/2015 5:09:00 PM Filing ID 6404204
1	Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 020182) Gregory E. Williams (State Bar No. 020320) Ashley N. Zimmerman (State Bar No. 030595)	
2	1B TIFFANY&BOSCO	
3	SEVENTH FLOOR CAMELBACK ESPLANAD	EII
4	2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4237	
5	TELEPHONE: (602) 255-6000 FACSIMILE: (602) 255-0103 E-Mail: <u>rah@tblaw.com</u>	
6	gew@tblaw.com anz@tblaw.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	·
8	SUPERIOR COURT O	ε αριγονιά
9		
10	COUNTY OF MA	RICOPA
11	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC. a Delaware	CASE NO. CV2014-013612
12	corporation; CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Arizona corporation, and DOES	
13	1-100, inclusive	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC.'S AND CHI CONSTRUCTION
14	Plaintiffs,	COMPANY'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
	v.	1. DEMAND FOR
15	ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED	ARBITRATION
16	MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an	2. EXPRESS INDEMNITY
17	Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a	3. BREACH OF
18	TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia	CONTRACT 4. BREACH OF
19	corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited	4. BREACH OF IMPLIED
	liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN	WARRANTY
20	ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA,	5. NEGLIGENCE 6. IMPLIED
21	INC., an Arizona corporation; BRETSTAR,	INDEMNITY
22	INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING, an Arizona corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES,	7. DECLARATORY
23	INC., an Arizona corporation; BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC. f/k/a MASCO	RELIEF – DUTY TO DEFEND
	CONTRACTOR SERVICES CENTRAL, INC.	8. BREACH OF
24	D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida corporation; BURROWS CONCRETE,	EXPRESS WARRANTY
25	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; CANYON STATE DRYWALL, INC., an	TA CONTRACTO L
26	Arizona corporation; CATALINA ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC., an Arizona corporation;	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach)
	-1-	

•••

• •
	CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING,
1	INC., an Arizona corporation; CLAYTON
	GLASS & ACCESSORIES, INC., an Arizona
2	corporation; COHACO BÚILDÍNG SPECIALTIES, INC., an Arizona
	SPECIALTIES, INC., an Arizona
3	corporation; DESERT VISTA, INC., an
	Arizona corporation; DESIGN DRYWALL
4	WEST, INC., a Colorado corporation; DIVERSIFIED ROOFING CORPORATION,
	an Arizona corporation; DIXON BROTHERS
5	INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation;
	MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY I
6	LLC d/b/a DOOR SALES &
	INSTALLATIONS, LLC, an Arizona limited
7	liability company; DRRS PLUMBING
	SERVICES, LLC d/b/a EPIC PLUMBING, an
8	Arizona limited liability company; DVC
	CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an
9	Arizona corporation; ELKAY SALES, INC.,
	an Arizona corporation; EMPIRE
10	PLASTERING, LLC, an Arizona limited
	liability company; ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited
11	liability company; HOLMES-HALLY
	INDUSTRIES INC., a California corporation;
12	GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES,
	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
13	GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona
	corporation; INFINITY BUILDING
14	PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability
15	company; INTEGRATED STUCCO, INC., an
15	Arizona corporation; MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC., an Arizona limited liability
16	company; MITCHELL ELECTRIC
10	COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation
17	n/k/s IES RESIDENTIAL, INC., a Delaware
17	corporation; MOCSON UNDERGROUND,
18	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
10	MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an
19	Arizona corporation; NEW ELECTRIC,
	INC., an Arizona corporation; NORCRAFT
20	COMPANIES, LP d/b/a MID CONTINENT
	CABINETRY, a Delaware limited partnership; OSBORNE STUCCO, INC., an Arizona
21	corporation; PARAMOUNT WINDOWS,
	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
22	POCO VERDE LANDSCAPE, INC. n/k/a
	POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE.
23	INC., an Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI INC. d/b/a PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES,
	INC. d/b/a PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES,
24	CO., an Arizona corporation; PORTER-
]	JARVIS, LLC d/b/a JADE GRADING, an
25	Arizona limited liability company; RCC HOLDINGS LLC d/b/a PRIMERA, an Arizona
	limited liability company; ROADRUNNER
26	DRYWALL CORP., an Arizona corporation;
1	
	-2-

r A

к !

· •	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13	ROBERT MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ROCONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SAN TAN ROOFING, INC.; an Arizona corporation; SCHUCK & SONS CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., an Arizona corporation; SOMBRERO PAINTING, INC., an Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; TOP GRADING & WASTE SERVICES, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. D/B/A MESA INSULATION, an Utah corporation; VW DIG, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; WAYNE-DALTON CORP.; an Ohio corporation WESTERN STATES GLASS AND BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; WINDOWS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; BLACK CORPORATIONS I-XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I-XX; and DOES I-XX
14	Defendants.
15	Plaintiffs Continental Homes, Inc., and CHI Construction Company (collectively
16	"Plaintiffs"), through undersigned counsel hereby respectfully submit their First
17	Amended Complaint against Defendants as follows:
18	JURISDICTION
19	1. Continental Homes, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware
20	corporation authorized to do business and was doing business in the County of Maricopa,
21	State of Arizona.
22	2. CHI Construction Company was at all times material hereto an Arizona
23 24	corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricona State of Arizona
24	Maricopa, State of Arizona. 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets,
25 26	Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona Corporation authorized to do business
20	-3-

. . .

and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Adams Bros
Interiors & Cabinets, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
work at the subject property, The Homestead project is located in the city of Maricopa,
County of Pinal, State of Arizona (hereinafter the "Project").

5 6

7

8

9

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Allied Masonry, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Allied Masonry, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

- ¹⁰ 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Aloha Grading, Inc. was at all
 ¹¹ times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
 ¹² business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Aloha Grading, Inc. entered
 ¹³ into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 ¹⁴ provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant American Woodmark Corporation
 d/b/a Timberlake Cabinet Co. was at all times material hereto a Virginia corporation
 authorized to do business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. American
 Woodmark Corporation d/b/a Timberlake Cabinet Co. entered into contract(s) with
 Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
 materials and perform work at the Project.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Anozira Stucco and Stone Works,
 LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to
 do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
 Anozira Stucco and Stone Works, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or
 their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and
 perform work at the Project.
- 26

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Aspen Block, LLC was at all times

-4-

· ·

material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was 1 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Aspen Block, LLC 2 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 3 agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project. 4

5

6

7

8

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Austin Electric, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Austin Electric, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

9 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant BCI Bebout Concrete of Arizona, 10 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and 11 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. BCI Bebout 12 Concrete of Arizona, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly 13 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project. 14

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Bretstar, Inc. d/b/a D & M 15 Painting was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business 16 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Bretstar, Inc. 17 d/b/a D & M Painting entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 18 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the 19 Project. 20

21

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Brewer Enterprises, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 22 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Brewer Enterprises, Inc. 23 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 24 agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

25 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a 26 Masco Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services was at all times

-5-

. ,

material hereto a Florida corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a
Masco Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services entered into
contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

6

7

8

9

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Burrows Concrete, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Burrows Concrete, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

10 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Canyon State Drywall, Inc. was at
all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Canyon State Drywall, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

15 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.
was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Catalina Roofing and
Supply, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Chas Roberts Air Conditioning,
 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and
 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Chas Roberts Air
 Conditioning, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
 Project.

25 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc.
26 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was

-6-

doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 2 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

5

6

7

8

9

1

3

4

19. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cohaco Building Specialties, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Cohaco Building Specialties, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

10 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant Desert Vista, Inc. was at all times 11 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 12 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Desert Vista, Inc. entered into 13 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 14

21. Upon information and belief, Defendant Design Drywall West, Inc. was at 15 all times material hereto a Colorado corporation authorized to do business and was doing 16 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Design Drywall West, Inc. 17 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 18 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 19

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant Diversified Roofing Corporation 20 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 21 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Diversified Roofing 22 Corporation entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 23 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

24 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant Dixon Brothers Incorporated was 25 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 26 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. **Dixon** Brothers

-7-

. ,

Incorporated entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

23

4

5

6

7

8

1

24. Upon information and belief, Defendant Masco Framing Holding Company I LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, LLC, was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Masco Framing Holding Company I LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC
10 d/b/a Epic Plumbing was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company
11 authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State
12 of Arizona. DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC d/b/a Epic Plumbing entered into contract(s)
13 with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
14 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

15 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant DVC Construction Company, Inc.
16 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
17 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. DVC Construction
18 Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
19 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
20

21

22

23

24

27. Upon information and belief, Defendant Elkay Sales, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Elkay Sales, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

25 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant Empire Plastering, LLC was at all
26 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and

-8-

• ·

was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Empire Plastering, 1 LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 2 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 3

4

5

6

7

8

29. Upon information and belief, Defendant Erickson Construction, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Erickson Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant Holmes-Hally Industries Inc. was 10 at all times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was 11 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Holmes-Hally 12 Industries, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 13 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 14

Upon information and belief, Defendant Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC 31. 15 was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do 16 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 17 Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

20

32.

18

19

21

22

23

24

Upon information and belief, Defendant General Plumbing, Inc. was at all times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. General Plumbing, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

25 Upon information and belief, Defendant Infinity Building Products, LLC 33. 26 was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do

-9-

. .

business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Infinity Building Products, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

5

6

7

8

1

2

3

4

34. Upon information and belief, Defendant Integrated Stucco, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Integrated Stucco, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mesa Fully Formed, LLC was at
all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company was at all times material
hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mesa Fully Formed, LLC
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

36. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mitchell Electric Company, Inc.,
an Arizona corporation n/k/a IES Residential, Inc., a Delaware corporation was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mitchell Electric Company,
Inc. n/k/a IES Residential, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
work at the Project.

37. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mocson Underground, LLC was at
all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business
and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mocson
Underground, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
Project.

. .

38. Upon information and belief, Defendant MPC Contracting Company, Inc.
 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MPC Contracting
 Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
 Project.

39. Upon information and belief, Defendant New Electric, Inc. was at all times
material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. New Electric, Inc. entered into
contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

40. Upon information and belief, Defendant Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid
Continent Cabinetry was at all times material hereto a Delaware limited partnership
authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State
of Arizona. Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid Continent Cabinetry entered into
contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

17 18 19

20

21

41. Upon information and belief, Defendant Osborne Stucco, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Osborne Stucco, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

42. Upon information and belief, Defendant Paramount Windows, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon information and belief, Paramount Windows, LLC manufactured and supplied allegedly defective windows at the Project.

26

43. Upon information and belief, Defendant Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a

-11-

. ,

Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

44. Upon information and belief, Defendant L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions &
Accessories, Co. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do
business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. L.R.
Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions & Accessories, Co. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs,
and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials
and perform work at the Project.

45. Upon information and belief, Defendant Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade
Grading was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized
to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade Grading entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their
duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
work at the Project.

46. Upon information and belief, Defendant RCC Holdings LLC d/b/a Primera
was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do
business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. RCC
Holdings LLC d/b/a Primera entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
work at the Project.

47. Upon information and belief, Defendant Roadrunner Drywall Corp. was at
 all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Roadrunner Drywall Corp.
 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it

. .

1 || agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

2

3

4

5

6

7

48. Upon information and belief, Defendant Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

49. Upon information and belief, Defendant Roconcrete, LLC was at all times
 material hereto an Arizona limited liability corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Roconcrete, LLC
 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

¹² 50. Upon information and belief, Defendant San Tan Roofing, Inc. was at all
¹³ times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
¹⁴ business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. San Tan Roofing, Inc. entered
¹⁵ into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
¹⁶ provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Schuck & Sons Construction Co.,
Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and
was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Schuck & Sons
Construction Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
Project.

52. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sombrero Painting, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sombrero Painting, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

-13-

. ,

53. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sonoran Concrete, LLC was at all
 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and
 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sonoran Concrete,
 LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

54. Upon information and belief, Defendant Specialty Roofing, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Specialty Roofing, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

¹⁰ 55. Upon information and belief, Defendant Top Grading & Waste Services,
 ¹¹ Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and
 ¹² was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Top Grading &
 ¹³ Waste Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
 ¹⁴ agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
 ¹⁵ Project.

16 56. Upon information and belief, Defendant United Fence Company, Inc. was
at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Fence
Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
Project.

²¹ 57. Upon information and belief, Defendant United Subcontractors, Inc. d/b/a
 ²² Mesa Insulation, a Utah corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona
 ²³ corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of
 ²⁴ Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Subcontractors, Inc. d/b/a Mesa Insulation entered
 ²⁵ into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 ²⁶ provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

-14-

, ,

58. Upon information and belief, Defendant VW Dig, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. VW Dig, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

6 7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

59. Upon information and belief, Defendant Wayne-Dalton Corp. was at all times material hereto an Ohio corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Wayne-Dalton Corp. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

¹⁰ 60. Upon information and belief, Defendant Western States Glass and Building
¹¹ Products, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do
¹² business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
¹³ Western States Glass and Building Products, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs,
¹⁴ and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials
¹⁵ and perform work at the Project.

16 61. Upon information and belief, Defendant Westy's Soil Compacting
17 Company, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do
18 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
19 Westy's Soil Compacting Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their
20 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
20 work at the Project.

21 62. Upon information and belief, Defendant Whitton Concrete, Inc. was at all
22 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
23 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Whitton Concrete, Inc.
24 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
25 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

26

63. Upon information and belief, Defendant XO Windows, LLC was at all

. .

times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon information and belief, XO Windows, LLC manufactured and supplied allegedly defective windows at the Project.

1

2

3

4

64. Upon information and belief, Defendants Black Corporations I – XX are
fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs
request permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true
names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth
specifically herein.

9 65. Upon information and belief, Defendants White Partnerships I – XX are
10 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs
11 request permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true
12 names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth
13 specifically herein.

14 66. Upon information and belief, Defendants Does I – XX are fictitious names
15 whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request permission to
16 insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are discovered
17 with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

67. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(5), (7), (12)
and (18).

As used throughout this Complaint, Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc.; 68. 20Allied Masonry, LLC; Aloha Grading, Inc.; American Woodmark Corporation d/b/a 21 Timberlake Cabinet Co.; Anozira Stucco and Stone Works, LLC; Aspen Block, LLC; 22 Austin Electric, Inc.; BCI Bebout Concrete of Arizona, Inc.; Bretstar, Inc. d/b/a D & M 23 Painting; Brewer Enterprises, Inc.; Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a Masco Contractor 24 Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services; Burrows Concrete, LLC; Canyon 25 State Drywall, Inc.; Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.; Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, Inc.; Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc.; Cohaco Building Specialties, Inc.; Desert Vista, 26

-16-

. .

Inc.; Design Drywall West, Inc.; Diversified Roofing Corporation; Dixon Brothers 1 Incorporated; Masco Framing Holding Company LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, 2 LLC; DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC d/b/a Epic Plumbing; DVC Construction 3 Company, Inc.; Elkay Sales, Inc.; Empire Plastering, LLC; Erickson Construction, LLC; 4 Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC; General Plumbing, Inc.; Holmes-Hally Industries, 5 Inc.; Infinity Building Products, LLC; Integrated Stucco, Inc.; Mesa Fully Formed, LLC; 6 Mitchell Electric Company, Inc. n/k/a IES Residential, Inc.; Mocson Underground, LLC; 7 MPC Contracting Company, Inc.; New Electric, Inc.; Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid 8 Continent Cabinetry; Osborne Stucco, Inc.; Paramount Windows, LLC; Poco Verde 9 Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc.; L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a 10 Partitions & Accessories, Co.; Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade Grading; RCC Holdings 11 LLC d/b/a Primera; Roadrunner Drywall Corp.; Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC; 12 Roconcrete, LLC; San Tan Roofing, Inc.; Schuck & Sons Construction Co., Inc.; 13 Sombrero Painting, Inc.; Sonoran Concrete, LLC; Specialty Roofing, Inc.; Top Grading & Waste Services, Inc.; United Fence Company, Inc.; United Subcontractors, Inc. d/b/a 14 Mesa Insulation; VW Dig, LLC; Wayne-Dalton Corp.; Western States Glass and 15 Building Products, Inc.; Westy's Soil Compacting Company, Inc.; Whitton Concrete, 16 Inc.; and XO Windows, LLC are collectively referred to as "Subcontractor Defendants." 17 The term "Subcontractor Defendants" shall also include fictitious named defendants. 18

69. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in
the above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Defendant has an obligation to
indemnify Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials
supplied, and/or professional services.

70. Upon information and belief, pursuant to language contained in the above referenced contracts and as may otherwise apply by law, each Subcontractor Defendant
 has an obligation to defend Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work
 and/or professional services.

26

22

71. The owners of certain residences within the Project have alleged

construction defects associated with various components of their homes including, but not limited to, concrete slabs, stucco, water intrusion membranes, roofs, floors/floor coverings, walls, ceilings, drywall, cabinets, doors and windows, sliding glass doors, shear walls, concrete flatwork, sheet metal, insulation, electrical systems, HVAC systems, pavement system, plumbing and plumbing fixtures, irrigation systems, soils, grading, framing, stairs, foundations, garage doors, shower doors, mirrors, drainage, paint, fences, fireplaces/chimneys, trim carpentry, decks and structural systems, and other areas.

72. The homeowners that have alleged damages resulting from the defects
listed above are identified in Exhibit "A". Upon information and belief, other
homeowners may be bringing similar claims in addition to those identified in Exhibit "A"
and should those claims be brought, Plaintiffs request permission to insert the names of
these additional homeowners at such time as the true names are discovered with the same
effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

If the homeowners' allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed 73. 14 by them are directly and proximately caused by the defective, negligent, careless and/or 15 defective professional services and/or and/or construction work reckless 16 materials/products/systems supplied by Subcontractor Defendants. 17

18
 18
 18 Teach Subcontractor Defendant received reasonable notice of the homeowners' claims and had an opportunity to defend Plaintiffs.

- 75. Notwithstanding Plaintiffs' invitations and demands to participate in pre litigation negotiations and defend Plaintiffs, each Subcontractor Defendant has thus far
 failed to do so.
- 76. As a result of each of Subcontractor Defendants' refusal to defend and
 indemnify, Plaintiffs have been forced to defend themselves and continue to incur
 substantial attorneys' fees, expert fees, and costs.

25 77. Each Subcontractor Defendant expressly and/or impliedly warranted that its
26 work would be performed in a good and workmanlike manner, be free from defect, and

-18-

•

that its products and materials would not be defective. 1 Each Subcontractor Defendant expressly agreed to obtain additional insured 78. 2 endorsements naming Plaintiffs as additional insureds under their respective policies of 3 insurance. 4 Each Subcontractor Defendant owed Plaintiffs a duty to ensure its work 79. 5 was performed in accordance with, among other things, applicable construction standards 6 and the applicable project documents, including plans and specifications, and that its 7 products were without defect. 8 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 9 **Demand for Arbitration** [All Subcontractor Defendants] 10 Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 11 80. 12 Paragraphs 1 through 79 of this Complaint. Upon information and belief, each Subcontractor Defendant entered into 13 81. written agreements with Plaintiffs to resolve any and all disputes through binding 14 arbitration. 15 This Complaint is intended to toll any applicable statutes of limitation 82. 16 and/or statutes of repose. Plaintiffs do not waive their rights and expressly reserve their 17 right to resolve the subject matter of this Complaint through arbitration. Plaintiffs' 18 Demand for Arbitration is attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Alternatively, should this 19 Court or other tribunal of competent jurisdiction determine that arbitration of the subject 20 matter of this Complaint is not required or otherwise invalid or unenforceable under the 21 parties' written agreements, Plaintiffs bring the remaining causes of action before this 22 Court. 23 It is the express intent of Plaintiffs to resolve the subject matter of this 83. Complaint against Subcontractor Defendants through arbitration, but to date, the 24 Subcontractor Defendants have refused to arbitrate Plaintiffs' Claims. 25 Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 12-3007, Plaintiffs request an 26 84.

. .
1	Order compelling Subcontractor Defendants to arbitrate in accordance with the written				
2	arbitration agreements.				
3	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION				
4	Express Indemnity [All Subcontractor Defendants]				
5	85. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in				
6	Paragraphs 1 through 84 of this Complaint.				
7	86. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant				
8	contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant agreed to indemnify				
9	and hold Plaintiffs harmless.				
10	87. The acts of the Subcontractor Defendants are the direct and proximate				
11	cause, in whole or in part, of the damages alleged by the homeowners.				
12	88. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for all				
13	such losses or damages they have sustained, or will sustain, as the result of settlement,				
14	judgment, award, and/or compromise.				
15	89. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has				
16	become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and				
17	therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees,				
18	costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and				
19	arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the				
	homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or				
20	compromise.				
21	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION				
22 23	Breach of Contract [All Subcontractor Defendants]				
24	90. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in				
25	paragraphs 1 through 89 of this Complaint.				
26	91. Subcontractor Defendants also agreed under the one or more contracts with				

 $\|$

· · · ·

.

Plaintiffs to conduct their work in a good and workmanlike manner in compliance with
 the plans and specifications, applicable building codes and guidelines of the Arizona
 Registrar of Contractors, and to complete work that is free from defects. Additionally,
 Subcontractor Defendants agreed to supply materials that would be of merchantable
 quality and reasonably fit for its intended purpose.

6

92. Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by failing to perform their work in compliance with said contractual obligations.

7

8 93. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Defendants were also
9 contractually obligated to obtain specific insurance coverage. The subcontracts contain
10 the following insurance provision:

Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of 11 \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, (\$1,000,000 general 12 aggregate, and \$1,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate). The aggregate limits shall apply separately on each project, contract, job or 13 phase. Subcontractor agrees that each contract signed shall represent and be deemed a separate and distinct project. The commercial general 14 liability insurance shall be on the 07/98 ISO form or an equivalent and shall specifically include coverage for Subcontractor's obligations under 15 any indemnification/hold harmless provisions in the Contract. The commercial general liability policy shall be endorsed to include CHI 16 Construction Company, D.R. Horton, Inc., their respective subsidiaries, 17 affiliates, partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies and their respective partners, members, directors, officers, employees and 18 agents as additional insureds (collectively, the "Additional Insureds"), 19 using form CG20101185 or an equivalent form, with respect to any claims, losses, expenses or other costs arising out of the Contract and shall 20 also be endorsed as primary coverage with respect to any other insurance which may be carried by the Additional Insureds. It is expressly agreed 21 that any other insurance covering Additional Insured, is excess over and non-contributing with Subcontractor's commercial general liability 22 insurance.

94. Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by
 failing to procure the required insurance and additional insured endorsements on their
 respective insurance policies.

26

95. As the result of Subcontractor Defendants' individual breaches of contract,

-21-

. ,

Plaintiffs have incurred damages and will continue to incur damages, including attorneys' 1 fees, expert fees, pre-judgment interest, and other expenses. 2

3

4

7

15

16

17

18

19

96. The homeowners' claims against Plaintiffs for damages to their homes are the result, in whole or in part, of the acts and/or omissions of Subcontractor Defendants.

97. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified and held harmless by Subcontractor 5 Defendants, and each of them, for their share of all such loss or damage incurred by 6 Plaintiffs as the result of any settlement, compromise, judgment, or award that may occur.

8 98. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 9 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 10 therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, 11 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 12 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the 13 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise. 14

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Implied Warranty of Workmanship [All Subcontractor Defendants]

99. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 98 of this Complaint.

100. Subcontractor Defendants impliedly warranted that their 20 materials/products/systems would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its 21 intended purpose and that the work and labor performed under any agreement or 22 instruction would be done in a careful and workmanlike manner in conformance with 23 Arizona construction standards and/or practices and all applicable project documents, 24 including the plans, specifications, and scopes of work.

25 101. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages 26 incurred by the Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor

-22-

. ,

Defendants have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or 2 practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a 3 merchantable quality and free from defects. 4

5

13

14

15

1

As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered 102. direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

6 As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 103. 7 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 8 therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, 9 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 10 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the 11 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 12 compromise.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence [All Subcontractor Defendants]

Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 104. 16 Paragraphs 1 through 103 of this Complaint. 17

Subcontractor Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to ensure that their 105. 18 work would be performed in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with Arizona 19 construction standards and practices and that materials so provided would be free from 20 material defects and/or fit for their intended or represented purpose.

21 At all times relevant herein, Subcontractor Defendants owed a duty of 106. 22 reasonable care to Plaintiffs to ensure the plumbing systems and component parts were 23 properly designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, marketed, selected, and 24 installed at the Project.

25 Subcontractor Defendants knew, or should have known, that the breach of 107. 26 those duties would cause damage to Plaintiffs, who relied upon Subcontractor Defendants

. ,

1 to perform their work properly and according to applicable standards, and to provide 2 products that were free from material defects and were good for their respective and 3 conjunctive intended and represented purposes.

4

5

6

21

22

23

26

108. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Defendants had prior notice and knowledge of said defects and potential damage, and failed to act timely and accordingly to remedy the defects.

109. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, including damage alleged to property other than the Subcontractor Defendants' work itself, and/or damages incurred by Plaintiffs, Subcontractor Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiffs by negligently failing to ensure that their work was performed in a workmanlike manner in accordance with all applicable construction standards, and that materials provided for use in the development were free from defects, and were reasonably fit for their respective and conjunctive intended purposes as represented to Plaintiffs.

13 110. As a result of these breaches of warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct
14 and consequential damages to be proven at trial.

15 111. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 16 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 17 therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, 18 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 19 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 20 compromise.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Common Law/Implied Indemnity [All Subcontractor Defendants]

24 112. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
25 Paragraphs 1 through 111 of this Complaint.

113. Plaintiffs are entirely without active fault with regard to the acts or

, ·

omissions giving rise to the homeowners' construction defects claims, and thus, they are 1 entitled to recovery from Subcontractor Defendants. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

114. Pursuant to the facts of this case and the parties' relationships, as well as Arizona Common Law and the Restatement of Torts (Second) § 886B, Plaintiffs are entitled to Common Law Indemnity from Subcontractor Defendants for their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

115. Plaintiffs seek recovery in common law indemnity under various bases, 10 including, without limitation, equity, unjust enrichment, tort and contract.

11 116. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 12 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 13 therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 14 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the 15 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 16 compromise. 17

18

19

20

117. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract-Duty to Defend – Declaratory Relief

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

21 Paragraphs 1 through 116 of this Complaint.

22 118. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant 23 contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant expressly and/or 24 impliedly agreed to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

25 119. Pursuant to the express indemnity provisions, Plaintiffs are entitled to be 26 defended by Subcontractor Defendants as a result of any arbitration, action, or other suit

• •

brought by the homeowners and/or repairs necessitated by the defective and/or negligent
 work of, and/or defective products supplied by Subcontractor Defendants, including
 without limitation, attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs.

4

5

120. Subcontractor Defendants have a present duty to defend against any claims made against Plaintiffs arising out of their respective scopes of work.

6 121. Plaintiffs have a present legal right to be provided a defense by Subcontractor Defendants.

7 122. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs have tendered the defense of the
action to Subcontractor Defendants, each of whom rejected, ignored, or failed to properly
accept the tender of defense.

10 123. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between
11 Plaintiffs and Subcontractor Defendants in that Plaintiffs contend they are entitled to a
12 present defense from the Subcontractor Defendants and Subcontractor Defendants deny
13 same.

14 124. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for all
15 attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs sustained as the result of
16 Subcontractor Defendants' failure to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

125. Plaintiffs herein seek a declaration by the Court as to their rights and said
 Subcontractor Defendants' duties and obligations to defend Plaintiffs.

126. As a result of the claims against Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor
 Defendant's failure to defend, it has become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand
 arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover
 their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses
 related in any way to this lawsuit and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
 homeowners.

- 24 || //
- 25 || //
- 26 //

. ,

1	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
2	Breach of Express Warranties [All Subcontractor Defendants]
3	
4	127. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
5	paragraphs 1 through 126 of this Complaint.
6	128. Subcontractor Defendants' subcontracts contained the following express
7	warranty:
8	10.7 Warranties. Subcontractor warrants to Owner/Contractor that all materials and equipment furnished shall be new (unless otherwise
9	specified and agreed to in advance by Owner/Contractor) and that all work under the Contract shall be of good and workmanlike quality, free from
10	faults and defects and in conformance with Contract Documents. All work not conforming to these requirements, including substitutions not
11	properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective. The
12	warranties provided in this Paragraph 10.7 shall (a) be in addition to and not in limitation of any other warranty or remedy available to
13	Owner/Contractor, (b) be assignable by Owner/Contractor, and (c) be
14	valid for (i) one (1) year from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (ii)
15	two (2) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (iii) ten (10) years
16	from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all structural defects, and (iv) the period prescribed by the
17	respective manufacturers with respect to manufacturers' equipment and
18	appliance warranties. The warranty periods set forth above shall be extended (a) as provided by applicable law and equity, and (b) with
19	respect to latent defects, to the date on which the warranty period would expire if it commenced on the discovery of the applicable latent defect.
20	
21	129. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages
22	incurred by Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor
23	Defendants have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a
23 24	workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or
	practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a
25	merchantable quality and free from defects.
26	130. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered

· ·

-27-

1 direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

131. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 2 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 3 therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, 4 costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 5 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the 6 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 7 compromise. 8 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that this Court enter judgment in favor of 9 Plaintiffs and against Subcontractor Defendants as follows: 10 For direct and consequential damages; 1. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon at the statutory rate; 11 2. For their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' and expert fees incurred 12 3. and allowed under any theory, including, but not limited to, the parties' 13 contract, A.R.S. §§12-341.01(A) and 12-1364; and 14 For such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 4. 15 _ day of February, 2014. DATED this 16 17 TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A. 18 MMOMA 19 20 Gregory E. Williams 21 Ashley N. Zimmerman Attorneys for Plaintiffs 22 23 24 25 26

-28-

• •

EXHIBIT "A"

.

. .

HOMESTEAD Maricopa, AZ -HOMEOWNER MATRIX-

•

	Remit	ACCORSS		© // ©	COE DECEI UI
			: : :		Recording DAC
1	Armenta, David	20800 N. Dries Rd.	79	0	6/26/09
2	Breazeale, David and Lois	40456 W. Thornberry Ln.	91	0	8/8/12
3	Breazeale, Patrick	40049 W. Sanders Way	21	0	1/15/08
4	Brandy, Xenia & Cardenas, Sergio	40153 W. Hayden Dr.	68	0	5/21/08
5	Callicott, Jeffrey & Marney	41362 W. Walker Way	5	0	3/20/07
	Cole, Tracy Federal Nati. Mortgage Assoc. Crain, Lanny	40177 W. Hayden Dr.	66	S	12/29/10 9/1/10 2/28/08
7	Cozy Place LLC Elliott, Lloyd & Joni	40374 W. Hayden Dr.	46	S	12/19/07
8	Crane, Timothy & Casey BAC Home / HUD Recontrust Ceballos, Rosio & Pedro	41247 W. Walker Way	57	s	2010 1/8/10 12/4/09 6/19/07
9	DeAlba, Ricardo & Victoria 40936 W. Thornberry		103	0	1/26/07
10	10 Denny, Dennis & Sarah 41404 W. Walker Way		8	0.	3/2/07
11	Ferrari, Robin	40500 W. Hayden Dr.	55	ο	8/30/11
12	Garrison, Christopher	41181 W. Hayden Dr.	68	ο	7/14/08
13	Gilmore, Douglas & Cheryl Fannie Mae Randle, Cedric & Anita	20858 N. Madeline St.	27	S	2/24/11 11/10/10 11/13/07
14	Jones, Dawn Aukerman, Robert HUD Wells Fargo / First American Title Stacks, Thistle	40840 W. Hayden Dr.	49	S	7/29/10 7/2010 4/28/10 4/8/10 8/28/07

• •

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u></u>	
	Pannifi	Actoress	L@?	9 // S	COI DEESS (96) RECOMPTING DEFE
15	Lott, Unika	41003 W. Pryor Ln.	57	0	4/10/09
16	Martin, Phyllis	41249 W. Robbins Dr.	44	0	10/7/11
17	Martinez, Luis & Veronica	40813 W. Robbins Dr.	58	0	9/18/07
18	Martinez, Ricardo HUD Wells Fargo / First American Title Honie, Kristy	39975 W. Thornberry Ln.	121	S	6/16/11 2/9/11 12/16/10 2/28/08
19	McKillop, Barbara & Ryan	21086 N. Dries Rd.	6	0	7/21/09
20	Means, Dennis & Vera	40478 W. Novak Ln.	110	0	5/5/08
21	Mireles, Ignacio & Susana First Am. Title / Wells Bank Matteson, Andrew	40141 W. Hayden Dr.	69	s	2/18/11 12/8/10 11/19/08
22	Oison Home Rentais Olson, Julie & Oris Federal Home Loan / M. Bosco Winsor, Victoria	40384 W. Robbins Dr.	60	S	8/24/10 4/23/10 1/27/10 2/25/08
23	People, Jeffrey & Geraldine	20945 N. Dries Rd.	67	ο	6/2/08
24	Powell, Carol	40728 W. Pryor Ln.	71	0	1/9/08
25	Pruett, Tim & Lyndi HUD Wells Fargo / Michael Bosco Rittenhouse, Jenifer	40377 W. Novak Lane	104	S	12/9/11 7/13/11 6/23/11 6/30/08
26	Quinter, Michael & Marsha (Revocable Trust)	40697 W. Walker Way	27	ο	3/21/08
27	Richardson, Ronald	40914 W. Hopper Dr.	17	ο	5/18/09

..

.

. ,

		Addiness	i izo		াল্যানা নতান
	Plennif			9 <i>1</i> / S	াবতান ক্ষমহাৰত ক্ষম বিজ্ঞানবোচ্চু ক্ৰমাহ
28	Robinson II, Stanley HUD Wells Fargo / Michael Bosco Bennett, Scott & Lisa	40682 W. Coltin Way	102	5	7/2/10 3/1/10 1/20/10 9/4/07
29	Robies, Alex HUD Luna, Alfredo & Christina	41182 W. Robbins Dr.	11	s	8/20/12 6/27/12 2/20/08
30	Robles, Yolanda HUD Howard, James / Chase Freedom Howard, James	21041 N. Alexis Ave.	27	S	8/23/10 2/17/10 1/25/10 7/9/07
31	Robies, Manuel	40924 W. Hayden Dr.	43	ο	1/12/07
32	Sandra L. Salwei Trust Fannle Mae Barrera, Adrian Recontrust / Fed. Mortgage Barrera, Sandy & Adrian	20690 N. Tammy St.	25	s	1/28/11 1/19/11 1/10/11 7/8/10 *4/18/07
33	Sanchez, Grispina	40797 W. Robbins Dr.	57	0	5/18/09
34	Schmitt, Robert & Vivian	40314 W. Robbins Dr.	55	S	4/28/11 2/11/08
35	Scott, Asia	40394 W. Novak Lane	116	0	5/9/08
36	Smith, Scot t & Kerry HUD / Wells Fargo HUD / Wells Fargo Arboleda / Wells Fargo Arboleda, Francisco	21024 N. Wilford Ave.	10	S	5/20/11 6/24/10 6/2/10 3/10/10 *2/27/08

:

а)

	Pem)	/Avit@seases	l Iat	@ // 8	(তেই টিহারের) কেট টিহেরের্টনিক টিহেরের
37	Stendel, John	41235 W. Brandt Dr.	31	o	5/1/07
38	Sun Palms LP Meade, Patrick Michael De Meade, Gaudalupe Robles Solano, Kendall	40058 W. Thomberry Ln.	93	S	3/16/12 3/16/12 2/10/12 *2/8/12
39	Trainito, Steven & Marcia	40412 W. Robbins Dr.	61	0	8/8/08
40	Winn, Christopher & Kit Rathbun, Curt & Tonya HUD Recontrust / BAC Home Loans Ratcliff, Vera	41092 W. Robbins Dr.	16	S	7/11/11 6/30/10 3/9/10 2/8/10 9/30/08
41	Winslow, Dorothy Medina, Rosario	41392 W. Brandt Dr.	71	s	5/28/10 7/2/07
42	Wishlow, Gerry & Adella Patel, Virbala & Kaushiklal	41414 W. Hayden Dr.	40	S	4/19/13 6/28/07
43	Zaragoza, Jenny	21191 N. Grantham Rd.	44	0	11/25/08
	RESOLVED:				
1	Bautista, Dioscoro	40416 W. Hayden Dr.	49	ο	2/14/08
2	Fletcher, Earl & Diane	39979 W. Robbins Ave.	122	0	12/31/13
3	Foreman, Clarence & Francine Bynum, Byron Hager, Lawrence & Sherry	41070 W. Hopper Dr.	23	S	2/10/12 9/20/2007
4	Holt, Patsy	40081 W. Hayden Dr.	74	0	5/9/08

•

, ,

	Refinition	Address	ட்கு	() () ()	007 06666
					of Recording Dete
5	Hughes, Thomas	21103 N. Danielle Ave.	74	0	10/28/08
6	Mendoza, Maria	20872 N. Madeline St.	28	s	5/12/10 7/30/07
7	Ricks, Ed & Donna Kumetat, Kurt & Maria	41314 W. Thornberry Ln.	80	S	4/19/10 *6/19/07
8	Rumney, Jacqueline Louise & Dennis and Davison, Diana & Russell Davison, Russell & Diana Marcel, Glen & Sheri	20884 N. Dries Rd.	73	S	5/17/12 2/11/11 6/4/08
9	Weaver, Bruce Carbone, Dan HUD Recontrust Porras, Nathan & Laura	40324 W. Novak Ln.	121	S	8/30/12 4/23/10 11/24/09 11/23/09 6/2/08

. .

·

•

j.

•

• • [

EXHIBIT "B"

 \mathcal{D}

· ·

ŀ	1	
• •		
1	Rosary A. Hernandez (State Bar No. 020182) Gregory E. Williams (State Bar No. 020320) Ashley N. Zimmerman (State Bar No. 030595)	
2	1B TIFFANY&BOSCO	
3	SEVENTH FLOOR CAMELBACK ESPLANAD	EII
4	2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4237 TELEPHONE: (602) 255-6000	
5	FACSIMILE: (602) 255-0103 E-Mail: <u>rah@tblaw.com</u> <u>gew@tblaw.com</u>	
6	anz@tblaw.com	
7	Attorneys for Claimants	
8	PRIVATE ARBIT	RATION
9		
10	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC. a Delaware	
11	corporation; CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Arizona corporation, and DOES 1-100, inclusive	CASE NO.
12	Claimants,	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC.'S AND FIRST AMENDED
13	v.	DEMAND FOR ARBITRATION
14	ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS,	1. EXPRESS
15	INC., an Arizona corporation; ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability	INDEMNITY 2. BREACH OF
	company; ALOHA GRADING, INC., an	CONTRACT
16	Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK CORPORATION d/b/a	3. BREACH OF
17	TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation; ANOZIRA STUCCO AND	IMPLIED WARRANTY
18	STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an	4. NEGLIGENCE
19	Arizona limited liability company; AUSTIN ELECTRIC, INC., an Arizona corporation;	5. IMPLIED INDEMNITY
20	BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA, INC., an Arizona corporation; BRETSTAR,	6. DECLARATORY
	INC. d/b/a D & M PAINTING, an Arizona	RELIEF – DUTY TO DEFEND
21	corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona corporation; BUILDER	7. BREACH OF
22	SERVICES GROUP, INC. f/k/a MASCO CONTRACTOR SERVICES CENTRAL, INC.	EXPRESS WARRANTY
23	D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR SERVICES, a Florida corporation; BURROWS CONCRETE,	
24	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; CANYON STATE DRYWALL, INC., an	(Assigned to the Honorable Douglas Gerlach)
25	Arizona corporation; CATALINA ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC., an Arizona corporation;	
26	CHAS ROBERTS AIR CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona corporation; CLAYTON	
	1-	

• •

1	GLASS & ACCESSORIES, INC., an Arizona corporation; COHACO BUILDING
	SPECIALTIES, INC., an Arizona
2	corporation; DESERT VISTA, INC., an Arizona corporation; DESIGN DRYWALL
3	WEST, INC., a Colorado corporation;
4	DIVERSIFIED ROOFING CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation; DIXON BROTHERS
	INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation; MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY 1
5	LLC d/b/a DOOR SALES & INSTALLATIONS, LLC, an Arizona limited
6	liability company; DRRS PLUMBING
7	SERVICES, LLC d/b/a EPIC PLUMBING, an Arizona limited liability company; DVC
8	CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; ELKAY SALES, INC.,
	an Arizona corporation; EMPIRE
9	PLASTERING, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited
10	CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; HOLMES-HALLY
11	liability company; HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES INC., a California corporation; GECKO UNDERGROUND UTILITIES,
12	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; GENERAL PLUMBING, INC., an Arizona
13	corporation; INFINITY BUILDING
	PRODUCTS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; INTEGRATED STUCCO, INC., an
14	Arizona corporation; MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC., an Arizona limited liability
15	company; MITCHELL ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation
16	n/k/s IES RESIDENTIAL, INC., a Delaware corporation; MOCSON UNDERGROUND,
17	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
18	MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; NEW ELECTRIC,
	INC., an Arizona corporation; NORCRAFT COMPANIES, LP d/b/a MID CONTINENT
19	CABINETRY, a Delaware limited partnership; OSBORNE STUCCO, INC., an Arizona
20	corporation; PARAMOUNT WINDOWS,
21	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; POCO VERDE LANDSCAPE, INC. n/k/a
22	POCO VERDE POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC., an Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI
	INC. d/b/a PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES, CO., an Arizona corporation; PORTER-
23	JARVIS, LLC d/b/a JADE GRADING, an
24	Arizona limited liability company; RCC HOLDINGS LLC d/b/a PRIMERA, an Arizona
25	limited liability company; ROADRUNNER DRYWALL CORP., an Arizona corporation;
26	ROBERT MCDANIEL CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
	LLC, an An Long time to money company,
	-2-

.

.

. .
• •	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	ROCONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SAN TAN ROOFING, INC.; an Arizona corporation; SCHUCK & SONS CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., an Arizona corporation; SOMBRERO PAINTING, INC., an Arizona corporation; SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; TOP GRADING & WASTE SERVICES, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED SUBCONTRACTORS, INC. D/B/A MESA INSULATION, an Utah corporation; UW DIG, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; WAYNE-DALTON CORP.; an Ohio corporation WESTERN STATES GLASS AND BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; XO WINDOWS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; BLACK CORPORATIONS I-XX; WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I-XX; and DOES I-XX
13	Respondents.
14	Claimants Continental Homes, Inc., and CHI Construction Company (collectively
15 16	"Claimants"), through undersigned counsel hereby respectfully submit their First
10	Amended Demand for Arbitration ("Demand") against Respondents as follows:
18	JURISDICTION
19	1. Continental Homes, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware
20	corporation authorized to do business and was doing business in the County of Maricopa,
21	State of Arizona.
22	2. CHI Construction Company was at all times material hereto an Arizona
23	corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
24	3. Upon information and belief, Respondent Adams Bros Interiors &
25	Cabinets, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona Corporation authorized to do
26	business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
	-3-

. .

Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the subject property, The Homestead project is located in the city of Maricopa, County of Pinal, State of Arizona (hereinafter the "Project").

5

6

7

8

4. Upon information and belief, Respondent Allied Masonry, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Allied Masonry, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9 5. Upon information and belief, Respondent Aloha Grading, Inc. was at all
10 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
11 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Aloha Grading, Inc. entered
12 into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
13 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

6. Upon information and belief, Respondent American Woodmark
Corporation d/b/a Timberlake Cabinet Co. was at all times material hereto a Virginia
corporation authorized to do business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
American Woodmark Corporation d/b/a Timberlake Cabinet Co. entered into contract(s)
with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
construction materials and perform work at the Project.

20 21

22

23

24

7. Upon information and belief, Respondent Anozira Stucco and Stone Works, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Anozira Stucco and Stone Works, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Respondent Aspen Block, LLC was at all
times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and

-4-

. ,

was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Aspen Block, LLC
 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
 agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

- 4
- 5

6

7

9. Upon information and belief, Respondent Austin Electric, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Austin Electric, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

8

¹⁰ 10. Upon information and belief, Respondent BCI Bebout Concrete of Arizona,
 ⁹ Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and
 ¹⁰ was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. BCI Bebout
 ¹¹ Concrete of Arizona, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly
 ¹² authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction material and perform work
 ¹³ at the Project.

14 11. Upon information and belief, Respondent Bretstar, Inc. d/b/a D & M
15 Painting was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business
and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Bretstar, Inc.
d/b/a D & M Painting entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly
authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction material and perform work
at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent Brewer Enterprises, Inc. was at
 all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Brewer Enterprises, Inc.
 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
 agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

²⁴ 13. Upon information and belief, Respondent Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a
 ²⁵ Masco Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services was at all times
 ²⁶ material hereto a Florida corporation authorized to do business and was doing business

-5-

- ·

within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a 1 Masco Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services entered into 2 contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 3 provide construction material and perform work at the Project. 4

5

6

7

8

9

14. Upon information and belief, Respondent Burrows Concrete, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Burrows Concrete, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

10

15. Upon information and belief, Respondent Canyon State Drywall, Inc. was 11 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 12 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Canyon State Drywall, 13 Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 14

16. Upon information and belief, Respondent Catalina Roofing and Supply, 15 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and 16 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Catalina Roofing 17 and Supply, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 18 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the 19 Project.

- 20
- Upon information and belief, Respondent Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, 17. 21 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and 22 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Chas Roberts Air 23 Conditioning, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 24 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the 25 Project.
- 26

18. Upon information and belief, Respondent Clayton Glass & Accessories,

• .

Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and 1 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Clayton Glass & 2 Accessories, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 3 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the 4 Project. 5

6

7

8

9

10

19. Upon information and belief, Respondent Cohaco Building Specialties, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Cohaco Building Specialties, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

11 20. Upon information and belief, Respondent Desert Vista, Inc. was at all times 12 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 13 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Desert Vista, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 14 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 15

21. Upon information and belief, Respondent Design Drywall West, Inc. was at 16 all times material hereto a Colorado corporation authorized to do business and was doing 17 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Design Drywall West, Inc. 18 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 19 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 20

21

22. Upon information and belief, Respondent Diversified Roofing Corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 22 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Diversified Roofing 23 Corporation entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 24 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

26

25

23. Upon information and belief, Respondent Dixon Brothers Incorporated was

-7-

. .

at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Dixon Brothers
 Incorporated entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized
 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
 Project.

6

7

8

9

10

11

24. Upon information and belief, Respondent Masco Framing Holding Company I LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, LLC, was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Masco Framing Holding Company I LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12 25. Upon information and belief, Respondent DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC
13 d/b/a Epic Plumbing was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company
14 authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State
15 of Arizona. DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC d/b/a Epic Plumbing entered into contract(s)
16 with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
17 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent DVC Construction Company,
Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and
was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. DVC Construction
Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
Project.

23 27. Upon information and belief, Respondent Elkay Sales, Inc. was at all times
 24 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
 25 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Elkay Sales, Inc. entered into
 26 contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to

. ,

1 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

2

3

4

5

6

7

28. Upon information and belief, Respondent Empire Plastering, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Empire Plastering, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

⁸
⁸
⁸ at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do
⁹ business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
¹⁰ Erickson Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly
¹¹ authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
¹² work at the Project.

30. Upon information and belief, Respondent Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc.
was at all times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and
was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Holmes-Hally
Industries, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
Project.

¹⁹ 31. Upon information and belief, Respondent Gecko Underground Utilities,
 ¹⁹ LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to
 ²⁰ do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
 ²¹ Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their
 ²² duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
 ²³ work at the Project.

²⁴ 32. Upon information and belief, Respondent General Plumbing, Inc. was at all
 ²⁵ times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was doing
 ²⁶ business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. General Plumbing, Inc.

-9-

. , •

entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 1 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 2

3

4

5

6

7

33. Upon information and belief, Respondent Infinity Building Products, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Infinity Building Products, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

9

8 Upon information and belief, Respondent Integrated Stucco, Inc. was at all 34. times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 10 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Integrated Stucco, Inc. 11 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 12 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

13 35. Upon information and belief, Respondent Mesa Fully Formed, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company was at all times material 14 hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing 15 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mesa Fully Formed, LLC 16 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 17 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 18

- Upon information and belief, Respondent Mitchell Electric Company, Inc., 36. 19 an Arizona corporation n/k/a IES Residential, Inc., a Delaware corporation was at all 20 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 21 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Mitchell Electric Company, 22 Inc. n/k/a IES Residential, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly 23 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 24 work at the Project.
- 25 Upon information and belief, Respondent Mocson Underground, LLC was 37. at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do 26
 - -10-

a 14

business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 1 Mocson Underground, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly 2 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 3 work at the Project. 4

38. Upon information and belief, Respondent MPC Contracting Company, Inc. 5 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 6 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MPC Contracting 7 Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

10 39. Upon information and belief, Respondent New Electric, Inc. was at all 11 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 12 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. New Electric, Inc. entered 13 into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 14

40. Upon information and belief, Respondent Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a 15 Mid Continent Cabinetry was at all times material hereto a Delaware limited partnership 16 authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricona, State 17 of Arizona. Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid Continent Cabinetry entered into 18 contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 19 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

20 21

22

23

24

8

9

41. Upon information and belief, Respondent Osborne Stucco, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Osborne Stucco, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

25 42. Upon information and belief, Respondent Paramount Windows, LLC was 26 at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do

. . . .

business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon
 information and belief, Paramount Windows, LLC manufactured and supplied allegedly
 defective windows at the Project.

4

5

6

7

8

9

43. Upon information and belief, Respondent Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

44. Upon information and belief, Respondent L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions
& Accessories, Co. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to
do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions & Accessories, Co. entered into contract(s) with
Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
construction materials and perform work at the Project.

45. Upon information and belief, Respondent Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade
Grading was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized
to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade Grading entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or
their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and
perform work at the Project.

46. Upon information and belief, Respondent RCC Holdings LLC d/b/a
Primera was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized
to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
RCC Holdings LLC d/b/a Primera entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their
duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
work at the Project.

-12-

· ·

47. Upon information and belief, Respondent Roadrunner Drywall Corp. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Roadrunner Drywall Corp. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

48. Upon information and belief, Respondent Robert McDaniel Construction, 6 LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability corporation authorized 7 to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 8 Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their 9 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 10 work at the Project.

11 49. Upon information and belief, Respondent Roconcrete, LLC was at all times 12 material hereto an Arizona limited liability corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Roconcrete, LLC 13

entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it 14 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 15

Upon information and belief, Respondent San Tan Roofing, Inc. was at all 50. 16 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 17 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. San Tan Roofing, Inc. entered 18 into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 19 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 20

21

1

2

3

4

5

Upon information and belief, Respondent Schuck & Sons Construction Co., 51. Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and 22 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Schuck & Sons 23 Construction Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly 24 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 25 work at the Project.

26

Upon information and belief, Respondent Sombrero Painting, Inc. was at 52.

-13-

.

. .

all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sombrero Painting, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

5

6

7

8

9

53. Upon information and belief, Respondent Sonoran Concrete, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sonoran Concrete, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

¹⁰ 54. Upon information and belief, Respondent Specialty Roofing, Inc. was at all
¹¹ times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
¹² business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Specialty Roofing, Inc.
¹³ entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
¹⁴ agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

15 55. Upon information and belief, Respondent Top Grading & Waste Services,
16 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and
17 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Top Grading &
Waste Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
Project.

- ²¹ 56. Upon information and belief, Respondent United Fence Company, Inc. was
 ²¹ at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 ²² doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Fence
 ²³ Company, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized
 ²⁴ agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the
 ²⁵ Project.
- 26

57. Upon information and belief, Respondent United Subcontractors, Inc. d/b/a

-14-

. .

Mesa Insulation, a Utah corporation was at all times material hereto an Arizona 1 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of 2 Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Subcontractors, Inc. d/b/a Mesa Insulation entered 3 into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 4 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 5

6

7

8

9

58. Upon information and belief, Respondent VW Dig, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. VW Dig, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

10

Upon information and belief, Respondent Wayne-Dalton Corp. was at all 59. 11 times material hereto an Ohio corporation authorized to do business and was doing 12 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Wayne-Dalton Corp. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 13 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 14

Upon information and belief, Respondent Western States Glass and 60. 15 Building Products, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized 16 to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 17 Western States Glass and Building Products, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, 18 and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials 19 and perform work at the Project.

20

Upon information and belief, Respondent Westy's Soil Compacting 61. 21 Company, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do 22 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 23 Westy's Soil Compacting Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their 24 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 25 work at the Project.

26

Upon information and belief, Respondent Whitton Concrete, Inc. was at all 62.

-15-

. .

times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Whitton Concrete, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

63. Upon information and belief, Respondent XO Windows, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon information and belief, XO Windows, LLC manufactured and supplied allegedly defective windows at the Project.

5

6

7

8

9 64. Upon information and belief, Respondents Black Corporations I – XX are
 10 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants
 11 request permission to insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true
 12 names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth
 13 specifically herein.

14 65. Upon information and belief, Respondents White Partnerships I – XX are
15 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants
16 request permission to insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true
17 names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth
18 specifically herein.

66. Upon information and belief, Respondents Does I – XX are fictitious names
whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants request permission
to insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true names are
discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

²² 67. As used throughout this Demand, Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc.;
²³ Allied Masonry, LLC; Aloha Grading, Inc.; American Woodmark Corporation d/b/a
²⁴ Timberlake Cabinet Co.; Anozira Stucco and Stone Works, LLC; Aspen Block, LLC;
²⁵ Austin Electric, Inc.; BCI Bebout Concrete of Arizona, Inc.; Bretstar, Inc. d/b/a D & M
²⁶ Painting; Brewer Enterprises, Inc.; Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a Masco Contractor

-16-

• •

Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services; Burrows Concrete, LLC; Canyon 1 State Drywall, Inc.; Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.; Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, 2 Inc.; Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc.; Cohaco Building Specialties, Inc.; Desert Vista, 3 Inc.; Design Drywall West, Inc.; Diversified Roofing Corporation; Dixon Brothers 4 Incorporated; Masco Framing Holding Company LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, 5 LLC; DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC d/b/a Epic Plumbing; DVC Construction 6 Company, Inc.; Elkay Sales, Inc.; Empire Plastering, LLC; Erickson Construction, LLC; 7 Gecko Underground Utilities, LLC; General Plumbing, Inc.; Holmes-Hally Industries, 8 Inc.; Infinity Building Products, LLC; Integrated Stucco, Inc.; Mesa Fully Formed, LLC; 9 Mitchell Electric Company, Inc. n/k/a IES Residential, Inc.; Mocson Underground, LLC; 10 MPC Contracting Company, Inc.; New Electric, Inc.; Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid 11 Continent Cabinetry; Osborne Stucco, Inc.; Paramount Windows, LLC; Poco Verde 12 Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc.; L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a 13 Partitions & Accessories, Co.; Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade Grading; RCC Holdings LLC d/b/a Primera; Roadrunner Drywall Corp.; Robert McDaniel Construction, LLC; 14 Roconcrete, LLC; San Tan Roofing, Inc.; Schuck & Sons Construction Co., Inc.; 15 Sombrero Painting, Inc.; Sonoran Concrete, LLC; Specialty Roofing, Inc.; Top Grading 16 & Waste Services, Inc.; United Fence Company, Inc.; United Subcontractors, Inc. d/b/a 17 Mesa Insulation; VW Dig, LLC; Wayne-Dalton Corp.; Western States Glass and 18 Building Products, Inc.; Westy's Soil Compacting Company, Inc.; Whitton Concrete, 19 Inc.; and XO Windows, LLC are collectively referred to as "Subcontractor Respondents." 20 The term "Subcontractor Respondents" shall also include fictitious named respondents.

21

68. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in 22 the above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Respondent has an obligation to 23 indemnify Claimants for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials 24 supplied, and/or professional services.

25 Upon information and belief, pursuant to language contained in the above-**69**. 26 referenced contracts and as may otherwise apply by law, each Subcontractor Respondent

· · I

1 has an obligation to defend Claimants for alleged defects arising from its respective work
 2 and/or professional services.

The owners of certain residences within the Project have alleged 70. 3 construction defects associated with various components of their homes including, but 4 not limited to, concrete slabs, stucco, water intrusion membranes, roofs, floors/floor 5 coverings, walls, ceilings, drywall, cabinets, doors and windows, sliding glass doors, 6 shear walls, concrete flatwork, sheet metal, insulation, electrical systems, HVAC 7 systems, pavement system, plumbing and plumbing fixtures, irrigation systems, soils, 8 grading, framing, stairs, foundations, garage doors, shower doors, mirrors, drainage, 9 paint, fences, fireplaces/chimneys, trim carpentry, decks and structural systems, and other 10 areas.

11 71. The homeowners that have alleged damages resulting from the defects 12 listed above are identified in **Exhibit "A"**. Upon information and belief, other 13 homeowners may be bringing similar claims in addition to those identified in Exhibit "A" 14 and should those claims be brought, Claimants request permission to insert the names of 15 these additional homeowners at such time as the true names are discovered with the same 16 effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

- If the homeowners' allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed 72. 17 by them are directly and proximately caused by the defective, negligent, careless and/or 18 work and/or professional services and/or defective construction reckless 19 materials/products/systems supplied by Subcontractor Respondents. 20
- 21

73. Each Subcontractor Respondent received reasonable notice of the homeowners' claims and had an opportunity to defend Claimants.

74. Notwithstanding Claimants' invitations and demands to participate in pre litigation negotiations and defend Claimants, each Subcontractor Respondent has thus far
 failed to do so.

25 75. As a result of each of Subcontractor Respondents' refusal to defend and
 26 indemnify, Claimants have been forced to defend themselves and continue to incur

• •

1 || substantial attorneys' fees, expert fees, and costs.

Each Subcontractor Respondent expressly and/or impliedly warranted that 76. 2 its work would be performed in a good and workmanlike manner, be free from defect, 3 and that its products and materials would not be defective. 4 Each Subcontractor Respondent expressly agreed to obtain additional 77. 5 insured endorsements naming Claimants as additional insureds under their respective 6 policies of insurance. 7 Each Subcontractor Respondent owed Claimants a duty to ensure its work 78. 8 was performed in accordance with, among other things, applicable construction standards 9 and the applicable project documents, including plans and specifications, and that its 10 products were without defect. 11 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 12 **Express Indemnity** [All Subcontractor Respondents] 13 Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 79. 14 Paragraphs 1 through 78 of this Demand. 15 Each agreement between Claimants and each Subcontractor Respondent 80. 16 contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Respondent agreed to 17 indemnify and hold Claimants harmless. 18 The acts of the Subcontractor Respondents are the direct and proximate 81. 19 cause, in whole or in part, of the damages alleged by the homeowners. 20 Claimants are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Respondents for 82. 21 all such losses or damages they have sustained, or will sustain, as the result of settlement, 22 judgment, award, and/or compromise. 23 As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has 83. become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand, and 24 therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, 25 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 26

-19-

. .

1	arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
2	homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or
3	compromise.
4	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
5	Breach of Contract [All Subcontractor Respondents]
6	84. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
7	paragraphs 1 through 83 of this Demand.
8	85. Subcontractor Respondents also agreed under the one or more contracts
9	with Claimants to conduct their work in a good and workmanlike manner in compliance
10	with the plans and specifications, applicable building codes and guidelines of the Arizona
11	Registrar of Contractors, and to complete work that is free from defects. Additionally,
12	Subcontractor Respondents agreed to supply materials that would be of merchantable
13	quality and reasonably fit for its intended purpose.
14	86. Subcontractor Respondents have breached their respective contracts by
15	failing to perform their work in compliance with said contractual obligations.
16	87. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Respondents were also
17	contractually obligated to obtain specific insurance coverage. The subcontracts contain
18	the following insurance provision:
19	Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, (\$1,000,000 general
20	aggregate, and \$1,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate). The
21	aggregate limits shall apply separately on each project, contract, job or phase. Subcontractor agrees that each contract signed shall represent and
22	be deemed a separate and distinct project. The commercial general liability insurance shall be on the 07/98 ISO form or an equivalent and
23	shall specifically include coverage for Subcontractor's obligations under
24	any indemnification/hold harmless provisions in the Contract. The commercial general liability policy shall be endorsed to include CHI
25	Construction Company, D.R. Horton, Inc., their respective subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies and
26	their respective partners, members, directors, officers, employees and agents as additional insureds (collectively, the "Additional Insureds"),
	-20-

• •

•
using form CG20101185 or an equivalent form, with respect to any claims, losses, expenses or other costs arising out of the Contract and shall also be endorsed as primary coverage with respect to any other insurance which may be carried by the Additional Insureds. It is expressly agreed that any other insurance covering Additional Insured, is excess over and non-contributing with Subcontractor's commercial general liability insurance.

5 88. Subcontractor Respondents have breached their respective contracts by 6 failing to procure the required insurance and additional insured endorsements on their 7 respective insurance policies.

89. As the result of Subcontractor Respondents' individual breaches of contract, Claimants have incurred damages and will continue to incur damages, including attorneys' fees, expert fees, pre-judgment interest, and other expenses.

10 11

23

24

8

9

1

2

3

4

90. The homeowners' claims against Claimants for damages to their homes are the result, in whole or in part, of the acts and/or omissions of Subcontractor Respondents.

12 91. Claimants are entitled to be indemnified and held harmless by
 13 Subcontractor Respondents, and each of them, for their share of all such loss or damage
 14 incurred by Claimants as the result of any settlement, compromise, judgment, or award
 15 that may occur.

16 92. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has 17 become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand, and 18 therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, 19 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 20 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the 21 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 22 compromise.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Implied Warranty of Workmanship [All Subcontractor Respondents]

25
93. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
26
Paragraphs 1 through 94 of this Demand.

• •

their that warranted Respondents impliedly 94. Subcontractor materials/products/systems would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its intended purpose and that the work and labor performed under any agreement or instruction would be done in a careful and workmanlike manner in conformance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices and all applicable project documents, including the plans, specifications, and scopes of work.

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages 95. incurred by the Claimants, the warranties referenced above and provided by 8 Subcontractor Respondents have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not 9 performed in a workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended 10 purpose and of a merchantable quality and free from defects. 11

As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Claimants have suffered 12 96. direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above. 13

As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has 97. 14 become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand, and 15 therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, 16 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 17 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the 18 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 19 compromise.

21 22

20

Negligence [All Subcontractor Respondents]

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 23 98. 24 Paragraphs 1 through 97 of this Demand.

Subcontractor Respondents owed a duty to Claimants to ensure that their 2599. work would be performed in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with Arizona 26

-22-

, .

construction standards and practices and that materials so provided would be free from material defects and/or fit for their intended or represented purpose.

2

4

5

1

100. At all times relevant herein, Subcontractor Respondents owed a duty of reasonable care to Claimants to ensure the plumbing systems and component parts were properly designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, marketed, selected, and installed at the Project.

101. Subcontractor Respondents knew, or should have known, that the breach of
 those duties would cause damage to Claimants, who relied upon Subcontractor
 Respondents to perform their work properly and according to applicable standards, and to
 provide products that were free from material defects and were good for their respective
 and conjunctive intended and represented purposes.

11 102. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Respondents had prior notice
12 and knowledge of said defects and potential damage, and failed to act timely and
13 accordingly to remedy the defects.

14 103. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, including damage alleged to property other than the Subcontractor Respondents' work itself, and/or damages incurred by Claimants, Subcontractor Respondents breached their duties to Claimants by negligently failing to ensure that their work was performed in a workmanlike manner in accordance with all applicable construction standards, and that materials provided for use in the development were free from defects, and were reasonably fit for their respective and conjunctive intended purposes as represented to Claimants.

21

22

104. As a result of these breaches of warranties, Claimants have suffered direct and consequential damages to be proven at trial.

105. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand, and
therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees,
costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and

-23-

. .

arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or
compromise.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Common Law/Implied Indemnity [All Subcontractor Respondents]

106. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 105 of this Demand.

8 107. Claimants are entirely without active fault with regard to the acts or
 9 omissions giving rise to the homeowners' construction defects claims, and thus, they are
 10 entitled to recovery from Subcontractor Respondents.

11 108. Pursuant to the facts of this case and the parties' relationships, as well as 12 Arizona Common Law and the Restatement of Torts (Second) § 886B, Claimants are 13 entitled to Common Law Indemnity from Subcontractor Respondents for their reasonable 14 attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, and all other expenses related in any way to this 15 lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought 16 by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, 17 award, or compromise.

18 109. Claimants seek recovery in common law indemnity under various bases, including, without limitation, equity, unjust enrichment, tort and contract.

19 110. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
 20 become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand, and
 21 therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees,
 22 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and
 23 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
 24 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or
 25 compromise.

26

4

5

6

7

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION 1 **Breach of Contract-Duty to Defend – Declaratory Relief** 2 [All Subcontractor Respondents] 3 Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 111. 4 Paragraphs 1 through 110 of this Demand. 5 Each agreement between Claimants and each Subcontractor Respondent 112. 6 contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Respondent expressly and/or 7 impliedly agreed to defend and hold Claimants and others harmless. 113. Pursuant to the express indemnity provisions, Claimants are entitled to be 8 defended by Subcontractor Respondents as a result of any arbitration, action, or other suit 9 brought by the homeowners and/or repairs necessitated by the defective and/or negligent 10 work of, and/or defective products supplied by Subcontractor Respondents, including 11 without limitation, attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs. 12 Subcontractor Respondents have a present duty to defend against any 114. 13 claims made against Claimants arising out of their respective scopes of work. 14 Claimants have a present legal right to be provided a defense by 115. 15 Subcontractor Respondents. 16 Upon information and belief, Claimants have tendered the defense of the 116. 17 action to Subcontractor Respondents, each of whom rejected, ignored, or failed to 18 properly accept the tender of defense. 19 117. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between 20 Claimants and Subcontractor Respondents in that Claimants contend they are entitled to a 21 present defense from the Subcontractor Respondents and Subcontractor Respondents 22 deny same. Claimants are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Respondents for 23 118. all attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs sustained as the result 24 of Subcontractor Respondents' failure to defend and hold Claimants and others harmless. 25 Claimants herein seek a declaration by the Court as to their rights and said 26 119.

-25-

• •

1	Subcontractor Respondents' duties and obligations to defend Claimants.
2	120. As a result of the claims against Claimants and each Subcontractor
3	Respondent's failure to defend, it has become necessary for Claimants to demand
4	arbitration and initiate this Demand, and therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their
5	reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in
	any way to this lawsuit and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the
6	homeowners.
7	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
8	Breach of Express Warranties
9	[All Subcontractor Respondents]
10	121. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
11	paragraphs 1 through 120 of this Demand.
12	122. Subcontractor Respondents' subcontracts contained the following express
13	warranty:
14	10.7 Warranties. Subcontractor warrants to Owner/Contractor that all
15	materials and equipment furnished shall be new (unless otherwise specified and agreed to in advance by Owner/Contractor) and that all work
16	under the Contract shall be of good and workmanlike quality, free from
17	faults and defects and in conformance with Contract Documents. All work not conforming to these requirements, including substitutions not
18	properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective. The warranties provided in this Paragraph 10.7 shall (a) be in addition to and
19	not in limitation of any other warranty or remedy available to Owner/Contractor, (b) be assignable by Owner/Contractor, and (c) be
20	valid for (i) one (1) year from the date of close of escrow of each house
	constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (ii) two (2) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed
21	pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (iii) ten (10) years
22	from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all structural defects, and (iv) the period prescribed by the
23	respective manufacturers with respect to manufacturers' equipment and
24	appliance warranties. The warranty periods set forth above shall be extended (a) as provided by applicable law and equity, and (b) with
25	is respect to latent defects, to the date on which the warranty period would
26	expire if it commenced on the discovery of the applicable latent defect.

-26-

· ·

123. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred by Claimants, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Respondents have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and free from defects.



1

2

3

4

5

124. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Claimants have suffered direct and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

8 125. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has 9 become necessary for Claimants to demand arbitration and initiate this Demand, and 10 therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, 11 costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and 12 arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the 13 homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or 14 compromise.

WHEREFORE, Claimants request that the Arbitrator enter judgment in favor of Claimants and against Subcontractor Respondents as follows:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1. For direct and consequential damages;

2. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon at the statutory rate;

- 3. For their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' and expert fees incurred and allowed under any theory, including, but not limited to, the parties' contract, A.R.S. §§12-341.01(A) and 12-1364; and
- 4. For such other relief as this Arbitrator may deem just and appropriate.

• (



. •

EXHIBIT "A"

. •

HOMESTEAD Maricopa, AZ -HOMEOWNER MATRIX-

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Copies and the second	//ya(d) 43595	1	(E) // Ei	્લેબોર્સ કેટસ્ટ્સ્ટ્સ્ટ્સ્ટ્સ્ટ્સ્ટ્સ્ટ્સ્ટ્સ્ટ્સ્
1	Armenta, David	20800 N. Dries Rd.	79	0	6/26/09
2	Breazeale, David and Lois	40456 W. Thornberry Ln.	91	0	8/8/12
3	Breazeale, Patrick	40049 W. Sanders Way	21	0	1/15/08
4	Brandy, Xenia & Cardenas, Sergio	40153 W. Hayden Dr.	68	0	5/21/08
5	Callicott, Jeffrey & Marney	41362 W. Walker Way	5	ο	3/20/07
6	Cole, Tracy Federal Natl. Mortgage Assoc. Crain, Lanny	40177 W. Hayden Dr.	66	s	12/29/10 9/1/10 2/28/08
7	Cozy Place LLC Elliott, Lloyd & Joni	40374 W. Hayden Dr.	46	s	12/19/07
8	Crane, Timothy & Casey BAC Home / HUD Recontrust Ceballos, Rosio & Pedro	41247 W. Walker Way	57	s	2010 1/8/10 12/4/09 6/19/07
9	DeAlba, Ricardo & Victoria	40936 W. Thornberry			1/26/07
10	Denny, Dennis & Sarah	41404 W. Walker Way	8	0	3/2/07
11	Ferrari, Robin	40500 W. Hayden Dr.	55	0	8/30/11
12	Garrison, Christopher	41181 W. Hayden Dr.	68	0	7/14/08
13	Gilmore, Douglas & Cheryl Fannie Mae Randle, Cedric & Anita	20858 N. Madeline St.	27	S	2/24/11 11/10/10 11/13/07
14	Jones, Dawn Aukerman, Robert HUD Wells Fargo / First American Title Stacks, Thistle	40840 W. Hayden Dr.	49	S	7/29/10 7/2010 4/28/10 4/8/10 8/28/07

, +

	is Clubby	/140 mass	i sigent	(o) n (c.	1200330 F05
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	Rameror Talarie Derfitz
15	Lott, Unika	41003 W. Pryor Ln.	57	ο	4/10/09
16	Martin, Phyllis	41249 W. Robbins Dr.	44	0	10/7/11
17	Martinez, Luis & Veronica	40813 W. Robbins Dr.	58	ο	9/18/07
18	Martinez, Ricardo HUD Wells Fargo / First American Title Honie, Kristy	39975 W. Thornberry Ln.	121	S	6/16/11 2/9/11 12/16/10 2/28/08
19	McKillop, Barbara & Ryan	21086 N. Dries Rd.	6	0	7/21/09
20	Means, Dennis & Vera	40478 W. Novak Ln.	110	ο	5/5/08
21	Mireles, Ignacio & Susana First Am. Title / Wells Bank Matteson, Andrew	40141 W. Hayden Dr.	69	s	2/18/11 12/8/10 11/19/08
22	Oison Home Rentais Olson, Julie & Oris Federal Home Loan / M. Bosco Winsor, Victoria	40384 W. Robbins Dr.	60	S	8/24/10 4/23/10 1/27/10 2/25/08
23	People, Jeffrey & Geraldine	20945 N. Dries Rd.	67	ο	6/2/08
24	Powell, Carol	40728 W. Pryor Ln.	71	0	1/9/08
25	Pruett, Tim & Lyndi HUD Wells Fargo / Michael Bosco Rittenhouse, Jenifer	40377 W. Novak Lane	104	s	12/9/11 7/13/11 6/23/11 6/30/08
26	Quinter, Michael & Marsha (Revocable Trust)	40697 W. Walker Way	27	o	3/21/08
27	Richardson, Ronald	40914 W. Hopper Dr.	17	0	5/18/09

.

• •

	1095592969561	ANGRO REAS			COE IFFERENC ())) frementation ();frementation ();frementation
28	Robinson II, Stanley HUD Wells Fargo / Michael Bosco Bennett, Scott & Lisa	40682 W. Coltin Way	102	S	7/2/10 3/1/10 1/20/10 9/4/07
29	Robles, Alex HUD Luna, Alfredo & Christina	41182 W. Robbins Dr.	11	s	8/20/12 6/27/12 2/20/08
30	Robles, Yolanda HUD Howard, James / Chase Freedom Howard, James	21041 N. Alexis Ave.	27	S	8/23/10 2/17/10 1/25/10 7/9/07
31	Robles, Manuel	40924 W. Hayden Dr.	43	ο	1/12/07
32	Sandra L. Saiwei Trust Fannie Mae Barrera, Adrian Recontrust / Fed. Mortgage Barrera, Sandy & Adrian	20690 N. Tammy St.	25	s	1/28/11 1/19/11 1/10/11 7/8/10 *4/18/07
33	Sanchez, Grispina	40797 W. Robbins Dr.	57	ο	5/18/09
34	Schmitt, Robert & Vivian	40314 W. Robbins Dr.	55	s	4/28/11 2/11/08
35	Scott, Asia	40394 W. Novak Lane	116	0	5/9/08
36	Smith, Scott & Kerry HUD / Wells Fargo HUD / Wells Fargo Arboleda / Wells Fargo Arboleda, Francisco	21024 N. Wilford Ave.	10	S	5/20/11 6/24/10 6/2/10 3/10/10 *2/27/08

÷ .

.: .

ه د .

	TE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	-Harrison -			(0)); (0));
					ালেকে বিজ্ঞানিছে। হিনাহেন
37	Stendel, John	41235 W. Brandt Dr.	31	0	5/1/07
38	Sun Palms LP Meade, Patrick Michael De Meade, Gaudalupe Robles Solano, Kendall	40058 W. Thornberry Ln.	93	S	3/16/12 3/16/12 2/10/12 *2/8/12
39	Trainito, Steven & Marcia	40412 W. Robbins Dr.	61	0	8/8/08
40	Winn, Christopher & Kit Rathbun, Curt & Tonya HUD Recontrust / BAC Home Loans Ratcliff, Vera	41092 W. Robbins Dr.	16	S	7/11/11 6/30/10 3/9/10 2/8/10 9/30/08
41	Winslow, Dorothy Medina, Rosario	41392 W. Brandt Dr.	71	s	5/28/10 7/2/07
42	Wishlow, Gerry & Adella Patel, Virbala & Kaushiklal	41414 W. Hayden Dr.	40	S	4/19/13 6/28/07
43	Zaragoza, Jenny	21191 N. Grantham Rd.	44	0	11/25/08
	RESOLVED:				
1	Bautista, Dioscoro	40416 W. Hayden Dr.	49	0	2/14/08
2	Fletcher, Earl & Diane	39979 W. Robbins Ave.	122	0	12/31/13
3	Foreman, Clarence & Francine Bynum, Byron Hager, Lawrence & Sherry	41070 W. Hopper Dr.	23	S	2/10/12 9/20/2007
4	Holt, Patsy	40081 W. Hayden Dr.	74	0	5/9/08

•

	in the second	Aver Marcess			ાદેદરોદ (સ્ટાર્ક્સ્ટ્સ્ટ) અપ કેસ્ટ્રાસ્ટ્રાન્સી સિંહ (સ્ટાર્ક્સ્ટ્રાસ્ટ)
5	Hughes, Thomas	21103 N. Danielle Ave.	74	0	10/28/08
6	Mendoza, Maria	20872 N. Madeline St.	28	S	5/12/10 7/30/07
7	Ricks, Ed & Donna Kumetat, Kurt & Maria	41314 W. Thornberry Ln.	80	S	4/19/10 *6/19/07
8	Rumney, Jacqueline Louise & Dennis <u>and</u> Davison, Diana & Russell Davison, Russell & Diana Marcel, Glen & Sheri	20884 N. Dries Rd.	73	S	5/17/12 2/11/11 6/4/08
9	Weaver, Bruce Carbone, Dan HUD Recontrust Porras, Nathan & Laura	, 40324 W. Novak Ln.	121	s	8/30/12 4/23/10 11/24/09 11/23/09 6/2/08

-. .

	1	Rosary A. Hernandez		MICHAEL K. JEANES Clerk of the Superior By Patrick Roe, Deput Date 10/29/2014 Time 16:2 Description	Court by
	2	Arizona Bar No. 020182 rhernandez@wshblaw.com		CASE# CV2014-013612 CIVIL NEW DOMPLAINT	
	3	Jason R. Mullis Arizona Bar No. 024289 jmullis@wshblaw.com		TDTAL AMOUNT	319.00
	4	WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP 2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 450		Receipt# 24114836	
	5	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4210 Phone: 602-441-1300 Fax 602-441-1350			
	6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Continental Homes, I	nc.		
	7 8	And CHI Construction Company IN THE SUPERIOR COURT	OF THE ST	ATE OF ARIZONA	
	9	IN AND FOR THE CO			
	10				
0	11	CONTINENTAL HOMES, INC. a	Case No.		
2-441-135	12	Delaware corporation; CHÍ CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, Arizona corporation, and DOES 1-100, inclusive		CV 2014-0136	12
+ FAC 60	13	Plaintiffs,	CONTINI CHI COI	ENTAL HOMES, INC.' INSTRUCTION COMPA	S AND ANY'S
141-1300	14	v.	1.	COMPLAINT DEMAND FOR	
TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350	15 16	ADAMS BROS INTERIORS & CABINETS, INC., an Arizona corporation;		ARBITRATION	P N 7
TELEPH		ALLIED MASONRY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ALOHA	2. 3.	EXPRESS INDEMNIT BREACH OF CONTR	ACT
		GRADING, INC., an Arizona corporation; AMERICAN WOODMARK	4.	BREACH OF IMPLIE WARRANTY	, D
	19	CORPORATION d/b/a TIMBERLAKE CABINET CO., a Virginia corporation;	5. 6.	NEGLIGENCE IMPLIED INDEMNIT	
	20	ANOZIRA STUCCO AND STONE WORKS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ASPEN BLOCK, LLC, an	7.	DECLARATORY REL DUTY TO DEFEND	
	21	Arizona limited liability company; BCI BEBOUT CONCRETE OF ARIZONA,	8.	BREACH OF EXPRE WARRANTY	55
		INC., an Arizona corporation; BREWER ENTERPRISES, INC., an Arizona	Assigned t	o the Honorable	
	23 24	CORPORATION; BUILDER SERVICES GROUP, INC. F/K/A MASCO			
	24 25	INC. D/BA/ GALE CONTRACTOR			
		BURROWS CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;			
		CANYON STATE DRYWALL, INC., an Arizona corporation; CATALINA			
	28	ROOFING AND SUPPLY, INC., an Arizona corporation: CHAS ROBERTS			

LEGAL:05708-0378/3319854.1

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARZOND 85016-4210

.

٠.

•

-

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85018-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 • FXX 602-441-1350

1	AIR CONDITIONING, INC., an Arizona
2	corporation; CLAYTON GLASS & ACCESSORIES, INC., an Arizona
	corporation; DESERT VISTA, INC., an
3	Arizona corporation; DESIGN DRYWALL WEST, INC., a Colorado
4	corporation; DIVERSIFIED ROOFING
_	CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation:
5	DIXON BROTHERS INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation; MASCO
6	FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY LLC
_	D/B/A DOOR SALES &
7	INSTALLATIONS, LLC, an Arizona
8	limited liability company; DRRS PLUMBING SERVICES, LLC d/b/a EPIC
0	PLUMBING an Arizona limited liability
9	company; DVC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an Arizona
10	Corporation: EMPIRE PLASTERING.
	LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an
11	Arizona limited liability company;
12	HOLMES-HALLY INDUSTRIES INC., a
12	California corporation; INFINITY
13	BUILDING PRODUCTS, LLC;, an Arizona limited liability company;
14	INTEGRATED STUCCO, INC., an
15	Arizona corporation; MFF, INC. an
15	Arizona corporation f/k/a MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC., an Arizona limited
16	liability company; MPC CONTRACTING
17	COMPANY, INC., an Arizona
17	corporation; NORCRAFT COMPANIES, LP D/B/A MID CONTINENT
18	CABINETRY, a Delaware limited
19	partnership; OSBORNE STUCCO, INC., an Arizona corporation; PARAMOUNT
19	WINDOWS LLC an Arizona limited
20	liability company; POCO VERDE LANDSCAPE, INC. n/k/a POCO VERDE
21	POOLS AND LANDSCAPE, INC., an
	Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI INC.
22	d/b/a PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES,
23	CO., an Arizona corporation; PORTER- JARVIS, LLC d/b/a JADE GRADING, an
	Arizona limited liability company; RCC
24	Arizona limited liability company; RCC HOLDINGS LLC d/b/a PRIMERA, an Arizona limited liability company;
25	ROADRUNNER DRY WALL CORP., an
	Arizona corporation; SAN TAN
26	ROOFING, INC.; an Arizona corporation; SOMBRERO PAINTING, INC., an
27	Arizona corporation; SONORAN
	CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited
28	liability company: SPECIALTY
	· .

-

-

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350 11

15

ROOFING, INC., an Arizona corporation; 1 TOP GRADING & WASTE SERVICES, INC., an Arizona corporation; UNITED 2 FENCE COMPANY, INC., an Arizona 3 corporation; VW DIG, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; WAYNE-DALTON CORP.; an Ohio corporation 4 WESTERN STATES GLASS AND BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC., an 5 Arizona corporation; WESTY'S SOIL 6 COMPACTING COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; WHITTON 7 CONCRETE, INC., an Arizona corporation; XO WINDOWS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; BLACK CORPORATIONS I-XX; WHITE 8 9 PARTNERSHIPS I-XX; and DOES I-XX Defendants. 10

Plaintiffs Continental Homes, Inc., and CHI Construction Company (collectively
"Plaintiffs"), through undersigned counsel hereby respectfully submit their Complaint against
Defendants as follows:

JURISDICTION

Continental Homes, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware corporation
 authorized to do business and was doing business in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.

CHI Construction Company was at all times material hereto an Arizona
 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa,
 State of Arizona.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc.
 was at all times material hereto an Arizona Corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Adams Bros Interiors &
 Cabinets, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the subject property,
 The Homestead located in the city of Maricopa, County of Pinal, State of Arizona (hereinafter
 the "Project").

28

Upon information and belief, Defendant Allied Masonry, LLC was at all times

4.

-

•

material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing
 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Allied Masonry, LLC entered into
 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

5 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Aloha Grading, Inc. was at all times
6 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
7 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Aloha Grading, Inc. entered into contract(s)
8 with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
9 materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant American Woodmark Corporation d/b/a
 Timberlake Cabinet Co. was at all times material hereto a Virginia corporation authorized to
 do business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. American Woodmark
 Corporation d/b/a Timberlake Cabinet Co. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their
 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
 work at the Project.

16
7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Anozira Stucco and Stone Works, LLC
17 was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business
18 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Anozira Stucco and
19 Stone Works, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
20 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Aspen Block, LLC was at all times
 material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing
 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Aspen Block, LLC entered into
 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
 construction material and perform work at the Project.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant BCI Bebout Concrete of Arizona, Inc.
was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. BCI Bebout Concrete of

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 802-441-1300 + FXX 802-441-1350

-4-

-

•
Arizona, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Brewer Enterprises, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Brewer Enterprises, Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

8 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a 9 Masco Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services was at all times 10 material hereto a Florida corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within 11 the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a Masco 12 Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services entered into contract(s) with 13 Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction 14 material and perform work at the Project.

15 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Burrows Concrete, LLC was at all
16 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
17 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Burrows Concrete, LLC
18 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
19 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Canyon State Drywall, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Canyon State Drywall, Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc. was
at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed

. . . .

1 || to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, Inc.
was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Chas Roberts Air
Conditioning, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

7 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc. was
8 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
9 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc.
10 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
11 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant Desert Vista, Inc. was at all times
13 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
14 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Desert Vista, Inc. entered into contract(s)
15 with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
16 materials and perform work at the Project.

17 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant Design Drywall West, Inc. was at all
18 times material hereto a Colorado corporation authorized to do business and was doing
19 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Design Drywall West, Inc. entered
20 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
21 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

19. Upon information and belief, Defendant Diversified Roofing Corporation was at
all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Diversified Roofing Corporation
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

27 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant Dixon Brothers Incorporated was at all
28 time material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA B5016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

-6-

-

within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Dixon Brothers Incorporated entered into
 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

21. Upon information and belief, Defendant MASCO Framing Holding Company
LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, LLC, was at all times material hereto an Arizona
limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County
of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MASCO Framing Holding Company LLC d/b/a Door Sales &
Installations, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC d/b/a
Epic Plumbing was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company
authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of
Arizona. DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC d/b/a Epic Plumbing entered into contract(s) with
Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
materials and perform work at the Project.

16 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant DVC Construction Company, Inc. was
17 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
18 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. DVC Construction Company, Inc.
19 entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
20 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

21 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant Empire Plastering, LLC was at all times
22 material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing
23 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Empire Plastering, LLC entered
24 into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
25 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

26 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant Erickson Construction, LLC was at all
27 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
28 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Erickson Construction, LLC

• -

entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
 to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

26. Upon information and belief, Defendant Holmes-Hally Industries Inc. was at all
times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8 27. Upon information and belief, Defendant Infinity Building Products, LLC was at
9 all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and
10 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Infinity Building
11 Products, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
12 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Integrated Stucco, Inc. was at all times
material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Integrated Stucco, Inc. entered into
contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant MFF, Inc. was at all times material
hereto an Arizona corporation and formerly known as Mesa Fully Formed, LLC, which was at
all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and
was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MFF, Inc. f/k/a Mesa
Fully Formed, LLC entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

30. Upon information and belief, Defendant MPC Contracting Company, Inc. was at
all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MPC Contracting Company, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

•

Upon information and belief, Defendant Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid
 Continent Cabinetry was at all times material hereto a Delaware limited partnership authorized
 to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.
 Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid Continent Cabinetry entered into contract(s) with
 Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
 materials and perform work at the Project.

32. Upon information and belief, Defendant Osborne Stucco, Inc. was at all times
material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Osborne Stucco, Inc. entered into
contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
construction materials and perform work at the Project.

33. Upon information and belief, Defendant Paramount Windows, LLC was at all
times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon information and
belief, Paramount Windows, LLC manufactured and supplied allegedly defective windows at
the Project.

34. Upon information and belief, Defendant Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco
Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation
authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of
Arizona. Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

35. Upon information and belief, Defendant L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions &
Accessories, Co. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do
business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. L.R.
Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions & Accessories, Co. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or
their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
work at the Project.

-

36. ł Upon information and belief, Defendant Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade Grading 2 was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business 3 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Porter-Jarvis, LLC 4 d/b/a Jade Grading entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized 5 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

6 37. Upon information and belief, Defendant RCC Holdings LLC d/b/a Primera was 7 at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and 8 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. RCC Holdings LLC 9 d/b/a Primera entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 10 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

38. Upon information and belief, Defendant Roadrunner Drywall Corp. was at all 12 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Roadrunner Drywall Corp. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to 14 15 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Defendant San Tan Roofing, Inc. was at all times 16 39. 17 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 18 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. San Tan Roofing, Inc. entered into 19 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 20 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

2140. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sombrero Painting, Inc. was at all times 22 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 23 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sombrero Painting, Inc. entered into 24 contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 25 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

26 41. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sonoran Concrete, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing 27 28 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sonoran Concrete, LLC entered

11

13

.

into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

42. Upon information and belief, Defendant Specialty Roofing, Inc. was at all times
material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Specialty Roofing, Inc. entered into
contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
construction materials and perform work at the Project.

8 43. Upon information and belief, Defendant Top Grading & Waste Services, Inc.
9 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
10 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Top Grading & Waste
11 Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
12 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

44. Upon information and belief, Defendant United Fence Company, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Fence Company, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed
to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

45. Upon information and belief, Defendant VW Dig, LLC was at all times material
hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business
within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. VW Dig, Inc. entered into contract(s) with
Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
materials and perform work at the Project.

46. Upon information and belief, Defendant Wayne-Dalton Corp. was at all times
material hereto an Ohio corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within
the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Wayne-Dalton Corp. entered into contract(s) with
Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
materials and perform work at the Project.

28

. 47. Upon information and belief, Defendant Western States Glass and Building

•

-

Products, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do l business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Western 2 States Glass and Building Products, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their 3 duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 4 work at the Project. 5

Upon information and belief, Defendant Westy's Soil Compacting Company, 48. 6 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 7 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Westy's Soil Compacting 8 Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein 9 it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 10

49. Upon information and belief, Defendant Whitton Concrete, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Whitton Concrete, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Plaintiffs, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 14 construction materials and perform work at the Project. 15

Upon information and belief, Defendant XO Windows, LLC was at all times 16 50. material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing 17 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon information and belief, XO 18 Windows, LLC manufactured and supplied allegedly defective windows at the Project. 19

Upon information and belief, Defendants Black Corporations I - XX are 51. 20 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request 21 permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are 22 discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein. 23

52. Upon information and belief, Defendants White Partnerships I - XX are 24 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request 25 permission to insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are 26 discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein. 27

28

Upon information and belief, Defendants Does I - XX are fictitious names 53.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEMONE 602-441-1300 + AX 602-441-1350 11

12

13

.

whose true names are not known to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs request permission to
 insert the true names of these Defendants at such time as the true names are discovered with
 the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

4 54. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(5), (7), (12) and 5 (18).

As used throughout this Complaint, Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc.; 6 55. Allied Masonry, LLC; Aloha Grading, Inc.; American Woodmark Corporation d/b/a 7 Timberlake Cabinet Co.; Anozira Stucco and Stone Works, LLC; Aspen Block, LLC; BCI 8 Bebout Concrete of Arizona, Inc.; Brewer Enterprises, Inc.; Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a 9 Masco Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services; Burrows Concrete, 10 LLC; Canyon State Drywall, Inc.; Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.; Chas Roberts Air 11 Conditioning, Inc.; Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc.; Desert Vista, Inc.; Design Drywall 12 West, Inc.; Diversified Roofing Corporation; Dixon Brothers Incorporated; MASCO Framing 13 Holding Company LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, LLC; DRRS Plumbing Services, 14 LLC d/b/a Epic Plumbing; DVC Construction Company, Inc.; Empire Plastering, LLC; 15 Erickson Construction, LLC; Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc.; Infinity Building Products, LLC; 16 Integrated Stucco, Inc.; MFF, Inc. f/k/a Mesa Fully Formed, LLC; MPC Contracting 17 Company, Inc.; Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid Continent Cabinetry; Osborne Stucco, 18 Inc.; Paramount Windows, LLC; Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and 19 Landscape, Inc.; L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions & Accessories, Co.; Porter-Jarvis, LLC 20 d/b/a Jade Grading; RCC Holdings LLC d/b/a Primera; Roadrunner Drywall Corp.; San Tan 21 Roofing, Inc.; Sombrero Painting, Inc.; Sonoran Concrete, LLC; Specialty Roofing, Inc.; Top 22 Grading & Waste Services, Inc.; United Fence Company, Inc.; VW Dig, LLC; Wayne-Dalton 23 Corp.; Western States Glass and Building Products, Inc.; Westy's Soil Compacting Company, 24 Inc.; Whitton Concrete, Inc.; and XO Windows, LLC are collectively referred to as 25 The term "Subcontractor Defendants" shall also include "Subcontractor Defendants." 26 fictitious named defendants. 27

28

56. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in the

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attomeys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENX, ARIZONA 85015-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350

.

above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Defendant has an obligation to indemnify
 Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials supplied, and/or
 professional services.

4 57. Upon information and belief, pursuant to language contained in the above5 referenced contracts and as may otherwise apply by law, each Subcontractor Defendant has an
6 obligation to defend Plaintiffs for alleged defects arising from its respective work and/or
7 professional services.

The owners of certain residences within the Project have alleged construction 8 58. 9 defects associated with various components of their homes including, but not limited to, concrete slabs, stucco, water intrusion membranes, roofs, floors/floor coverings, walls, 10 ceilings, drywall, cabinets, doors and windows, sliding glass doors, shear walls, concrete 11 12 flatwork, sheet metal, insulation, electrical systems, HVAC systems, pavement system, 13 plumbing and plumbing fixtures, irrigation systems, soils, grading, framing, stairs, foundations, garage doors, shower doors, mirrors, drainage, paint, fences, fireplaces/chimneys, 14 trim carpentry, decks and structural systems, and other areas 15

16 59. The homeowners that have alleged damages resulting from the defects listed
17 above are identified in Exhibit "A". Upon information and belief, other homeowners may be
18 bringing similar claims in addition to those identified in Exhibit "A" and should those claims
19 be brought, Plaintiffs request permission to insert the names of these additional homeowners
20 at such time as the true names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been
21 set forth specifically herein.

60. If the homeowners' allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed by
them are directly and proximately caused by the defective, negligent, careless and/or reckless
construction work and/or professional services and/or defective materials/products/systems
supplied by Subcontractor Defendants.

26 61. Each Subcontractor Defendant received reasonable notice of the homeowners'
27 claims and had an opportunity to defend Plaintiffs.

28

62. Notwithstanding Plaintiffs' invitations and demands to participate in pre-

•

-

litigation negotiations and defend Plaintiffs, each Subcontractor Defendant has thus far failed
 to do so.

3 63. As a result of each of Subcontractor Defendant's refusal to defend and
4 indemnify, Plaintiffs have been forced to defend themselves and continue to incur substantial
5 attorneys' fees, expert fees, and costs.

6 64. Each Subcontractor Defendant expressly and/or impliedly warranted that its
7 work would be performed in a good and workmanlike manner, be free from defect, and that its
8 products and materials would not be defective.

9 65. Each Subcontractor Defendant expressly agreed to obtain additional insured
10 endorsements naming Plaintiffs as additional insureds under their respective policies of
11 insurance.

12 66. Each Subcontractor Defendant owed Plaintiffs a duty to ensure its work was
13 performed in accordance with, among other things, applicable construction standards and the
14 applicable project documents, including plans and specifications, and that its products were
15 without defect.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Demand for Arbitration

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

19 67. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
20 Paragraphs 1 through 66 of this Complaint.

68. Upon information and belief, each Subcontractor Defendant entered into written
agreements with Plaintiffs to resolve any and all disputes through binding arbitration.

69. This Complaint is intended to toll any applicable statutes of limitation and/or
statutes of repose. Plaintiffs do not waive their rights and expressly reserve their right to
resolve the subject matter of this Complaint through arbitration. Plaintiffs' Demand for
Arbitration is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." Alternatively, should this Court or other tribunal
of competent jurisdiction determine that arbitration of the subject matter of this Complaint is
not required or otherwise invalid or unenforceable under the parties' written agreements,

16

17

18

.

Plaintiffs bring the remaining causes of action before this Court. 1

2 It is the express intent of Plaintiffs to resolve the subject matter of this 70. 3 Complaint against Subcontractor Defendants through arbitration, but to date, the Subcontractor Defendants have refused to arbitrate Plaintiffs' Claims. 4

5 71. Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes section 12-3007, Plaintiffs request an 6 Order compelling Subcontractor Defendants to arbitrate in accordance with the written 7 arbitration agreements.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Express Indemnity

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

72. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 12 Paragraphs 1 through 71 of this Complaint.

73. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant contained 13 language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant agreed to indemnify and hold 14 Plaintiffs harmless. 15

16 74. The acts of the Subcontractor Defendants are the direct and proximate cause, in 17 whole or in part, of the damages alleged by the homeowners.

Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for all such 18 75. 19 losses or damages they have sustained, or will sustain, as the result of settlement, judgment, 20 award, and/or compromise.

As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 21 76. become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 22 23 therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration 24 demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, 25 26 including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

27 1///

28 111

WOOD; SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP , SUITE 450 116-4210 ELEPHONE 602-441-1300 8

9

10

11

·

-

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

77. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
5 paragraphs 1 through 76 of this Complaint.

6 78. Subcontractor Defendants also agreed under the one or more contracts with
7 Plaintiffs to conduct their work in a good and workmanlike manner in compliance with the
8 plans and specifications, applicable building codes and guidelines of the Arizona Registrar of
9 Contractors, and to complete work that is free from defects. Additionally, Subcontractor
10 Defendants agreed to supply materials that would be of merchantable quality and reasonably
11 fit for its intended purpose.

12 79. Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by failing to
13 perform their work in compliance with said contractual obligations.

80. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Defendants were also contractually
obligated to obtain specific insurance coverage. The subcontracts contain the following
insurance provision:

Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, (\$1,000,000 general aggregate, and \$1,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate). The aggregate limits shall apply separately on each project, contract, job or phase. Subcontractor agrees that each contract signed shall represent and be deemed a separate and distinct project. The commercial general liability insurance shall be on the 07/98 ISO form or an equivalent and shall specifically include coverage for Subcontractor's obligations under any indemnification/hold harmless provisions in the Contract. The commercial general liability policy shall be endorsed to include CHI Construction Company, D.R. Horton, Inc., their respective subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies and their respective partners, members, directors, officers, employees and agents as additional insureds (collectively, the "Additional Insureds"), using form CG20101185 or an equivalent form, with respect to any claims, losses, expenses or other costs arising out of the Contract and shall also be endorsed as primary coverage with respect to any other insurance which may be carried by the Additional Insureds. It is expressly agreed that any other insurance covering Additional Insured, is excess over and noncontributing with Subcontractor's commercial general liability insurance.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

LEGAL:05708-0378/3319854.1

-

81. Subcontractor Defendants have breached their respective contracts by failing to procure the required insurance and additional insured endorsements on their respective insurance policies.

82. As the result of Subcontractor Defendants' individual breaches of contract, Plaintiffs have incurred damages and will continue to incur damages, including attorneys' fees, expert fees, pre-judgment interest, and other expenses.

83. The homeowners' claims against Plaintiffs for damages to their homes are the result, in whole or in part, of the acts and/or omissions of Subcontractor Defendants.

84. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified and held harmless by Subcontractor Defendants, and each of them, for their share of all such loss or damage incurred by Plaintiffs as the result of any settlement, compromise, judgment, or award that may occur.

85. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Implied Warranty of Workmanship

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

86. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 85 of this Complaint.

impliedly that their 87. Subcontractor Defendants warranted 24 materials/products/systems would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its 25 intended purpose and that the work and labor performed under any agreement or instruction 26 would be done in a careful and workmanlike manner in conformance with Arizona 27 construction standards and/or practices and all applicable project documents, including the 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

•

•

1 || plans, specifications, and scopes of work.

88. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred
by the Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Defendants
have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike
manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the
materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and
free from defects.

8 89. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct
9 and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

90. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs,
pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

19 91. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
20 Paragraphs 1 through 90 of this Complaint.

92. Subcontractor Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to ensure that their work
would be performed in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with Arizona construction
standards and practices and that materials so provided would be free from material defects
and/or fit for their intended or represented purpose.

93. At all times relevant herein, Subcontractor Defendants owed a duty of
reasonable care to Plaintiffs to ensure the plumbing systems and component parts were
properly designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, marketed, selected, and
installed at the Project.

16

17

18

•

.

94. Subcontractor Defendants knew, or should have known, that the breach of those
 duties would cause damage to Plaintiffs, who relied upon Subcontractor Defendants to
 perform their work properly and according to applicable standards, and to provide products
 that were free from material defects and were good for their respective and conjunctive
 intended and represented purposes.

6 95. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Defendants had prior notice and
7 knowledge of said defects and potential damage, and failed to act timely and accordingly to
8 remedy the defects.

9 96. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, including damage alleged 10 to property other than the Subcontractor Defendants' work itself, and/or damages incurred by 11 Plaintiffs, Subcontractor Defendants breached their duties to Plaintiffs by negligently failing 12 to ensure that their work was performed in a workmanlike manner in accordance with all 13 applicable construction standards, and that materials provided for use in the development were 14 free from defects, and were reasonably fit for their respective and conjunctive intended 15 purposes as represented to Plaintiffs.

97. As a result of these breaches of warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct and
consequential damages to be proven at trial.

98. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs,
pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.
 SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
 Common Law/Implied Indemnity
 [All Subcontractor Defendants]
 99. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
 Paragraphs 1 through 98 of this Complaint.

1 100. Plaintiffs are entirely without active fault with regard to the acts or omissions
 2 giving rise to the homeowners' construction defects claims, and thus, they are entitled to
 3 recovery from Subcontractor Defendants.

4

5

6

7

8

9

101. Pursuant to the facts of this case and the parties' relationships, as well as Arizona Common Law and the Restatement of Torts (Second) § 886B, Plaintiffs are entitled to Common Law Indemnity from Subcontractor Defendants for their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

10 102. Plaintiffs seek recovery in common law indemnity under various bases,
11 including, without limitation, equity, unjust enrichment, tort and contract.

12 103. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has 13 become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and 14 therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, 15 pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration 16 demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, 17 including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

18

19 20

Breach of Contract-Duty to Defend – Declaratory Relief

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

21 104. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
22 Paragraphs 1 through 103 of this Complaint.

23 105. Each agreement between Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant contained
24 language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Defendant expressly and/or impliedly agreed
25 to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

26 106. Pursuant to the express indemnity provisions, Plaintiffs are entitled to be
27 defended by Subcontractor Defendants as a result of any arbitration, action, or other suit
28 brought by the homeowners and/or repairs necessitated by the defective and/or negligent work

.

of, and/or defective products supplied by Subcontractor Defendants, including without
 limitation, attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs.

3 107. Subcontractor Defendants have a present duty to defend against any claims
4 made against Plaintiffs arising out of their respective scopes of work.

5 108. Plaintiffs have a present legal right to be provided a defense by Subcontractor
6 Defendants.

7 109. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs have tendered the defense of the action
8 to Subcontractor Defendants, each of whom rejected, ignored, or failed to properly accept the
9 tender of defense.

10 110. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between Plaintiffs and
11 Subcontractor Defendants in that Plaintiffs contend they are entitled to a present defense from
12 the Subcontractor Defendants and Subcontractor Defendants deny same.

13 111. Plaintiffs are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Defendants for all
14 attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs sustained as the result of
15 Subcontractor Defendants' failure to defend and hold Plaintiffs and others harmless.

16 112. Plaintiffs herein seek a declaration by the Court as to their rights and said
17 Subcontractor Defendants' duties and obligations to defend Plaintiffs.

18 113. As a result of the claims against Plaintiffs and each Subcontractor Defendant's
19 failure to defend, it has become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this
20 Complaint, and therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees,
21 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and any
22 arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners.

23 24

25

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Express Warranties

[All Subcontractor Defendants]

26 114. Plaintiffs fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
27 paragraphs 1 through 113 of this Complaint.

28

115. Subcontractor Defendants' subcontracts contained the following express

•
1 || warranty:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

10.7 Warranties. Subcontractor warrants to Owner/Contractor that all materials and equipment furnished shall be new (unless otherwise specified and agreed to in advance by Owner/Contractor) and that all work under the Contract shall be of good and workmanlike quality, free from faults and defects and in conformance with Contract Documents. All work not conforming to these requirements, including substitutions not properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective. The warranties provided in this Paragraph 10.7 shall (a) be in addition to and not in limitation of any other warranty or remedy available to Owner/Contractor, (b) be assignable by Owner/Contractor, and (c) be valid for (i) one (1) year from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (ii) two (2) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (iii) ten (10) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all structural defects, and (iv) the period prescribed by the respective manufacturers with respect to manufacturers' equipment and appliance warranties. The warranty periods set forth above shall be extended (a) as provided by applicable law and equity, and (b) with respect to latent defects, to the date on which the warranty period would expire if it commenced on the discovery of the applicable latent defect.

15 116. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred 16 by Plaintiffs, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Defendants have 17 been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike manner or 18 in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the materials were not 19 reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and free from defects. 20 117. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Plaintiffs have suffered direct 21 and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

118. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Plaintiffs, it has
become necessary for Plaintiffs to demand arbitration and initiate this Complaint, and
therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs,
prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

~

	·	
	1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that this Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs
	2	and against Subcontractor Defendants as follows:
	3	1. For direct and consequential damages;
	4	2. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon at the statutory rate;
	5	3. For their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' and expert fees incurred and
	6	allowed under any theory, including, but not limited to, the parties' contract,
	7	A.R.S. §§12-341.01(A) and 12-1364; and
	8	4. For such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.
	9	
WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Addonnys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENN, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHOME 602-441-1300 + FXX 602-441-1350	10	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29 tay of October, 2014.
	11	
	12	n Th
5.8 BE #W 5016-4: FX 602-	13	By: ROSARY A. HERNANDEZ
HENNING utomeys at L ELBACK RO ELBACK RO 4. ARIZONA 6 4. ARIZONA 6	14	JASON R. MULLIS
TH, HE Attorr Attorr AMELB S02-441-	15	2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 450
XOD, SMITH 2525 E. CAM PHOENU TELEPHONE 602	16	Phoenix, Arizon 85016-4210 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Continental Homes.
WOOI 21	17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Continental Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		LEGAL:05708-0378/3319854.1 -24-

•

·

-

Exhibit "A"

•

•

HOMESTEAD Maricopa, AZ -HOMEOWNER MATRIX-

	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based on Recording Date
1	Armenta, David	20800 N. Dries Rd.	79	0	6/26/09
2	Breazeale, David and Lois	40456 W. Thornberry Ln.	91	ο	8/8/12
3	Breazeale, Patrick	40049 W. Sanders Way	21	0	1/15/08
4	Brandy, Xenia & Cardenas, Sergio	40153 W. Hayden Dr.	68	0	5/21/08
5	Callicott, Jeffrey & Marney	41362 W. Walker Way	5	0	3/20/07
6	Cole, Tracy Federal Natl. Mortgage Assoc. Crain, Lanny	40177 W. Hayden Dr.	66	s	12/29/10 9/1/10 2/28/08
7	Cozy Place LLC Elliott, Lloyd & Joni	40374 W. Hayden Dr.	46	s	12/19/07
8	Crane, Timothy & Casey BAC Home / HUD Recontrust Ceballos, Rosio & Pedro	41247 W. Walker Way	57	s	2010 1/8/10 12/4/09 6/19/07
9	DeAlba, Ricardo & Victoria	40936 W. Thornberry	103	0	1/26/07
10	Denny, Dennis & Sarah	41404 W. Walker Way	8	0	3/2/07
11	Ferrari, Robin	40500 W. Hayden Dr.	55	0	8/30/11
12	Garrison, Christopher	41181 W. Hayden Dr.	68	0	7/14/08
13	Gilmore, Douglas & Cheryl Fannie Mae Randle, Cedric & Anita	20858 N. Madeline St.	27	s	2/24/11 11/10/10 11/13/07

.

	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based on Recording
					Date
14	Jones, Dawn Aukerman, Robert HUD Wells Fargo / First American Title Stacks, Thistle	40840 W. Hayden Dr.	49	S	7/29/10 7/2010 4/28/10 4/8/10 8/28/07
15	Lott, Unika	41003 W. Pryor Ln.	57	0	4/10/09
16	Martin, Phyllis	41249 W. Robbins Dr.	44	0	10/7/11
17	Martinez, Ricardo HUD Wells Fargo / First American Title Honie, Kristy	39975 W. Thornberry Ln.	121	s	6/16/11 2/9/11 12/16/10 2/28/08
18	McKillop, Barbara & Ryan	21086 N. Dries Rd.	6	0	7/21/09
19	Means, Dennis & Vera	40478 W. Novak Ln.	110	0	5/5/08
20	Mireles, Ignacio & Susana First Am. Title / Wells Bank Matteson, Andrew	40141 W. Hayden Dr.	6 9	s	2/18/11 12/8/10 11/19/08
21	Olson Home Rentals Olson, Julie & Oris Federal Home Loan / M. Bosco Winsor, Victoria	40384 W. Robbins Dr.	60	S	8/24/10 4/23/10 1/27/10 2/25/08
22	People, Jeffrey & Geraldine	20945 N. Dries Rd.	67	0	6/2/08
23	Poweil, Carol	40728 W. Pryor Ln.	71	0	1/9/08
24	Pruett, Tim & Lyndi HUD Wells Fargo / Michael Bosco Rittenhouse, Jenifer	40377 W. Novak Lane	104	s	12/9/11 7/13/11 6/23/11 6/30/08
25	Quinter, Michael & Marsha (Revocable Trust)	40697 W. Walker Way	27	ο	3/21/08
26	Richardson, Ronald	40914 W. Hopper Dr.	17	0	5/18/09

Work Product of Wood, Smith, Henning Berman

	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 /: S	COE based on Recording Date
27	Ricks, Ed & Donna Kumetat, Kurt & Maria	41314 W. Thornberry Ln.	80	s	4/19/10 *6/19/07
28	Robinson II, Stanley HUD Wells Fargo / Michael Bosco Bennett, Scott & Lisa	40682 W. Coltin Way	102	S	7/2/10 3/1/10 1/20/10 9/4/07
29	Robies, Alex HUD Luna, Alfredo & Christina	41182 W. Robbins Dr.	11	S	8/20/12 6/27/12 2/20/08
30	Robles, Yolanda HUD Howard, James / Chase Freedom Howard, James	21041 N. Alexis Ave.	27	S	8/23/10 2/17/10 1/25/10 7/9/07
31	Robles, Manuel	40924 W. Hayden Dr.	43	0	1/12/07
32	Sandra L. Salwei Trust Fannie Mae Barrera, Adrian Recontrust / Fed. Mortgage Barrera, Sandy & Adrian	20690 N. Tammy St.	25	s	1/28/11 1/19/11 1/10/11 7/8/10 *4/18/07
33	Sanchez, Grispina	40797 W. Robbins Dr.	57	ο	5/18/09
34	Schmitt, Robert & Vivian	40314 W. Robbins Dr.	55	S	4/28/11 2/11/08
35	Scott, Asia	40394 W. Novak Lane	116	0	5/9/08
36	Smith, Scot t & Kerry HUD / Wells Fargo HUD / Wells Fargo Arboleda / Wells Fargo Arboleda, Francisco	21024 N. Wilford Ave.	10	S	5/20/11 6/24/10 6/2/10 3/10/10 *2/27/08

~

	Plaintiff .	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based on Recording Date
37	Stendel, John	41235 W. Brandt Dr.	31	0	5/1/07
38	Sun Palms LP Meade, Patrick Michael De Meade, Gaudalupe Robles Solano, Kendall	40058 W. Thornberry Ln.	93	S	3/16/12 3/16/12 2/10/12 *2/8/12
39	Trainito, Steven & Marcia	40412 W. Robbins Dr.	61	0	8/8/08
40	Winn, Christopher & Kit Rathbun, Curt & Tonya HUD Recontrust / BAC Home Loans Ratcliff, Vera	41092 W. Robbins Dr.	16	S	7/11/11 6/30/10 3/9/10 2/8/10 9/30/08
41	Winslow, Dorothy Medina, Rosario	41392 W. Brandt Dr.	71	S	5/28/10 7/2/07
42	Wishlow, Gerry & Adella Patel, Virbala & Kaushiklal	41414 W. Hayden Dr.	40	s	4/19/13 6/28/07
43	Zaragoza, Jenny	21191 N. Grantham Rd.	44	0	11/25/08

RESOLVED:

1	Bautista, Dioscoro	40416 W. Hayden Dr.	49	0	2/14/08
2	Holt, Patsy	40081 W. Hayden Dr.	74	0	5/9/08
3	Hughes, Thomas	21103 N. Danielle Ave.	74	0	10/28/08
4	Fletcher, Earl & Diane	39979 W. Robbins Ave.	122	0	12/31/13

Work Product of Wood, Smith, Henning Berman

	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based on Recording Date
5	Foreman, Clarence & Francine Bynum, Byron Hager, Lawrence & Sherry	41070 W. Hopper Dr.	23	5	2/10/12 9/20/2007
6	Weaver, Bruce Carbone, Dan HUD Recontrust Porras, Nathan & Laura	40324 W. Novak Ln.	121	s	8/30/12 4/23/10 11/24/09 11/23/09 6/2/08
7	Rumney, Jacqueline Louise & Dennis and Davison, Diana & Russell Davison, Russell & Diana Marcel, Glen & Sheri	20884 N. Dries Rd.	73	s	5/17/12 2/11/11 6/4/08
8	Mendoza, Maria	20872 N. Madeline St.	28	S	5/12/10 7/30/07

Exhibit "B"

.

.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 • FAX 602-441-1350

.

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEPHONE 602-441-1300 + FXX 602-441-1350

.

l	
ĺ	
1	an Arizona corporation; DIXON BROTHERS INCORPORATED, an Arizona corporation;
2	MASCO FRAMING HOLDING COMPANY
3	LLC D/B/A DOOR SALES & INSTALLATIONS, LLC, an Arizona limited
-	liability company; DRRS PLUMBING
4	SERVICES, LLC d/b/a EPIC PLUMBING, an Arizona limited liability company; DVC
5	CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., an
6	Arizona corporation; EMPIRE PLASTERING, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
-	ERICKSON CONSTRUCTION, LLC, an
7	Arizona limited liability company; HOLMES- HALLY INDUSTRIES INC., a California
8	corporation; INFINITY BUILDING
9	PRODUCTS, LLC;, an Arizona limited liability company; INTEGRATED STUCCO,
10	INC., an Arizona corporation; MFF, INC. an
10	Arizona corporation f/k/a MESA FULLY FORMED, LLC., an Arizona limited liability
11	company; MPC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation;
12	NORCRAFT COMPANIES, LP D/B/A MID
13	CONTINENT CABINETRY, a Delaware limited partnership; OSBORNE STUCCO,
ļ	INC., an Arizona corporation; PARAMOUNT
14	WINDOWS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; POCO VERDE LANDSCAPE,
15	INC. n/k/a POCO VERDE POOLS AND
16	LANDSCAPE, INC., an Arizona corporation; L.R. BORELLI INC. d/b/a PARTITIONS &
	ACCESSORIES, CO., an Arizona corporation;
17	PORTER-JARVIS, LLC d/b/a JADE GRADING, an Arizona limited liability
18	company; RCC HOLDINGS LLC d/b/a
19	PRIMERA, an Arizona limited liability company; ROADRUNNER DRYWALL
20	CORP., an Arizona corporation; SAN TAN ROOFING, INC.; an Arizona corporation;
	SOMBRERO PAINTING, INC., an Arizona
21	corporation; SONORAN CONCRETE, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company;
22	SPECIALTY ROOFING, INC., an Arizona
23	corporation; TOP GRADING & WASTE SERVICES, INC., an Arizona corporation;
	UNITED FENCE COMPANY, INC., an
24	Arizona corporation; VW DIG, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; WAYNE-
25	DALTON CORP.; an Ohio corporation
26	WESTERN STATES GLASS AND BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC., an Arizona
	corporation; WESTY'S SOIL COMPACTING
27	COMPANY, INC., an Arizona corporation; WHITTON CONCRETE, INC., an Arizona
28	corporation: XO WINDOWS, LLC, an

•

4

8

11

1 Arizona limited liability company; BLACK CORPORATIONS I-XX; WHITE 2 PARTNERSHIPS I-XX; and DOES I-XX 3 Respondents.

5 Claimants Continental Homes, Inc., and CHI Construction Company (collectively 6 "Claimants"), through undersigned counsel hereby respectfully submit their Demand for 7 Arbitration against Respondents as follows:

JURISDICTION

9 1. Continental Homes, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Delaware corporation 10 authorized to do business and was doing business in the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona.

2. CHI Construction Company was at all times material hereto an Arizona 12 corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, 13 State of Arizona.

14 3. Upon information and belief, Respondent Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc. 15 was at all times material hereto an Arizona Corporation authorized to do business and was 16 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Adams Bros Interiors & 17 Cabinets, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 18 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the subject property, 19 The Homestead located in the city of Maricopa, County of Pinal, State of Arizona (hereinafter 20 the "Project").

21 4. Upon information and belief, Respondent Allied Masonry, LLC was at all times 22 material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing 23 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Allied Masonry, LLC entered into 24 contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 25 : construction materials and perform work at the Project.

26 5. Upon information and belief, Respondent Aloha Grading, Inc. was at all times 27 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 28 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Aloha Grading, Inc. entered into contract(s)

.

1 with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
2 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

6. Upon information and belief, Respondent American Woodmark Corporation
d/b/a Timberlake Cabinet Co. was at all times material hereto a Virginia corporation
authorized to do business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. American
Woodmark Corporation d/b/a Timberlake Cabinet Co. entered into contract(s) with Claimants,
and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and
perform work at the Project.

9 7. Upon information and belief, Respondent Anozira Stucco and Stone Works,
10 LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do
11 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Anozira
12 Stucco and Stone Works, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly
13 authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at
14 the Project.

8. Upon information and belief, Respondent Aspen Block, LLC was at all times
 material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing
 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Aspen Block, LLC entered into
 contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
 construction material and perform work at the Project.

9. Upon information and belief, Respondent BCI Bebout Concrete of Arizona, Inc.
 was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. BCI Bebout Concrete of
 Arizona, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

10. Upon information and belief, Respondent Brewer Enterprises, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Brewer Enterprises, Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to

4

•

1 provide construction material and perform work at the Project.

11. Upon information and belief, Respondent Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a
Masco Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services was at all times
material hereto a Florida corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within
the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Builder Services Group, Inc. f/k/a Masco
Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services entered into contract(s) with
Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
material and perform work at the Project.

9 12. Upon information and belief, Respondent Burrows Concrete, LLC was at all
10 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
11 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Burrows Concrete, LLC
12 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
13 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

14 13. Upon information and belief, Respondent Canyon State Drywall, Inc. was at all
15 times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
16 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Canyon State Drywall, Inc. entered
17 into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
18 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

19 14. Upon information and belief, Respondent Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc. was
20 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
21 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.
22 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
23 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent Chas Roberts Air Conditioning, Inc.
was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Chas Roberts Air
Conditioning, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized
agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

•

Upon information and belief, Respondent Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc. was
 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc.
 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

6 17. Upon information and belief, Respondent Desert Vista, Inc. was at all times
7 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
8 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Desert Vista, Inc. entered into contract(s)
9 with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
10 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

18. Upon information and belief, Respondent Design Drywall West, Inc. was at all times material hereto a Colorado corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Design Drywall West, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

16 19. Upon information and belief, Respondent Diversified Roofing Corporation was
17 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
18 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Diversified Roofing Corporation
19 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
20 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

20. Upon information and belief, Respondent Dixon Brothers Incorporated was at
all time material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Dixon Brothers Incorporated
entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

21. Upon information and belief, Respondent MASCO Framing Holding Company
 27 LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, LLC, was at all times material hereto an Arizona
 28 limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County

11

12

13

14

15

.

of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MASCO Framing Holding Company LLC d/b/a Door Sales &
 Installations, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized
 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC d/b/a
Epic Plumbing was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company
authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of
Arizona. DRRS Plumbing Services, LLC d/b/a Epic Plumbing entered into contract(s) with
Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent DVC Construction Company, Inc. was
at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. DVC Construction Company, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

Upon information and belief, Respondent Empire Plastering, LLC was at all
times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Empire Plastering, LLC
entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

20 25. Upon information and belief, Respondent Erickson Construction, LLC was at all
 21 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
 22 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Erickson Construction, LLC
 23 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
 24 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

25 26. Upon information and belief, Respondent Holmes-Hally Industries Inc. was at
all times material hereto a California corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc.
28 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it

1 agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

2 27. Upon information and belief, Respondent Infinity Building Products, LLC was 3 at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Infinity Building 5 Products, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 6 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

7 28. Upon information and belief, Respondent Integrated Stucco, Inc. was at all times 8 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 9 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Integrated Stucco, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 10 11 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

12 29. Upon information and belief, Respondent MFF, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation and formerly known as Mesa Fully Formed, LLC, which was at 13 14 all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and 15 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MFF, Inc. f/k/a Mesa 16 Fully Formed, LLC entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 17 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

18 30. Upon information and belief, Respondent MPC Contracting Company, Inc. was 19 at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing 20 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. MPC Contracting Company, Inc. 21 entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project. 22

23 31. Upon information and belief, Respondent Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid 24 Continent Cabinetry was at all times material hereto a Delaware limited partnership authorized 25 to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. 26 Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid Continent Cabinetry entered into contract(s) with 27 Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction 28 materials and peform work at the Project.

4

.

1 32. Upon information and belief, Respondent Osborne Stucco, Inc. was at all times 2 material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business 3 within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Osborne Stucco, Inc. entered into 4 contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide 5 construction materials and perform work at the Project.

6 33. Upon information and belief, Respondent Paramount Windows, LLC was at all 7 times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was 8 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon information and 9 belief, Paramount Windows, LLC manufactured and supplied allegedly defective windows at 10 the Project.

34. Upon information and belief, Respondent Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of 14 II Arizona. Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and Landscape, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

17 35. Upon information and belief, Respondent L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions & 18 Accessories, Co. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do 19 business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. L.R. 20 Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions & Accessories, Co. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or 21 their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform 22 work at the Project.

23 36. Upon information and belief, Respondent Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade Grading 24 was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business 25 and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Porter-Jarvis, LLC 26 d/b/a Jade Grading entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized 27 agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

28

37. Upon information and belief, Respondent RCC Holdings LLC d/b/a Primera was

11

12

13

15

16
at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and
 was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. RCC Holdings LLC
 d/b/a Primera entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

5 6

7

8

9

38. Upon information and belief, Respondent Roadrunner Drywall Corp. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Roadrunner Drywall Corp. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

39. Upon information and belief, Respondent San Tan Roofing, Inc. was at all times
material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business
within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. San Tan Roofing, Inc. entered into
contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
construction materials and perform work at the Project.

40. Upon information and belief, Respondent Sombrero Painting, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sombrero Painting, Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to
provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

41. Upon information and belief, Respondent Sonoran Concrete, LLC was at all
times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Sonoran Concrete, LLC
entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

42. Upon information and belief, Respondent Specialty Roofing, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Specialty Roofing, Inc. entered
into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to

.

1 provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

43. Upon information and belief, Respondent Top Grading & Waste Services, Inc.
was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was
doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Top Grading & Waste
Services, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s),
wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

44. Upon information and belief, Respondent United Fence Company, Inc. was at all
times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. United Fence Company, Inc.
entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it
agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

45. Upon information and belief, Respondent VW Dig, LLC was at all times
material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing
business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. VW Dig, Inc. entered into
contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide
construction materials and perform work at the Project.

46. Upon information and belief, Respondent Wayne-Dalton Corp. was at all times
material hereto an Ohio corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within
the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Wayne-Dalton Corp. entered into contract(s) with
Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction
materials and perform work at the Project.

47. Upon information and belief, Respondent Western States Glass and Building
Products, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do
business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Western
States Glass and Building Products, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their
duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform
work at the Project.

28

48. Upon information and belief, Respondent Westy's Soil Compacting Company,

1 Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was 2 doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Westy's Soil Compacting 3 Co., Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), 4 wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

5 6

7

8

9

11

49. Upon information and belief, Respondent Whitton Concrete, Inc. was at all times material hereto an Arizona corporation authorized to do business and was doing business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Whitton Concrete, Inc. entered into contract(s) with Claimants, and/or their duly authorized agent(s), wherein it agreed to provide construction materials and perform work at the Project.

10 50. Upon information and belief, Respondent XO Windows, LLC was at all times material hereto an Arizona limited liability company authorized to do business and was doing 12 business within the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona. Upon information and belief, XO 13 Windows, LLC manufactured and supplied allegedly defective windows at the Project.

14 51. Upon information and belief, Respondents Black Corporations I – XX are 15 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants request 16 permission to insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true names are 17 discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

18 52. Upon information and belief, Respondents White Partnerships I - XX are 19 fictitious names whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants request 20 permission to insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true names are 21 discovered with the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

22 53. Upon information and belief, Respondents Does I - XX are fictitious names 23 whose true names are not known to Claimants at this time. Claimants request permission to 24 insert the true names of these Respondents at such time as the true names are discovered with 25 the same effect as if such names had been set forth specifically herein.

26 54. As used throughout this Demand for Arbitration, Adams Bros Interiors & Cabinets, Inc.; Allied Masonry, LLC; Aloha Grading, Inc.; American Woodmark Corporation 27 28 d/b/a Timberlake Cabinet Co.; Anozira Stucco and Stone Works, LLC; Aspen Block, LLC;

.

1 BCI Bebout Concrete of Arizona, Inc.; Brewer Enterprises, Inc.; Builder Services Group, Inc. 2 f/k/a Masco Contractor Services Central, Inc. d/b/a Gale Contractor Services; Burrows 3 Concrete, LLC; Canyon State Drywall, Inc.; Catalina Roofing and Supply, Inc.; Chas Roberts 4 Air Conditioning, Inc.; Clayton Glass & Accessories, Inc.; Desert Vista, Inc.; Design Drywall 5 West, Inc.; Diversified Roofing Corporation; Dixon Brothers Incorporated; MASCO Framing 6 Holding Company LLC d/b/a Door Sales & Installations, LLC; DRRS Plumbing Services, 7 LLC d/b/a Epic Plumbing; DVC Construction Company, Inc.; Empire Plastering, LLC; 8 Erickson Construction, LLC; Holmes-Hally Industries, Inc.; Infinity Building Products, LLC; 9 Integrated Stucco, Inc.; MFF, Inc. f/k/a Mesa Fully Formed, LLC; MPC Contracting 10 Company, Inc.; Norcraft Companies, LP d/b/a Mid Continent Cabinetry; Osborne Stucco, 11 Inc.; Paramount Windows, LLC; Poco Verde Landscape, Inc. n/k/a Poco Verde Pools and 12 Landscape, Inc.; L.R. Borelli Inc. d/b/a Partitions & Accessories, Co.; Porter-Jarvis, LLC d/b/a Jade Grading; RCC Holdings LLC d/b/a Primera; Roadrunner Drywall Corp.; San Tan 13 Roofing, Inc.; Sombrero Painting, Inc.; Sonoran Concrete, LLC; Specialty Roofing, Inc.; Top 14 15 Grading & Waste Services, Inc.; United Fence Company, Inc.; VW Dig, LLC; Wayne-Dalton 16 Corp.; Western States Glass and Building Products, Inc.; Westy's Soil Compacting Company, 17 Inc.; Whitton Concrete, Inc.; and XO Windows, LLC are collectively referred to as 18 "Subcontractor Respondents." The term "Subcontractor Respondents" shall also include 19 fictitious named Respondents.

20 55. Upon information and belief, pursuant to indemnity language contained in the
21 above-referenced contracts, each Subcontractor Respondent has an obligation to indemnify
22 Claimants for alleged defects arising from its respective work, materials supplied, and/or
23 professional services.

56. Upon information and belief, pursuant to language contained in the abovereferenced contracts and as may otherwise apply by law, each Subcontractor Respondent has
an obligation to defend Claimants for alleged defects arising from its respective work and/or
professional services.

28

57. The owners of certain residences within the Project have alleged construction

×

•

•

defects associated with various components of their home including, but not limited to,
concrete slabs, stucco, water intrusion membranes, roofs, floors/floor coverings, walls,
ceilings, drywall, cabinets, doors and windows, sliding glass doors, shear walls, concrete
flatwork, sheet metal, insulation, electrical systems, HVAC systems, pavement system,
plumbing and plumbing fixtures, irrigation systems, soils, grading, framing, stairs,
foundations, garage doors, shower doors, mirrors, drainage, paint, fences, fireplaces/chimneys,
trim carpentry, decks and structural systems, and other areas

8 58. The homeowners that have alleged damages resulting from the defects listed 9 above are identified in Exhibit "A". Upon information and belief, other homeowners may be 10 bringing similar claims in addition to those identified in Exhibit "A" and should those claims 11 be brought, Claimants request permission to insert the names of these additional homeowners 12 at such time as the true names are discovered with the same effect as if such names had been 13 set forth specifically herein.

14 59. If the homeowners' allegations are true, then any and all damages claimed by
15 them are directly and proximately caused by the defective, negligent, careless and/or reckless
16 construction work and/or professional services and/or defective materials/products/systems
17 supplied by Subcontractor Respondents.

18 60. Each Subcontractor Respondent received reasonable notice of the homeowners'
19 claims and had an opportunity to defend Claimants.

61. Notwithstanding Claimants' invitations and demands to participate in prelitigation negotiations and defend Claimants, each Subcontractor Respondent has thus far
failed to do so.

62. As a result of each of Subcontractor Respondent's refusal to defend and
indemnify, Claimants have been forced to defend themselves and continue to incur substantial
attorneys' fees, expert fees, and costs.

63. Each Subcontractor Respondent expressly and/or impliedly warranted that its
work would be performed in a good and workmanlike manner, be free from defect, and that its
products and materials would not be defective.

•

64. Each Subcontractor Respondent expressly agreed to obtain additional insured
 endorsements naming Claimants as additional insureds under their respective policies of
 insurance.

65. Each Subcontractor Respondent owed Claimants a duty to ensure its work was
performed in accordance with, among other things, applicable construction standards and the
applicable project documents, including plans and specifications, and that its products were
without defect.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Express Indemnity

[All Subcontractor Respondents]

66. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
Paragraphs 1 through 65 of this Demand for Arbitration.

67. Each agreement between Claimants and each Subcontractor Respondent
contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Respondent agreed to indemnify
and hold Claimants harmless.

16 68. The acts of the Subcontractor Respondents are the direct and proximate cause, in
17 whole or in part, of the damages alleged by the homeowners.

69. Claimants are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Respondents for all
such losses or damages they have sustained, or will sustain, as the result of settlement,
judgment, award, and/or compromise.

70. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
become necessary for Claimants to initiate this Demand for Arbitration, and therefore,
Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

27 28

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELEHOVE 602-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350 8

9

10

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract

[All Subcontractor Respondents]

71. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
paragraphs 1 through 70 of this Demand for Arbitration.

6 72. Subcontractor Respondents also agreed under the one or more contracts with
7 Claimants to conduct their work in a good and workmanlike manner in compliance with the
8 plans and specifications, applicable building codes and guidelines of the Arizona Registrar of
9 Contractors, and to complete work that is free from defects. Additionally, Subcontractor
10 Respondents agreed to supply materials that would be of merchantable quality and reasonably
11 fit for its intended purpose.

73. Subcontractor Respondents have breached their respective contracts by failing to
 perform their work in compliance with said contractual obligations.

14 74. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Respondents were also contractually
15 obligated to obtain specific insurance coverage. The subcontracts contain the following
16 insurance provision:

Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, (\$1,000,000 general aggregate, and \$1,000,000 products/completed operations aggregate). The aggregate limits shall apply separately on each project, contract, job or phase. Subcontractor agrees that each contract signed shall represent and be deemed a separate and distinct project. The commercial general liability insurance shall be on the 07/98 ISO form or an equivalent and shall specifically include coverage for Subcontractor's obligations under any indemnification/hold harmless provisions in the Contract. The commercial general liability policy shall be endorsed to include CHI Construction Company, D.R. Horton, Inc., their respective subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies and their respective partners, members, directors, officers, employees and agents as additional insureds (collectively, the "Additional Insureds"), using form CG20101185 or an equivalent form, with respect to any claims, losses, expenses or other costs arising out of the Contract and shall also be endorsed as primary coverage with respect to any other insurance which may be carried by the Additional Insureds. It is expressly agreed that any other insurance covering Additional Insured, is excess over and noncontributing with Subcontractor's commercial general liability insurance.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP Attorneys at Law 2525 E. CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 450 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-4210 TELETHORE 802-441-1300 + FAX 602-441-1350 l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

75. Subcontractor Respondents have breached their respective contracts by failing to procure the required insurance and additional insured endorsements on their insurance respective policies.

76. As the result of Subcontractor Respondents' individual breaches of contract, Claimants have incurred damages and will continue to incur damages, including attorneys' fees, expert fees, pre-judgment interest, and other expenses.

77. The homeowners' claims against Claimants for damages to their homes are the result, in whole or in part, of the acts and/or omissions of Subcontractor Respondents.

78. Claimants are entitled to be indemnified and held harmless by Subcontractor Respondents, and each of them, for their share of all such loss or damage incurred by Claimants as the result of any settlement, compromise, judgment, or award that may occur.

79. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has become necessary for Claimants to initiate this Demand for Arbitration, and therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Implied Warranty of Workmanship

[All Subcontractor Respondents]

80. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 79 of this Demand for Arbitration.

81. Subcontractor impliedly warranted their Respondents that 24 materials/products/systems would be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for its 25 intended purpose and that the work and labor performed under any agreement or instruction 26 would be done in a careful and workmanlike manner in conformance with Arizona 27 construction standards and/or practices and all applicable project documents, including the 28

LEGAL:05708-0378/3314490.1

.

1 plans, specifications, and scopes of work.

82. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred
by the Claimants, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor
Respondents have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a
workmanlike manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices,
and the materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable
quality and free from defects.

8 83. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Claimants have suffered direct
9 and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

10 84. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
11 become necessary for Claimants to initiate this Demand for Arbitration, and therefore, they
12 Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, pre13 judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
14 demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
15 including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence

[All Subcontractor Respondents]

19 85. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
20 Paragraphs 1 through 84 of this Demand for Arbitration.

86. Subcontractor Respondents owed a duty to Claimants to ensure that their work
would be performed in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with Arizona construction
standards and practices and that materials so provided would be free from material defects
and/or fit for their intended or represented purpose.

87. At all times relevant herein, Subcontractor Respondents owed a duty of
reasonable care to Claimants to ensure the plumbing systems and component parts were
properly designed, distributed, tested, manufactured, developed, marketed, selected, and
installed at the Project.

16

17

18

88. Subcontractor Respondents knew, or should have known, that the breach of
 those duties would cause damage to Claimants, who relied upon Subcontractor Respondents to
 perform their work properly and according to applicable standards, and to provide products
 that were free from material defects and were good for their respective and conjunctive
 intended and represented purposes.

89. Upon information and belief, Subcontractor Respondents had prior notice and
knowledge of said defects and potential damage, and failed to act timely and accordingly to
remedy the defects.

9 90. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, including damage alleged
10 to property other than the Subcontractor Respondents' work itself, and/or damages incurred by
11 Claimants, Subcontractor Respondents breached their duties to Claimants by negligently
12 failing to ensure that their work was performed in a workmanlike manner in accordance with
13 all applicable construction standards, and that materials provided for use in the development
14 were free from defects, and were reasonably fit for their respective and conjunctive intended
15 purposes as represented to Claimants.

91. As a result of these breaches of warranties, Claimants have suffered direct and
consequential damages to be proven at trial.

92. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
become necessary for Claimants to initiate this Demand for Arbitration, and therefore,
Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

25

26

24

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Common Law/Implied Indemnity

[All Subcontractor Respondents]

27 93. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
28 Paragraphs 1 through 92 of this Demand for Arbitration.

1 94. Claimants are entirely without active fault with regard to the acts or omissions 2 giving rise to the homeowners' construction defects claims, and thus, they are entitled to 3 recovery from Subcontractor Respondents.

95. Pursuant to the facts of this case and the parties' relationships, as well as Arizona Common Law and the Restatement of Torts (Second) § 886B, Claimants are entitled 6 to Common Law Indemnity from Subcontractor Respondents for their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

10 96. Claimants seek recovery in common law indemnity under various bases, 11 including, without limitation, equity, unjust enrichment, tort and contract.

12 97. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has 13 become necessary for Claimants to initiate this Demand for Arbitration, and therefore, 14 Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs, prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration 15 16 demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners, 17 including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract-Duty to Defend – Declaratory Relief

[All Subcontractor Respondents]

21 98. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in 22 Paragraphs 1 through 97 of this Demand for Arbitration.

23 99. Each agreement between Claimants and each Subcontractor Respondent 24 contained language pursuant to which each Subcontractor Respondent expressly and/or 25 impliedly agreed to defend and hold Claimants and others harmless.

26 100. Pursuant to the express indemnity provisions, Claimants are entitled to be 27 defended by Subcontractor Respondents as a result of any arbitration, action, or other suit 28 brought by the homeowners and/or repairs necessitated by the defective and/or negligent work

4

5

7

8

9

18

19

20

•

of, and/or defective products supplied by Subcontractor Respondents, including without
 limitation, attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs.

3 101. Subcontractor Respondents have a present duty to defend against any claims
4 made against Claimants arising out of their respective scopes of work.

5 102. Claimants have a present legal right to be provided a defense by Subcontractor
6 Respondents.

7 103. Upon information and belief, Claimants have tendered the defense of the action
8 to Subcontractor Respondents, each of whom rejected, ignored, or failed to properly accept the
9 tender of defense.

10 104. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy now exists between Claimants
 11 and Subcontractor Respondents in that Claimants contend they are entitled to a present
 12 defense from the Subcontractor Respondents and Subcontractor Respondents deny same.

13 105. Claimants are entitled to be indemnified by Subcontractor Respondents for all
 14 attorneys' fees, expert fees, court costs, and investigative costs sustained as the result of
 15 Subcontractor Respondents' failure to defend and hold Claimants and others harmless.

16 106. Claimants herein seek a declaration by the Court as to their rights and said
17 Subcontractor Respondents' duties and obligations to defend Claimants.

18 107. As a result of the claims against Claimants and each Subcontractor Respondent's
19 failure to defend, it has become necessary for Claimants to initiate this Demand for
20 Arbitration, and therefore, Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees,
21 costs, pre-judgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and any
22 arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners.

23

24

25

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Express Warranties

[All Subcontractor Respondents]

26 108. Claimants fully incorporate herein by reference all allegations contained in
27 paragraphs 1 through 107 of this Demand for Arbitration.

28

109.

Subcontractor Respondents' subcontracts contained the following express

.

1 warranty:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

10.7 Warranties. Subcontractor warrants to Owner/Contractor that all materials and equipment furnished shall be new (unless otherwise specified and agreed to in advance by Owner/Contractor) and that all work under the Contract shall be of good and workmanlike quality, free from faults and defects and in conformance with Contract Documents. All work not conforming to these requirements, including substitutions not properly approved and authorized, may be considered defective. The warranties provided in this Paragraph 10.7 shall (a) be in addition to and not in limitation of any other warranty or remedy available to Owner/Contractor, (b) be assignable by Owner/Contractor, and (c) be valid for (i) one (1) year from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (ii) two (2) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all defects in workmanship, (iii) ten (10) years from the date of close of escrow of each house constructed pursuant to the Contract for all structural defects, and (iv) the period prescribed by the respective manufacturers with respect to manufacturers' equipment and appliance warranties. The warranty periods set forth above shall be extended (a) as provided by applicable law and equity, and (b) with respect to latent defects, to the date on which the warranty period would expire if it commenced on the discovery of the applicable latent defect.

15 110. Based upon the allegations raised by the homeowners, and/or damages incurred
 by Claimants, the warranties referenced above and provided by Subcontractor Respondents
 have been breached as the workmanship and labor were not performed in a workmanlike
 manner or in accordance with Arizona construction standards and/or practices, and the
 materials were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose and of a merchantable quality and
 free from defects.

111. As a result of these breaches of such warranties, Claimants have suffered direct
 and consequential damages in amounts as set forth above.

112. As a result of the claims against and damages incurred by Claimants, it has
become necessary for Claimants to initiate this Demand for Arbitration, and therefore,
Claimants are entitled to recover their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, costs,
prejudgment interest, and all other expenses related in any way to this lawsuit and arbitration
demanded above, and any arbitration, action, or other suit brought by the homeowners,
including any amount paid as a result of settlement, judgment, award, or compromise.

WHEREFORE, Claimants request that this Court enter judgment in favor of Claimants
 and against Subcontractor Respondents as follows:

- 1. For direct and consequential damages;
- 2. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon at the statutory rate;
- For their costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' and expert fees incurred and allowed under any theory, including, but not limited to, the parties' contract, A.R.S. §§12-341.01(A) and 12-1364; and
- 4. For such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this <u>244</u> day of October, 2014.

By:

ROSARY A.¹HERNANDEZ JASON R. MULLIS 2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 450 Phoenix, Arizon 85016-4210 Attorneys for Claimants Continental Homes, Inc. and CHI Construction Company

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Exhibit "A"

•

~

.

I

-

.

.

HOMESTEAD Maricopa, AZ -HOMEOWNER MATRIX-

	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based on
			· · ·		Recording Date
1	Armenta, David	20800 N. Dries Rd.	79	0	6/26/09
2	Breazeale, David and Lois	40456 W. Thornberry Ln.	91	0	8/8/12
3	Breazeale, Patrick	40049 W. Sanders Way	21	0	1/15/08
4	Brandy, Xenia & Cardenas, Sergio	40153 W. Hayden Dr.	68	0	5/21/08
5	Callicott, Jeffrey & Marney	41362 W. Walker Way	5	0	3/20/07
6	Cole, Tracy Federal Natl. Mortgage Assoc. Crain, Lanny	40177 W. Hayden Dr.	66	s	12/29/10 9/1/10 2/28/08
7	Cozy Place LLC Elliott, Lloyd & Joni	40374 W. Hayden Dr.	46	s	12/19/07
8	Crane, Timothy & Casey BAC Home / HUD Recontrust Ceballos, Rosio & Pedro	41247 W. Walker Way	57	s	2010 1/8/10 12/4/09 6/19/07
9	DeAlba, Ricardo & Victoria	40936 W. Thornberry	103	0	1/26/07
10	Denny, Dennis & Sarah	41404 W. Walker Way	8	0	3/2/07
11	Ferrari, Robin	40500 W. Hayden Dr.	55	0	8/30/11
12	Garrison, Christopher	41181 W. Hayden Dr.	68	ο	7/14/08
13	Gilmore, Douglas & Cheryl Fannie Mae Randle, Cedric & Anita	20858 N. Madeline St.	27	s	2/24/11 11/10/10 11/13/07

.

-	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based on Recording Date
14	Jones, Dawn Aukerman, Robert HUD Wells Fargo / First American Title Stacks, Thistle	40840 W. Hayden Dr.	49	S	7/29/10 7/2010 4/28/10 4/8/10 8/28/07
15	Lott, Unika	41003 W. Pryor Ln.	57	0	4/10/09
16	Martin, Phyllis	41249 W. Robbins Dr.	44	0	10/7/11
17	Martinez, Ricardo HUD Wells Fargo / First American Title Honle, Kristy	39975 W. Thornberry Ln.	121	s	6/16/11 2/9/11 12/16/10 2/28/08
18	McKillop, Barbara & Ryan	21086 N. Dries Rd.	6	0	7/21/09
19	Means, Dennis & Vera	40478 W. Novak Ln.	110	0	5/5/08
20	Mireles, Ignacio & Susana First Am. Title / Wells Bank Matteson, Andrew	40141 W. Hayden Dr.	69	s	2/18/11 12/8/10 11/19/08
21	Olson Home Rentals Olson, Julie & Oris Federal Home Loan / M. Bosco Winsor, Victoria	40384 W. Robbins Dr.	60	s	8/24/10 4/23/10 1/27/10 2/25/08
22	People, Jeffrey & Geraldine	20945 N. Dries Rd.	67	0	6/2/08
23	Powell, Carol	40728 W. Pryor Ln.	71	0	1/9/08
24	Pruett, Tim & Lyndi HUD Wells Fargo / Michael Bosco Rittenhouse, Jenifer	40377 W. Novak Lane	104	s	12/9/11 7/13/11 6/23/11 6/30/08
25	Quinter, Michael & Marsha (Revocable Trust)	40697 W. Walker Way	27	0	3/21/08
26	Richardson, Ronald	40914 W. Hopper Dr.	17	0	5/18/09

Work Product of Wood, Smith, Henning Berman

	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based
				3	on Recording Date
27	Ricks, Ed & Donna Kumetat, Kurt & Maria	41314 W. Thornberry Ln.	80	s	4/19/10 *6/19/07
28	Robinson II, Stanley HUD Wells Fargo / Michael Bosco Bennett, Scott & Lisa	40682 W. Coltin Way	102	S	7/2/10 3/1/10 1/20/10 9/4/07
29	Robies, Alex HUD Luna, Alfredo & Christina	41182 W. Robbins Dr.	11	S	8/20/12 6/27/12 2/20/08
30	Robles, Yolanda HUD Howard, James / Chase Freedom Howard, James	21041 N. Alexis Ave.	27	S	8/23/10 2/17/10 1/25/10 7/9/07
31	Robles, Manuel	40924 W. Hayden Dr.	43	0	1/12/07
32	Sandra L. Salwei Trust Fannie Mae Barrera, Adrian Recontrust / Fed. Mortgage Barrera, Sandy & Adrian	20690 N. Tammy St.	25	S	1/28/11 1/19/11 1/10/11 7/8/10 *4/18/07
33	Sanchez, Grispina	40797 W. Robbins Dr.	57	о	5/18/09
34	Schmitt, Robert & Vivian	40314 W. Robbins Dr.	55	s	4/28/11 2/11/08
35	Scott, Asia	40394 W. Novak Lane	116	0	5/9/08
36	Smith, Scot t & Kerry HUD / Wells Fargo HUD / Wells Fargo Arboleda / Wells Fargo Arboleda, Francisco	21024 N. Wilford Ave.	10	S	5/20/11 6/24/10 6/2/10 3/10/10 *2/27/08

v

.

	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based on Recording Date
37	Stendel, John	41235 W. Brandt Dr.	31	0	5/1/07
38	Sun Paims LP Meade, Patrick Michael De Meade, Gaudalupe Robles Solano, Kendall	40058 W. Thornberry Ln.	93	S	3/16/12 3/16/12 2/10/12 *2/8/12
39	Trainito, Steven & Marcia	40412 W. Robbins Dr.	61	0	8/8/08
40	Winn, Christopher & Kit Rathbun, Curt & Tonya HUD Recontrust / BAC Home Loans Ratcliff, Vera	41092 W. Robbins Dr.	16	S	7/11/11 6/30/10 3/9/10 2/8/10 9/30/08
41	Winslow, Dorothy Medina, Rosario	41392 W. Brandt Dr.	71	S	5/28/10 7/2/07
42	Wishlow, Gerry & Adella Patel, Virbala & Kaushiklal	41414 W. Hayden Dr.	40	S	4/19/13 6/28/07
43	Zaragoza, Jenny	21191 N. Grantham Rd.	44	0	11/25/08

·

.

RESOLVED:

1	Bautista, Dioscoro	40416 W. Hayden Dr.	49	0	2/14/08
2	Holt, Patsy	40081 W. Hayden Dr.	74	0	5/9/08
3	Hughes, Thomas	21103 N. Danielle Ave.	74	0	10/28/08
4	Fletcher, Earl & Diane	39979 W. Robbins Ave.	122	0	12/31/13

٠

Work Product of Wood, Smith, Henning Berman

•

	Plaintiff	Address	Lot	0 / S	COE based on Recording Date
5	Foreman, Clarence & Francine Bynum, Byron Hager, Lawrence & Sherry	41070 W. Hopper Dr.	23	s	2/10/12 9/20/2007
6	Weaver, Bruce Carbone, Dan HUD Recontrust Porras, Nathan & Laura	40324 W. Novak Ln.	121	s	8/30/12 4/23/10 11/24/09 11/23/09 6/2/08
7	Rumney, Jacqueline Louise & Dennis <u>and</u> Davison, Diana & Russell Davison, Russell & Diana Marcel, Glen & Sheri	20884 N. Dries Rd.	73	s	5/17/12 2/11/11 6/4/08
8	Mendoza, Maria	20872 N. Madeline St.	28	s	5/12/10 7/30/07

.

•

DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE; RESERVED FOR ACC USE ONLY.

STATEMENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS

ENTITY NAME – give the exact name of the corporation or LLC as currently shown in A.C.C. records:

DVC Construction Company, Inc.

A.C.C. FILE NUMBER: ____0162479-2

Find the A.C.C. file number on the upper corner of filed documents OR on our website at: http://www.azcc.gov/Divisions/Corporations

By my signature below, I certify under the penalty of perjury that, upon information, knowledge, and belief, the above-named entity has either failed to appoint a statutory agent or failed to maintain a statutory agent at the statutory agent address on record with the Arizona Corporation Commission.

Jennifer Aymong Printed Name 0123

Service of process fee: \$25.00 All fees are nonrefundable.	Mail:	Arizona Corporation Commission - Records Section 1300 W. Washington St., Phoenix, Arizona 85007
	Fax:	602-542-3414

Please be advised that A.C.C. forms reflect only the minimum provisions required by statute. You should seek private legal counsel for those matters that may pertain to the individual needs of your business,

All documents filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission are **public record** and are open for public inspection. If you have questions after reading the Instructions, please call 602-542-3026 or (within Arizona only) 800-345-5819.



Corporate Maintenance

02/25/2015 State of Arizona File Number: -0162479-2 Corp. Name: D.V.C. CONSTRUCTION COMPA		10:23 AM
Domestic Address 8550 NORTH 91ST AVE STE 51	Second Address	
PEORIA, AZ 85345-8637		
Agent: ROBERT RIOS Status: APPOINTED 09/17/2002 Mailing Address: 8550 NORTH 91ST AVE STE 51	Domicile: A County: M Corporation Type: H Life Period: H Incorporation Date: (Approval Date: (MARICOPA PROFIT PERPETUAL 01/25/1984
PEORIA, AZ 85345-8637 Agent Last Updated: 02/19/2015	Last A/R Received: 1 Date A/R Entered: (Next Report Due: 1	10 / 2014 01/16/2015
Business Type: CONSTRUCTION		
INVALID KEY FUNCTION. (A058)		

Eric Henningsen

User Id: LGRIFFIN Invoice No.: 4699533 ATTN: (CASH CUSTOMER)	RE 1300 Phoenix,	RATIONS I CORDS SEG West Was Arizona	TION	929 Check Batch: Invoice Date: Date Received: Customer No.:	
Quantity Description					Amount
1 SERVICE OF PR -0162479-2 D.		TRUCTION	COMPANY,	INC.	\$25.00
	CHECK	0.01		l Documents: \$	25.00

	CHECK	920	\$25.00
PAYMENT			

Balance Due: \$ 0.00